TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1915.

No. 268

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, PLAINTIFF IN ERROR,

vs.

G. M. KEYS AND HUGH MILLS, A PARTNERSHIP UNDER THE NAME OF G. M. KEYS & COMPANY, STOCK FARM BANK, AND WAT MAYES.

IN ERROR TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

(22,608)

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.

OCTOBER TERM, 1912.

No 263.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, PLAINTIFF IN ERROR,

vs.

C. M. KEYS AND HUGH MILLS, A PARTNERSHIP UNDER THE NAME OF C. M. KEYS & COMPANY, STOCK YARDS BANK, AND WAT MAYES.

IN ERROR TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

INDEX.

	Original.	Print
Return to writ of error	1	1
Citations and acceptance of service thereof	2	1
Application for writ of error and order granting same	5	3
Assignment of errors	10	5
Writ of error	21	11
Bond on writ of error	23	13
Petition in error of First National Bank of Claremore	25	14
First National Bank of Vinita	30	17
Citizens' Bank of Pryor Creek	34	20
J. C. Hogan	38	22
Case-made	43	25
Transcript of record filed in United States court of appeals in the Indian Territory on appeal from the United States court for the northern district of the Indian Territory, sitting at Vinita	44	26
Caption	45	26
Citation	47	26
Pleas	47½	27
Complaint	47½	28

JUDD & DETWEILER (INC.), PRINTERS, WASHINGTON, D. C., NOVEMBER 4, 1912.

	Original.	Print
Affidavit in attachment	48½	29
Order of attachment	49	31
Marshal's return	49½	31
Answer and cross-complaint	50	32
Application to sell property	50	33
Interplea	50½	33
Interpleader's Exhibit B—Chattel mortgage	52½	37
Interplea of Vinita National Bank	55	41
Interpleader's Exhibit A—Note	56	43
Master's Exhibit 3—Chattel mortgage	56	43
Interpleader's Exhibit C—Chattel mortgage	58½	47
Amended interplea of Citizens' Bank	59½	49
Interplea of John C. Hogan	61	52
Interplea of Mary D. Mayes	62	54
Master's Exhibit A—Chattel mortgage	62½	55
Petition to appoint receiver	64½	58
Order appointing receiver, &c	65	59
Receiver's report	66	61
Answer and cross-complaint to interplea	67½	63
Answer of interveners	70	67
Exhibit A in answer to above	70	68
Interplea of First National Bank	74½	77
Answer to interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. *et al*	76½	80
Answer to interplea of First National Bank of Vinita	77	81
Mary D. Mayes	77½	83
John C. Hogan	78	84
Answer to interplea of Citzens' Bank	79	85
Answer to interplea of Vinita National Bank	79½	86
Motion of receiver, &c	80½	88
Testimony of Watt Mayes	81	89
F. C. Pinkerton	86	97
Wat Mayes (recalled)	86½	98
Chas. A. Davidson	87½	99
J. Howard Langley	88½	101
Accounts and exhibits, &c	89½	102
Report of master in chancery	96	114
Exceptions to master's report	101	123
Judgments on exceptions	103½	127
Decree	103½	127
Order of court as to receiver's allowance	110½	138
Petition for appeal	110½	139
Appeal bond	111	139
Assignment of errors	111½	140
Clerk's certificate	113	142
Mandate of supreme court of Oklahoma	115	143
Syllabus filed with above mandate	118	144
Application of First National Bank of Claremore for leave to file amended answer to interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank	121	145
Amended answer tendered with above application	124	146
Answer of First National Bank of Vinita tendered to the interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank	130	149

	Original.	Print
Application of Citizens' Bank for leave to file answer to interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank	134	151
Answer tendered with above application	138	152
Application of J. C. Hogan for leave to file answer to interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Citizens Bank	145	155
Answer tendered with above application	148	156
Application of Mary D. Mayes for leave to file answer to interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank	155	159
Answer tendered with above application	158	160
Answer of First National Bank of Claremore tendered to the interplea of J. C. Hogan	165	163
Answer of First National Bank of Claremore tendered to the interplea of Citizens' Bank	167	164
Answer of First National Bank of Claremore tendered to the interplea of Vinita National Bank	170	165
Answer of First National Bank of Claremore tendered to the interplea of Mary D. Mayes	174	167
Record and ruling of supreme court upon request to pronounce final judgment	176	168
Original opinion of supreme court	183	172
Opinion of supreme court on rehearing	195	180
Objection to proceeding	205	184
Stipulation between First National Bank of Claremore, First National Bank of Vinita, Citizens' Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, Vinita National Bank, C. M. Keys & Co., and Stock Yards Bank	207	185
Judgment of Vinita National Bank	210	186
Judgment	213	188
Record of proceedings	215	189
Order allowing parties to withdraw funds upon giving refunding bonds	217	190
Refunding bond of First National Bank of Claremore	220	191
Refunding bond of First National Bank of Vinita	222	192
Refunding bond of Citizens' Bank of Pryor Creek	224	193
Refunding bond of J. C. Hogan	226	194
Order approving action of commissioner in selling lots	228	194
Order extending time in which to make and serve case-made	231	196
Judge's certificate to case-made	232	196
Motion to dismiss appeal	236	199
Journal entry denying motion to argue motion	238	201
Journal entry: Leave to file response to motion	239	201
Response to motion to dismiss	239*a*	201
Judgment dismissing cause	240	203
Opinion dismissing appeal	241	203
Journal entry staying mandate	243	205
Certificate of clerk	244	205

1 In the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

No. 1947.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff in Error,

vs.

C. M. KEYS and HUGH MILLS, a Partnership under the Firm Name of C. M. Keys & Company, and Stock Yards Bank, a Corporation, and Wat Mayes, Defendants in Error.

Return to Writ of Error.

In obedience to the within Writ of Error I herewith transmit to the Supreme Court of the United States a full, true and complete transcript of the record and all proceedings concerning the same in the above entitled cause.

In witness whereof, I hereto set my hand and affix the seal of said court, at Oklahoma City, this 10th day of March, 1911.

[Seal Supreme Court, State of Oklahoma.]

W. H. L. CAMPBELL,
Clerk Supreme Court,
By JESSIE PARDOE, *Deputy.*

2 *Citation.*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *ss:*

The President of the United States to C. M. Keys, Hugh Mills, a Partnership under the Name of C. M. Keys & Company, Stock Yards Bank, a Corporation, and Wat Mayes, Greeting:

You are hereby cited and admonished to be and appear at and before the Supreme Court of the United States, at Washington, D. C., within thirty (30) days from the date hereof, pursuant to a writ of error filed in the office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, wherein First National Bank of Claremore is plaintiff in error and you are defendants in error, to show cause, if any there be, why the judgment rendered against the said plaintiff in error, as in said writ of error mentioned, should not be corrected, and why speedy justice should not be done the parties in that behalf.

Witness, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma this 10th day of February, 1911.

JOHN B. TURNER,
Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

Attest:

[Seal Supreme Court, State of Oklahoma.]

W. H. L. CAMPBELL,
Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma,
By JESSIE PARDOE, *Deputy.*

3 *Citation.*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *ss:*

The President of the United States to C. M. Keys, Hugh Mills, a Partnership under the Name of C. M. Keys & Company, Stock Yards Bank, a Corporation, and Wat Mayes, Greeting:

You are hereby cited and admonished to be and appear at and before the Supreme Court of the United States, at Washington, D. C., within thirty (30) days from the date hereof, pursuant to a writ of error filed in the office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, wherein First National Bank of Claremont is plaintiff in error and you are defendants in error, to show cause, if any there be, why the judgment rendered against the said plaintiff in error, as in said writ of error mentioned, should not be corrected, and why speedy justice should not be done the parties in that behalf.

Witness the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma this 10th day of February, 1911.

JOHN B. TURNER,
Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

Attest:

W. H. L. CAMPBELL,
Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma,
By JESSIE PARDOE, *Deputy.*

Service of this Citation is hereby accepted this Feb'y 21, 1911.

ROBERT F. BLAIR,
Att'y for C. M. Keys & Co., Stock Yards Bank.

I, the undersigned, Wat Mayes, do hereby acknowledge service of the within and foregoing citation upon me, in Mayes County, Oklahoma, this the 20th day of February, 1911.

WAT MAYES.

Witnesses:

H. A. KEHN.
HARVE LANGLEY.

4 [Endorsed:] #1947. In the Supreme Court of the United States. First National Bank of Claremore, Plaintiff in Error, vs. C. M. Keys, Hugh Mills, et al., Defendants in Error. Citation. Filed Mar. 2, 1911. W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk. W. H. Kornegay, Att'y. Vinita, Okla.

5 In the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

1947.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff in Error,

vs.

C. M. KEYS and HUGH MILLS, a Partnership under ths Firm Name of C. M. Keys & Company, and Stock Yards Bank, a Corporation, and Wat Mayes, Defendants in Error.

Application for Allowance of Writ of Error and the Fixing of Amount of Supersedeas Bond.

First National Bank of Claremore represents that on the 10th day of January, 1911, the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, which is the highest court in the State of Oklahoma, in which a decision in this suit can be had, entered a final judgment, and filed opinion dismissing the proceedings in error in this cause from a judgment of the District court of Craig County, Oklahoma, adjudging that plaintiff in error was not entitled to any of the funds derived from the sale of the cattle attached in the case, and the ground of dismissal was, "that the decision of this court when this case was before it on a former appeal, determines every question of law which is now presented by counsel for plaintiff in error, and therefore there is nothing for this court to determine, and the petition in error ought to be dismissed."

That this suit was commenced in the United States Court in and for the Indian Territory, Northern District thereof, on the 20th day of July, 1905, and the proceedings in said court resulted in a judgment for plaintiff in error against Wat Mayes, and in said
6 suit there was levied an attachment upon certain cattle, property of Wat Mayes, and in said suit C. M. Keys and Hugh Mills, partners under the name of C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank, intervened and sought to have applied to their debt the entire proceeds of the cattle attached, and said suit proceeded to judgment in the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, adjudging that plaintiff in error was entitled to have its claim against Wat Mayes to the amount of $4,869.19 paid out of the proceeds of the sale of the cattle attached, and denying the application of C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank to have the said fund set aside to satisfy their claim to the amount of $15,-808.69. That an appeal was taken from said judgment to the United States Court of Appeals in Indian Territory by C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank, and said appeal was pending at the time of the admission of the State of Oklahoma into the Union, and the Supreme Court of said State assumed jurisdiction of the same, and that said Supreme Court on the 10th day of September, 1908, did file an opinion reversing and modifying said judgment, and on the 9th day of December, 1909, there was issued from said court a mandate to the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, commanding the District Court of Craig County "to take such further proceedings herein as shall accord with said opinion of the Supreme Court and right and justice."

That the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, proceeded to enter a judgment setting aside so much of the judgment of the United States Court as awarded to plaintiff in error payment of its claim out of the cattle fund, and affirming the judgment of the U. S. Court in other respects.

That in the proceedings had in the United States Court in the Indian Territory, and in the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, and in the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, this plaintiff in error specially claimed a title and a right under
7 the constitution and the Statutes of the United States, and it claimed a right, a title, a privilege and an immunity under an authority exercised under the United States, and the decision of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, and the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, is against such title, right, privilege and immunity, specially set up and claimed by the plaintiff in error under such Constitution, Statutes and authority, and in said suit the validity of the Statute of the United States and the validity of the authority exercised under the United States was drawn in question, and the decision of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, and of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, was against their validity, and the plaintiff in error represents and avers that in the said judgment of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, as well as in the judgment of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, there were manifest errors to the prejudice of this plaintiff in error, and that the plaintiff in error, to the end that further and complete justice be done, prays for an order allowing it to prosecute a writ of error to the Honorable Supreme Court of the United States, under and in accordance with the laws of the United States in that behalf made and provided, and also that an order be made fixing the amount of security which it shall give and furnish upon said Writ of Error by way of a Supersedeas and cost bond, and it further states that there is herewith presented its assignment of errors, alleged to have been committed by said court, and intended to be urged in the prosecution by it of said suit in error, and it prays that the judgment of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, and the judgment of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, be reversed.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE,
By W. H. KORNEYGAY, *Attorney.*

8 Let a Writ of Error issue in the above cause, and the costs and supersedeas bond is fixed at $2,000.00, and it is further ordered that the mandate to the lower court be withheld for twenty days to enable the plaintiff in error to prepare and tender its bond for approval.

This the 23rd day of January, 1911.

JOHN B. TURNER,
Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

Attest:

[Seal Supreme Court, State of Oklahoma.]

W. H. L. CAMPBELL, *Clerk,*
By JESSIE PARDOE, *Deputy.*

9 Filed Jan. 23, 1911. W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk.

[Endorsed:] #1947. First National Bank of Claremore, Plaintiff in Error, vs. C. M. Keys and Hugh Mills, a Partnership under the Firm Name of C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank, a Corporation, and Wat Mayes, Defendants in Error. Application for Allowance of Writ of Error and Fixing Amount of Supersedeas Bond. Assignment of Errors. Filed Jan. 23, 1911. W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk. W. H. Kornegay, Att'y.

10 In the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

No. 1947.

First National Bank of Claremore, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. Keys, and Hugh Mills, Partners under the Firm Name of Keys & Company, and Stock Yards Bank, a Corporation, and Wat Mayes, Defendants in Error.

Assignment of Errors.

The plaintiff in error, First National Bank of Claremore, makes the following assignment of errors upon which it will rely upon its prosecution of the writ of error to the Supreme Court of the United States, in the above entitled cause, from the judgment rendered by the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, on the 10th day of January, 1911, affirming the judgment of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, and the following assignment of errors alleged to have been committed by the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, and the following assignment of errors alleged to have been committed by the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, upon the first appeal in this cause, and it says:

I.

That the Supreme Court of Oklahoma erred in dismissing the petition in error prosecuted by it from the judgment of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma.

11

II.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred in holding that all the questions presented by the second appeal were disposed of upon the first appeal.

III.

The district Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in rendering judgment against the plaintiff in error, and the Supreme Court of Oklahoma erred in dismissing the proceedings in error instituted by the plaintiff in error to the judgment of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma.

IV.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in refusing to allow the plaintiff in error to amend its original complaint, and its affidavit in attachment, and the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred in dismissing the proceedings in error to review the judgment.

V.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in refusing to allow plaintiff in error to file an amended answer to the interplea of the defendants in error, C. M. Keys and Company, and Stock Yards Bank, and the Supreme Court of Oklahoma erred in refusing to reverse the action of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma.

VI.

The district Court of Craig County Oklahoma erred in disturbing the judgment of the United States Court, in the Indian Territory, Northern District, and the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred in refusing to reverse the action of said District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma.

12

VII.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in ordering that C. M. Keys & Company, and Stock Yards Bank should receive their claim amounting to $17,245.31 out of the fund arising from the sale of the cattle, and the Supreme Court erred in refusing to reverse the judgment of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma.

VIII.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in rendering judgment in the cause without trial, and the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred in refusing to reverse the action of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma.

IX.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in refusing to allow the plaintiff in error a trial upon the issues made by the pleadings, and the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, erred in refusing to reverse the action of the District Court.

X.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in not providing for the payment of the costs in the cause, and *and* in refusing to make an order as to the costs.

XI.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, erred in refusing to reverse the action of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma.

XII.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in not separating the cattle fund from the lot fund in the case, and in not distributing the lot fund to the proper parties, and the Supreme
13 Court of the State of Oklahoma, erred in refusing to disturb the action of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma.

XIII.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred when it refused and failed to find the amount of recovery, if any, to be had against the plaintiff in error, and the amount that the plaintiff in error should re-pay, and to whom and the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred in refusing to disturb the action of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma.

XIV.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in rendering a judgment in favor of the intervenors, C. M. Keys & Company, and Stock Yards Bank, upon the facts in evidence, and the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, erred in refusing to disturb the action of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma.

XV.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in making a finding in favor of the intervenors, C. M. Keys & Company, and Stock Yards Bank, without an investigation as to the facts.

XVI.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in postponing an attachment lien acquired under the laws of the United States to a lien asserted in a mortgage not recorded in accordance with the laws of the United States, in a case where the mortgaged property was not in possession of the lien holder, and the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred in refusing to disturb the action of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma.

14

XVII.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in rendering judgment which was not based upon the findings of fact, and which was unwarranted by the findings of fact, which were allowed to stand in the case, and the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred in refusing to disturb the action of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma.

XVIII.

The Judgment of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, is erroneous in that *that* it is contrary to law, and is contrary to the evidence in the cause, and the Supreme Court of the State of Okla-

homa erred in refusing to disturb the action of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma.

XIX.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in rendering judgment against the plaintiff in error, the same not being supported by sufficient evidence.

XX.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred in taking jurisdiction of the original appeal.

XXI.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred in modifying the judgment of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, on the first appeal.

XXII.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred on the first appeal, in holding that the evidence did not warrant the finding that the mortgage relied upon by C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank had become fraudulent and void as against other lien claimants and attaching creditors.

15

XXIII.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred on the first appeal, in holding that it was not necessary, after the division of the Northern District of the Indian Territory into the Northern District and the Western District, to have the C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards mortgage placed of record at Vinita.

XXIV.

The Supreme Court of Oklahoma upon the first appeal erred in holding that it was not necessary for C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank to have their mortgages indexed at Pryor Creek, after the making of the recording districts in the Indian Territory.

XXV.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma on the first appeal, erred in holding that it was not necessary for the mortgagees to take possession of the property, and was also not necessary for the mortgagees to have the mortgages put of record at Vinita, and also at Pryor Creek.

XXVI.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred on the first appeal in holding that it was admitted, that the plaintiff in error had actual knowledge of the existence of the chattel mortgage to C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank.

XXVII.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred on the first appeal in holding that the rule of law with reference to real property records was the same as in case of personal property.

XXVIII.

16 The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma on the first appeal, erred in holding that it was not the duty of the mortgagee, after the division of the districts to inform the Clerk of a desire to either record or index the mortgages.

XXIX.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred on the first appeal in holding that it was not necessary for the mortgagee, after the division of the districts, to pay the fees of indexing or recording the mortgages in the new Districts where the property was located, and the mortgagors resided.

XXX.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, erred on the first appeal, in holding that it was the duty of the Clerk of the United States Court of Indian Territory to transfer to the indexes at other places the mortgages that had there-to-fore been recorded in his office, without request upon the mortgagee and without payment of fees.

XXXI.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred on the first appeal in holding that a mortgage once recorded was notice to all persons of the existence of such mortgage.

XXXII.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred on the first appeal in holding that the filing of the mortgage henceforth gave notice to everybody of its existence, notwithstanding the divisions in the districts and the change in the places of recording.

XXXIII.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma on the first appear erred in holding that the Clerk of the United States Court had not urged as an excuse for his failure to record in other districts or to transfer to the indexes in other districts, the mortgage of C. M. Keys & Company, and Stock Yards Bank, his inability so to do, but had urged the want of an appropriation for it.

17 XXXIV.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred on the first appeal in holding that there was no question of actual fraud in the

case, and that the Supreme Court of Oklahoma erred in holding that it was not claimed that there was any fraud in the case.

XXXV.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred in holding that the only theory upon which the plaintiff in error brought the suit, was that the mortgages of C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank "were not constructive notice to subsequent purchasers and encumbrancers, because they had not been transferred to the indexes."

XXXVI.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred on the first appeal in holding that C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank had done all that was necessary to keep their mortgages alive, as required by laws of the United States relating to the recording of mortgages.

XXXVII.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred in the first appeal in holding that the claim of C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank was superior to the claim of the plaintiffs in error.

XXXVIII.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred on the first appeal in modifying the judgment.

XXXIX.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred on the re-hearing of the first appeal in holding that the acknowledg-
18 ment upon the C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank was *was* in accordance with the laws of the United States.

XL.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred in holding upon the re-hearing of the first appeal, that there was no fraud, either actual or by operation of law, in the method of dealing with the mortgaged property allowed by C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank.

XLI.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, erred in holding that there was no evidence to support the finding of the United States Court in Indian Territory, to the effect that there was fraud in the method of handling the mortgaged property, such as to invalidate the C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank mortgage.

XLII.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred in holding that the evidence did not show that any of the creditors of Wat Mayes

were prejudiced in any way by the dealings of Wat Mayes with C. M. Keys & Company or their assignees, Stock Yards Bank.

XLIII.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred in holding that the charge of fraud by the defendants in error was without foundation.

XLIV.

The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma erred in refusing to render a judgment, if they were going to reverse the case, providing for the distribution of the fun-s derived from the sale of the lots, and the funds derived from the sale of the cattle, and
19 erred in refusing to give instructions to the Court below what to do upon this matter.

The plaintiff in Error says that all things complained of in the assignment of error to-k place over its objection and exception, and to its damage.

Wherefore, the plaintiff in error prays that the judgment of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma dismissing its proceedings in error to the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, be reversed; that the judgment of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, be reversed; that the judgment of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma upon the first appeal by C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank from the judgment of the United States Court, Indian Territory, Northern District, be reversed; and, that the judgment of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, be, in all things affirmed, and that proper instructions be given to the lower court for carrying out the judgment of this court.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE,
Plaintiff in Error,

By W. H. KORNEYGAY, *Attorney.*

20 [Endorsed:] No. 1947. First National Bank of Claremore, Plaintiffs in Error, vs. C. M. Keys & Hugh Mills, partners under the firm name of C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank, a Corporation, and Wat Mayes, Defendants in Error. Assignment of Errors. Filed Jan. 23, 1911. W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk. W. H. Kornegay, Att'y.

21 *Writ of Error.*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *ss:*

The President of the United States to the Honorable the Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, Greeting:

Because, in the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which is in the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma before you, or some of you, being the highest

court of law or equity of the said State in which a decision could be had in said suit between First National Bank of Claremore, plaintiff in error, and C. M. Keys, Hugh Mills, a partnership under the name of C. M. Keys & Company, and Stock Yards Bank, a corporation, and Wat Mayes, defendants in error, wherein rights, privileges and immunities are claimed under the Constitution and statutes of the United States, and under authority exercised under the United States, and the decision was against the rights, privileges and immunities specially set up or claimed under such Constitution, statutes and authority, a manifest error has happened, to the great damage of the said First National Bank of Claremore, as by its complaint appears, we being willing that the error, if any hath been, should be duly corrected and full and speedy justice done to the parties aforesaid in this behalf, do command you that if judgment be therein given, that then under your seal, distinctly and openly, you send the record and proceedings aforesaid, with all things concerning the same, to the Supreme Court of the United States together with this writ, so that you may have the same at Washington within thirty days from the date hereof in the said Supreme Court to be then and there *helf*, that, the record and proceedings aforesaid being inspected, the said Supreme Court may cause further to be done therein to correct that error what of right and according to the laws and customs of the United States should be done.

22 Witness The Honorable Edward Douglas White, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States, the 13th day of February in the year of our Lord One Thousand Nine Hundred and Eleven.

[The Seal of the Circuit Court of the United States, Western District of Oklahoma.]

HARRY L. FINLEY,
Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Oklahoma.

Allowed by

[Seal Supreme Court, State of Oklahoma.]

JOHN B. TURNER,
Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

[Endorsed:] Filed Feb. 14, 1911. W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk.

23 In the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

No. 1947.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. KEYS, HUGH MILLS, a Partnership under the Name of C. M. Keys & Company, and Stock Yards Bank, a Corporation, and Wat Mayes, Defendants in Error.

Know all men by these presents: That we, First National Bank of Claremore, as principal, and John Dirickson, of Claremore, Oklahoma, and C. V. Rogers, of Claremore, Oklahoma, as sureties, are held and firmly bound unto above named C. M. Keys, Hugh Mills, a partnership of the name of C. M. Keys & Company, and Stock Yards Bank, a corporation, and Wat Mayes, in the sum of Two Thousand Dollars ($2000.00), to be paid to them and for the payment of which, well and truly to be made, we bind ourselves and each of us and each of our heirs, executors and administrators, jointly and severally, firmly by these presents.

Sealed with our seals and dated the 3rd day of February in the year of our Lord One Thousand Nine Hundred and Eleven.

Whereas, the above named First National Bank of Claremore, plaintiff in error, seeks to prosecute its writ of error in the Supreme Court of the United States to reverse the judgment rendered in the above entitled action by the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

Now, therefore, The condition of this obligation is such that if the above named plaintiff in error shall prosecute its writ of error to effect and answer all costs and damages that may be adjudged if it shall fail to make good its plea, then this obligation to be
24 void: otherwise, to remain in full force and virtue.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, OKLA.,
By C. F. GODBEY, *Cashier.*
JOHN DIRECKSON.
C. V. ROGERS.

Approved this the 10" day of February 1911.

JOHN B. TURNER,
Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Craig, ss:

John Dirickson, one of the sureties in the foregoing bond, being duly sworn, says, that he is a resident and freeholder of the State of Oklahoma, and resides in Craig County, and that he is worth, above all just debts and liabilities in property not by law exempt from execution in the State of Oklahoma, the sum of $1000.00.

JOHN DIRICKSON.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 6" day of Feb'y, 1911.

R. A. PATTON,
Notary Public.

[SEAL.]

My commission expires April 7, 1914.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Craig, ss:

C. V. Rogers, one of the sureties in the foregoing bond, being duly sworn, says, that he is a resident and freeholder of the State of Oklahoma and resides in Craig County, and that he is worth, above all just debts and liabilities in property not by law exempt from execution in the State of Oklahoma, the sum of $1000.00.

C. V. ROGERS.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 6" day of Feb'y 1911.

R. A. PATTON,
Notary Public.

[SEAL.]

My commission expires April 7, 1914.

]Endorsed:[#1947. In the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma. First National Bank of Claremore, Plaintiff in Error, vs. C. M. Keys, Hugh Mills, a Partnership under the name of C. M. Keys & Company, and Stock Yards Bank, a corporation, and Wat Mayes. Filed Feb. 11, 1911. W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk. W. H. Kornegay, Att'y.

25 In the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

No. 1947.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff in Error,

vs.

C. M. KEYS and HUGH MILLS, Partners under the Name of C. M. Keys & Co., and Stock Yards Bank, a Corporation, and Wat Mayes, Defendants in Error.

Petition in Error of First National Bank of Claremore.

First National Bank of Claremore represents and shows to the Court that it instituted its action against the defendant Wat Mayes in the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District sitting at Vinita, by filing a complaint and praying for judgment and an attachment against the property of said Wat Mayes and an affidavit in attachment on the 20th of July, 1905. That in said suit certain cattle were attached as the property of said Wat Mayes, but thereafter the defendants in error C. M. Keys and Hugh Mills, partners as C. M. Keys & Co., and Stock Yards Bank intervened for the cattle, claiming the same under certain mortgages, and that thereafter various other parties intervened and said cause was placed on

the equity docket and proceeded to judgment, sustaining the attachment of the plaintiff and directing that the proceeds of the attached cattle be applied to the payment of the plaintiff's judgment against defendant Wat Mayes, and that the amount of plaintiff's judgment, to-wit, $5476.25 was thereafter paid to it out of the proceeds of said cattle. That an appeal was taken from said judgment to the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory and upon the admission of the State of Oklahoma into the Union the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma assumed jurisdiction of the
26 case, and that said Supreme Court rendered an opinion reversing and remanding the cause to the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma. That upon the mandate being sent down, in order to comply with the requirements of the opinion of the Supreme Court, this plaintiff in error filed an amended answer to the plea of intervention of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank and did tender an amended answer on the 10th day of March, 1910; that thereafter, on the second day of April, 1910, at the time the cause was set for hearing, the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, refused to allow this plaintiff in error to file said amended answer or any pleadings of any kind. Thereupon this plaintiff objected to any proceedings being had by said District Court disturbing the judgment of the United States Court as against the defendant Wat Mayes and in favor of this plaintiff in error, and to any other order of the District Court disturbing the action of the United States Court disturbing the fund derived from the sale of the cattle sold under the orders of the United States Court, and also to any action by said Court disturbing the orders and judgments of said Court concerning the costs in the case, and that thereafter on said day the said District Court did make an order affirming the judgment of the trial Court as rendered by said trial court, but making the claim of C. M. Keys & Co. and of Stock Yards Bank paramount to that of this plaintiff and not leaving any of the fund for the satisfaction of the plaintiff's claim; to all which things the plaintiff objected and excepted at the time, and all of which things happened to the detriment of this plaintiff in error; and this plaintiff in error prays that the action of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, be reversed and that judgment be rendered here to the effect that it should receive its entire claim out of the cattle fund, and the plaintiff in error further asks this Court to render a final decree in the cause affirming the action of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita; and it makes the following assignment of errors in the cause.

27

I.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in refusing to allow it to file amended pleadings.

II.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in refusing to allow this plaintiff in error to file an amended answer to the

interplea of the defendants in error C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank.

III.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in disturbing the judgment of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District.

IV.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in ordering that C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank should receive their claim, amounting to $17,245.31, out of the fund derived from the sale of the cattle.

V.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in rendering judgment in the cause without a trial.

VI.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in refusing to allow the plaintiff in error a trial upon the issues made by the pleadings.

VII.

The judgment of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, is erroneous in that it does not provide for the payment of costs in the case and by whom the costs are to be paid.

VIII.

The Judgment of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, is erroneous in that it did not separate the cattle fund from the lot fund in the case and distribute the lot fund to the proper party.

IX.

28 The judgment of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, is erroneous in that it does not show the amount of recovery to be had of this plaintiff, if any is to be had, and the amount that this plaintiff was to repay and to whom.

X.

The judgment of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, is erroneous in that it erred in finding in favor of the intervenors C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank without an investigation as to the facts.

XI.

The judgment of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, is erroneous in that it postpones to an attachment lien duly acquired under the laws of the United States a lien asserted under an unrecorded mortgage, the mortgaged property not being in possession of the lien-holder.

XII.

The judgment of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, is erroneous in that it is not based upon findings of fact and the findings of fact allowed to stand in the case do not warrant the judgment rendered.

XIII.

The judgment of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, is contrary to law and is contrary to the evidence that is of record in the cause.

XIV.

The judgment of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, is not sustained by sufficient evidence.

And the plaintiff in error says that all things complained of happened over its objection and exception.

It therefore prays that the action of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, be reversed, and that the original judgment rendered herein by the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, of Vinita, be in all things *con*firmed; and it further asks that summons in error issue as against the defend-
29 ants in error, C. M. Keys and Hugh Mills, partners under the name of C. M. Keys & Co., and Stock Yards Bank, a corporation and Wat Mayes. It files herewith the original case-made showing the matters and things complained of.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE,
By W. H. KORNEGAY, *Attorney.*

(Filed August 26, 1910, W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk.)

30 In the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

No. 1948.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF VINITA, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. KEYS and HUGH MILLS, Partners under the Name of C. M. Keys & Co., and Stock Yards Bank, a Corporation, and Wat Mayes, Defendants in Error.

Petition in Error of First National Bank of Vinita.

The plaintiff in error represents and shows to the Court that in a certain suit wherein First National Bank of Claremore was plaintiff and Wat Mayes was defendant, brought in the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita, on the 20th day of July, 1905, and numbered 2327, certain cattle were attached upon which the plaintiff in error had a duly recorded mortgage;

that in said suit this plaintiff in error intervened, and in said suit C. M. Keys and Hugh Mills, partners under the name of C. M. Keys & Co., and Stock Yards Bank intervened, claiming the attached property under a mortgage; that various other parties intervened and in said suit it was ordered that certain lots on which the plaintiff in error held a mortgage should be sold and the proceeds distributed, and the cause was placed upon the equity docket and finally resulted in a judgment ordering the mortgaged property sold and the proceeds distributed, and that there was sufficient of the proceeds to pay the plaintiff in error in full its debt, amounting to $4192.08, and it did receive the same under said judgment. That an appeal was taken from the judgment in the cause to the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory, and on the admission of the State of Oklahoma into the Union the Supreme Court of the State took jurisdiction of said appeal, and that thereafter said Court rendered an opinion reversing the action of the United States
31 Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, and remanded the cause to the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma. That after the mandate came down this plaintiff in error, in accordance with said opinion, made application to the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, for leave to file an amended answer to the interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank and did tender an answer therewith on the 10th day of March, 1910, and that thereafter on the second day of April, 1910, the Court refused to allow it to file an amended answer or to allow it to file any pleadings in the cause, and that thereafter on said day this plaintiff in error objected to the Court's making any orders disturbing the judgment of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, and said Court, over its objection and exception, proceeded so to do and proceeded to order that the fund derived from the sale of the cattle be paid to C. M. Keys & Co. and to Stock Yards Bank, and by so doing did exhaust the entire cattle fund, on which this plaintiff in error held a lien, so that the plaintiff in error would receive nothing, all of which things happened to the detriment of this plaintiff in error.

And this plaintiff in error prays that the action of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, be in all things reversed, and that the action of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, be in all things affirmed; and it makes the following assignment of errors:

I.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in refusing to allow it to file an amended answer to the interplea of the defendants in error.

II.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in holding that the claims of the defendants in error to the cattle fund were superior to the claim of the plaintiff in error.

32

III.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in undertaking to disturb the action of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, awarding to this plaintiff in error payment out of the cattle fund of the amount due it from the defendant Wat Mayes.

IV.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in rendering the judgment it did render upon the findings of fact that were made by the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District.

V.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in rendering judgment without making findings of fact.

VI.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in not segregating the cattle fund from the lot fund and making separate distribution of the respective funds.

VII.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in not finding the amount that should be repaid, and to whom, by this plaintiff in error.

VIII.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in not finding specifically the amount of costs that were to be taxed to the various parties in the case.

IX.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in exhausting the cattle fund by ordering that it should be paid to C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, to the detriment of this plaintiff in error.

X.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in refusing to allow this plaintiff in error a trial.

33

XI.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in rendering judgment without a trial.

XII.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in holding that the mortgage relied upon by defendants in error was duly of record under the laws of the United States, and under the laws of the United States was superior to the mortgage of the plaintiff in error.

That there is filed herewith the case-made showing the matters and things complained of.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE,
By W. H. KORNEGAY, *Attorney.*

(Filed August 26, 1910. W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk.)

34 In the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

No. 1949.

CITIZENS BANK OF PRYOR CREEK, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. KEYS and HUGH MILLS, Partners under the Name of C. M. Keys & Co., and Stock Yards Bank, a Corporation, and Wat Mayes, Defendants in Error.

Petition in Error of Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek.

The plaintiff in error represents and shows to the Court that in a certain suit wherein First National Bank of Claremore was plaintiff and Wat Mayes was defendant, brought in the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita, on the 20th day of July, 1906, certain cattle were attached upon which the plaintiff in error had a mortgage; that in said suit this plaintiff in error intervened, and in said suit C. M. Keys and Hugh Mills, partners under the name of C. M. Keys & Co., and Stock Yards Bank intervened, claiming the attached property under a mortgage; that various other parties intervened and the cause was placed upon the equity docket and finally resulted in a judgment ordering the cattle sold and the proceeds distributed; and that there was sufficient of the proceeds to pay the plaintiff in error in full its debt, amounting to $— and it did receive the same under said judgment. That an appeal was taken from the judgment in the cause to the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory, and on the admission of the State of Oklahoma into the Union, the Supreme Court of the State took jurisdiction of said appeal, and that thereafter said Court rendered an opinion reversing the action of the United States
35 Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, and remanding the cause to the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma. That after the mandate came down this plaintiff in error, in accordance with said opinion, made application to the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, for leave to file an amended answer to the interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, and did tender an answer therewith on the 2nd day of April, 1910, and on the same days, to-wit: on the 2nd day of April, 1910, the Court refused to allow it to file an amended answer or to allow it to file any pleadings in the cause, and that thereafter on said day this plaintiff in error objected to the court's making any orders disturbing the judgment of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, and said Court, over its objection and exception,

proceeded so to do and proceeded to order that the cattle fund be paid to C. M. Keys & Co. and to Stock Yards Bank, and by so doing did exhaust the entire cattle fund, on which this plaintiff in error held a lien; all of which things happened to the detriment of this plaintiff in error.

And this plaintiff in error prays that the action of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, be in all things reversed, and that the action of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, be in all things affirmed; and it makes the following assignment of errors:

I.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in refusing to allow it to file an amended answer to the interplea of the defendants in error.

II.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in holding that the claims of the defendants in error to the cattle fund were superior to the claim of the plaintiff in error.

III.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in undertaking to disturb the action of the United States Court in
36 the Indian Territory, Northern District, awarding to this plaintiff in error payment out of the cattle fund of the amount due it from the defendant Wat Mayes.

IV.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in rendering judgment upon the findings of facts that were made by the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, and which were allowed to stand.

V.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in rendering judgment without making find-s of fact.

VI.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in not segregating the cattle fund from the lot fund and making separate distribution of the respective funds.

VII.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in not finding the amount that should be repaid, and to whom, by this plaintiff in error.

VIII.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in not finding specifically the amount of costs that were to be taxed to the various parties in the case.

IX.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in exhausting the cattle fund by ordering that it should be paid to C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, to the detriment of this plaintiff in error.

X.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in refusing to allow this plaintiff in error a trial.

XI.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in rendering judgment without a trial.

37 XII.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in holding that the mortgage relied upon by the defendants in error was duly of record under the laws of the United States and was superior to the mortgage claimed under by the plaintiff in error.

There is hereto attached the original case-made wherein appears the things and actions complained of.

CITIZENS BANK OF PRYOR CREEK,
Plaintiff in Error,
By J. HOWARD LANGLEY, *Attorney.*

(Filed August 26, 1910. W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk.)

38 In the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

No. 1950.

J. C. HOGAN, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. KEYS and HUGH MILLS, Partners under the Name of C. M. Keys & Co., and Stock Yards Bank, a Corporation, and Wat Mayes, Defendants in Error.

Petition in Error of J. C. Hogan.

The plaintiff in error represents and shows to the court, that in a certain suit wherein First National Bank of Claremore was plaintiff and Wat Mayes was defendant, brought in the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita, on the

20th day of July, 1906, certain cattle were attached upon which the plaintiff in error had a mortgage; that in said suit this plaintiff in error intervened, and in said suit C. M. Keys and Hugh Mills, partners under the name of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank intervened, claiming the attached property under a mortgage; that various other parties intervened and the cause was placed upon the equity docket and finally resulted in a judgment ordering the cattle sold and the proceeds distributed, and that there was sufficient of the proceeds to pay this plaintiff in error a part of his debt, to-wit: the sum of $—, and this plaintiff in error did receive a part of the same under said judgment. That an appeal was taken from the judgment in the cause to the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory, and on the admission of the State of Oklahoma into the Union the Supreme Court of the State took jurisdiction — said appeal, and that thereafter said court rendered an opinion reversing the action of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, and remanding the cause to the District Court of
39 Craig County, Oklahoma. That after mandate came down this plaintiff in error, in accordance with said opinion, made application to the District Court of Craig County Oklahoma, for leave to file an amended answer to the interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, and did tender an answer therewith on the 2nd day of April, 1910, and on the same day, to-wit; the 2nd day of April, 1910, the court refused to allow him to file an amended answer or to allow this plaintiff in error to file any pleadings in the cause, and that thereafter on said day this plaintiff in error objected to the Court's making any orders disturbing the judgment of the United States Court, in the Indian Territory, Northern District, and said Court, over his objection and exception, proceeded so to do, and proceeded to order that the cattle fund be paid to C. M. Keys & Co. and to Stock Yards Bank, and by so doing did exhaust the entire cattle fund, on which this plaintiff in error held a lien; all of which things happened to the detriment of this plaintiff in error.

And this plaintiff in error prays that the action of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, be in all things reversed, and that the action of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, be in all things affirmed, and he makes the following assignment of errors;

I.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in refusing *the* allow this plaintiff in error to file an amended answer to the interplea of the defendants in error.

II.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in holding that the claims of the defendants in error to the cattle fund were superior to the claim of the plaintiff in error.

III.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in undertaking to disturb the action of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, awarding to this plaintiff
40 in error payment out of the cattle fund of the amount due him from the defendant, Wat Mayes.

IV.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in rendering judgment upon the findings of fact that were made by the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, and which were allowed to stand.

V.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in rendering judgment without making findings of fact.

VI.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in not segregating the cattle fund from the lot fund and making separate distribution of the respective funds.

VII.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in not finding the amount that should be repaid, and to whom, by this plaintiff in error.

VIII.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in not finding specifically the amount of costs that were to be taxed to the various parties in the case.

IX.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in exhausting the cattle fund by ordering that it should be paid to C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, to the detriment of this plaintiff in error.

X.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in refusing to allow this plaintiff in error a trial.

XI.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in rendering judgment without a trial.

41 & 42 XII.

The District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, erred in holding that the mortgage relied upon by the defendant in error was duly

of record under the laws of the United States, and was superior to the mortgage claimed under by the plaintiff in error.

There is hereto attached the original case-made, wherein appears the things and actions complained of.

J. C. HOGAN,
Plaintiff in Error,
By J. HOWARD LANGLEY,
His Attorney.

(Filed August 26, 1910. W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk.)

43 In the District Court of Craig County, State of Oklahoma.

No. 659.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & Co. and STOCK YARDS BANK, Intervener; Vinita National Bank, Intervener; Citizens Bank, Intervener; J. C. Hogan, Intervener; Mary D. Mayes, Intervener, and First National Bank of Vinita, Intervener.

Case-Made.

Be it remembered, that the above entitled cause began in the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, prior to the admission of Oklahoma into the Union.

Be it further remembered, that said cause proceeded to judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, Wat Mayes, and that in said cause the interpleas of the various parties were passed upon and that thereafter C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank and Vinita National Bank appealed to the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory and on the admission of the State of Oklahoma into the Union said proceedings were pending in said Court, and that thereafter the said proceedings came before the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma and the Supreme Court reversed the action of the lower court and remanded the cause.

Be it further remembered That a transcript of the record up to the time of beginning the appellate proceedings, together with the assignment of errors and the citation on appeal, is as follows:

44 *Transcript of Record.*

In the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory.

No. 853.

C. M. KEYS & Co. et al., Appellants,
vs.
FIRST NAT'L BANK OF CLAREMORE et al., Appellees.

Appeal from the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory Sitting at Vinita, Indian Territory.

Filed May 1, 1907.

45 & 46 In the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory.

No. 853.

C. M. KEYS & Co. et al., Appellants,
vs.
FIRST NAT'L BANK OF CLAREMORE et al., Appellees.

Appeal from the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory Sitting at Vinita, Indian Territory.

Filed May 1, 1907.

47 No. —.

C. M. KEYS & Co., and STOCK YARDS BANK, Appellants,
vs.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, WAT MAYES, FIRST National Bank of Vinita, Vinita National Bank, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, and Mary D. Mayes, Appellees.

Citation.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *ss:*

To First National Bank of Claremore, Plaintiff; Wat Mayes, Defendant; Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek; J. C. Hogan; Mary D. Mayes; First National Bank of Vinita, and Vinita National Bank, Intervenors, Greeting:

You are hereby cited and admonished to be and appear in the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory, at the City of South McAlester, Indian Territory sixty days from and after the day this citation bears date, pursuant to an appeal filed in the Clerk's office of the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian

Territory, wherein C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, interveners, are appellants, and First National Bank of Claremore, plaintiff; Wat Mayes, defendant; First National Bank of Vinita, Vinita National Bank, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan and Mary D. Mayes, interveners, are respondents, and show cause, if any there be, why the judgment rendered against the said C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank as in said appeal mentioned should not be corrected, and why speedy justice should not be done the parties in that behalf.

Witness the Honorable William R. Lawrence, Associate Justice of the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory, this 2nd day of March, in the year of our Lord One Thousand Nine Hundred and Seven.

WILLIAM R. LAWRENCE,
Associate Justice of the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory.

47½ We hereby accept service of above citation:

W. H. KOREGAY,
Attorney for First National Bank of Claremore, and First National Bank of Vinita.
J. HOWARD LANGLEY,
Attorney for J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes and Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek.
DAVID HILL,
President of the Vinita National Bank.
JOHN B. TURNER,
Attorney for Wat Mayes.

Endorsed: No. 2327, Equity. First National Bank of *Clarence*, v. Wat Mayes, Stock Yards Bank, et al., Interveners. Citation on Appeal. North Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed March 6, 1907. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court.

Pleas before the Honorable Joseph A. Gill, Judge of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, sitting at Vinita, Indian Territory, on the Seventh day of January, 1907, in an action styled, First National Bank of Claremore, plaintiff, v. Wat Mayes, defendant; Stock Yards Bank, C. M. Keyes & Co., Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory; J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, First National Bank of Vinita, and Vinita National Bank, interveners, and numbered 2327 on the Equity Docket of said court.

On the 20th day of July, 1905, plaintiff, First National Bank of Claremore, filed complaint at law herein, in words and figures as fol-ows towit:

In the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, a Corporation, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant.

Complaint.

The plaintiff states that it is a corporation organized under the laws of the United States and doing business in Claremore, Indian Territory and is a national bank. That the defendant resides in the Northern District of the Indian Territory and Vinita is the most convenient place to try the action. That the defendant herein is indebted to the plaintiff herein on a promissory note as follows:

"$4206.02. CLAREMORE, IND. TER., *Jan.* 8, 1903.

48 One year *days* after date, for value received, I promise to pay to the order of First National Bank Claremore, I. T., the sum of Forty Two Hundred Six and 62-100 Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum from maturity until paid.

The makers and endorsers of this note severally waive protest and notice of non-payment thereof, and if this note is collected by law, we agree to pay ten per cent of the principal as attorney fees; we also agree not to schedule any of our property to avoid the payment of this note.

(Signed) WAT MAYES."

That said note after its making was extended to the 8th day of July, 1904, and thereafter was extended to January 8, 1905, and that on the 13th day of July, 1904, to cover the interest on said note for the year ending January 8, 1905, the defendant made to the plaintiff another note, which is as follows:

"$365.75. CLAREMORE, IND. —, *July* 13, 1904.

January 8, 1905, — days after date, for value received, I promise to pay to the order of the First National Bank of Claremore, at Claremore, I. T., the sum of Three Hundred Fifty Six and 75-100 Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum from maturity until paid.

The makers and endorsers of this note severally waive protest and notice of non-payment hereof, and if this note is collected by law, we agree to pay ten per cent of the principal as attorney fees; we also agree not to schedule any of our property to avoid the payment of this note.

(Signed) WAT MAYES."

That on the first mentioned note there would now be due the principal sum of $4206.62, and also the interest th-reon at eight per cent per year from the 8th day of January, 1905, making the total amount $4386.09, and on the second note there would now be due the principal sum, $356.75, and interest thereon from the 8th day of January, 1905, at eight per cent per year, making the total amount on the same, $371.98. That in addition to these amounts the defendants is indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of $43.55 for money paid for him on the 26th day of November, 1904, together with six per cent interest from the time of said account, amounting at the present time to $45.26. Also in addition to these amounts the defendant is indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of $66.12 for money paid for him on the 5th day of June, 1905, together with six per cent interest thereon from the time of said account, amounting at the present time to $66.56.

48½ That all of said sums are past due and the defendant neglects to pay the same.

Wherefore, the premises considered, the plaintiff prays for judgment against the defendant for the sum of $4,386.09 on the first note and the sum of $371.98 on the second note and the sum of $111.82 on the accounts, making the total amount $4,869.89, and for costs.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE,
By W. H. KOREGAY,
Its Attorney.

INDIAN TERRITORY, NORTHERN DISTRICT, *ss:*

I, C. F. Godbey, on oath do say; that I am cashier of the plaintiff bank, and that the statements of the foregoing complaint are true, as I verily believe, and that the defendant, Wat Mayes, is justly indebted to the plaintiff, First National Bank of Claremore, in the respective amounts claimed on the respective notes, and that the account, as set forth in the said complaint is just, true and correct.

C. F. GODBEY, *Cash.*

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 19th day of July, 1905.
[SEAL.] EDGAR ANDERSON,
Notary Public within and for the Northern District of the Indian Territory.

My commission expires April 26, 1909.

Master's Exhibit "H."

Endorsed: No. 2327 First National Bank of Claremore, plaintiff, vs. Wat Mayes, defendant. Complaint. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed July 20, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court. W. H. Kornegay att'y for Plaintiff

On the 20th day of July, 1905, plaintiff, filed affidavit in attachment in words and figures as follows towit:

In the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District at Vinita.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, a Corporation, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant.

INDIAN TERRITORY,
Northern District, ss:

Affidavit in Attachment.

I, J. O. Hall, on oath do say: that I am president of plaintiff, First National Bank of Claremore, a corporation and that on its behalf I make this affidavit: that the plaintiff's claim herein is a demand on promissory notes and an account for money loaned and paid to the defendant, Wat Mayes; that the claim is just; that I believe the plaintiff ought to recover $4,869.89, and that the defendant herein has removed a material part of his property out of this Territory, not leaving enough therein to satisfy the claim of the plaintiff or the claim of the defendant's creditors, and that he is about to remove a material part of his property out of this Territory, without leaving enough therein to satisfy the claim of the plaintiff or the claim of the defendant's creditors.

J. O. HALL.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20th day of July, 1905.

[SEAL.] R. V. McSPADDEN,
Notary Public within and for the Northern District of the Indian Territory.

My commission expires Jan. 21st, 1906.

Endorsed: Number 2327. First Nat'l Bank of Claremore, plaintiff, vs. Wat Mayes, def't. Affidavit in Attachment. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. July 20, 1905. Chas. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court. W. H. Konegay att'y for Pl't'ff.

On July 20, 1905, order of attachment was issued out of said court in words and figures as follows towit:

In the United States Court, in the Indian Territory, Northern District, ss:

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYERS, Defendant.

Order of Attachment with Summons.

The President of the United States of America to the Marshals for the Northern District, Indian Territory, Greeting:

You are commanded to attach and safely keep the property of the defendant, Wat Mayes in your District, not exempt from execution, or so much thereof as will satisfy the claim of First National Bank of Claremore, the plaintiff, in this action for Four Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty Nine and 89-100 ($4,869.89) and
49½ Thirty Dollars for the costs thereof; and you are also commanded to summons the above named defendant to answer, on the first day after the next October Term of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita, Indian Territory, be-ng the 2nd day of October, A. D., 1905, a complaint filed against him in said Court by the above named plaintiff, and warn him that upon his failure to answer, the complaint will be taken for confessed; and also to summon such other persons as the plaintiff may suggest, as garnishees, to answer in this action on said day and at the place above mentioned. You will make due return of this order in the first day of the next October Term of said Court. Witness, the Honorable Joseph A. Gill, Judge of said Court, and the seal thereof, at Vinita, Indian Territory, this 20th day of July, A. D. 1905.

CHAS. A. DAVIDSON, *Clerk*,
By T. A. CHANDLER, *Deputy*.

On the back of said writ the following return was made by the Marshal in words and figures as follows to-wit:

Marshal's Return.

Received this Order of Attachment with summons this 20th day of July, at 4 o'clock P. M., and served the same by levying upon the following described property of the within named defendant, to-wit:

262 cows, branded W. on left shoulder, value $....
45 black cows, branded K on left side, value $...
102 4 year old steers, branded W on left shoulder, value $....
98 2 year old steers, branded W on left shoulder, value $....
35 1 year old steers branded W on left shoulder, value $....
39 1 year old heifers branded W on left shoulder, value $....
7 bulls branded W on left shoulder, value $....
60 calves branded W on left shoulder, value $....

All of said property was found in pastures about three miles S. E. of Pryor Creek, I. T., in Northern District of Ind. Ter., all of which said property I now hold subject to the orders of the Court.

I also served the same by copy as follows: Personally on Wat Mayes, at Pryor Creek, Ind. Ter., this 22nd day of July, 1905, at 8 o'clock A. M.

W. H. DARROUGH,
U. S. Marshal,
By J. D. WILKINS, *Deputy.*

50 Endorsed: No. 2327. First Nat. Bank of Claremore vs. Wat Mayes. Order of Attachment. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Aug. 15, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court.

Marshal's Fees:

Service, one copy	$2.00
Deputy's team 1 1-2 d	4.50
Total	$6.50

W. H. Darrough, U. S. Marshal; J. D. Wilkins, Deputy. Kornegay, Att'y for pl'ff.

On August 23, 1905, the court entered in such action the following order:

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE
vs.
WATT MAYES.

Now on this day cause coming on for hearing it is by the Court ordered that the Stock Yards Bank, C. M. Keys & Co. and the Vinita National Bank be and they are hereby allowed to interplead in this cause as of date August 15th, 1905. Comes now John C. Hogan and by leave of Court files his interplea herein, defendant now presents his answer and cross complaint to said plea of intervention of Stock Yards Bank and C. M. Keys & Co. and same is by the Court ordered filed. Comes now Mary D. Mayes and the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek and by leave of Court file their interplea herein. Motion by plaintiff filed herein to sell attached property in this case is hereby continued. The motion filed herein to transfer this cause to the Equity Docket by the Court heard and sustained, whereupon, it is ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby transferred from the law to the Equity Docket of this Court and to which ruling of the Court, Intervenor, Vinita National Bank excepts.

On the 15th day of August, 1905, plaintiff made application to sell said property, which application is in words and figures as follows to wit:

In the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita.

No. —.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant.

50½ *Application for Order Concerning Attached Property.*

The plaintiff herein represents and shows to the court that there has been attached by the marshal of the court in this case 262 cows branded "W" on left shoulder; 45 black cows branded "K" on left side; 98 two year old steers branded "W" on left shoulder; 102 four year old steers branded "W" on left shoulder; 35 yearling steers branded "W" on left shoulder; 39 yearling heifers branded "W" on left shoulder; 7 mixed bulls and 60 head of calves.

That the property so attached is of a perishable nature, and the cost of keeping it is such that a sale would be for the benefit of all parties herein, and it asks the court to make an order for the sale of the property, or so much as is necessary to satisfy the claim of the plaintiff herein and the probable cost of the action.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE,
By W. H. KORNEGAY, *Attorney.*

Endorsed: No. 2327. First National Bank of Claremore vs. Wat Mayes, defendant. Application To Sell Property. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Aug. 15, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court.

On the 15th day of August, 1905, Stock Yards Bank and C. M. Keys & Co. filed their interplea in words and figures as follows to wit:

The United States Court, Northern Judicial District, Indian Territory, at Vinita.

Honorable Joseph A. Gill, Presiding.

No. 2327. Law.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant.

Interplea.

And now come the Stock Yards Bank and C. M. Keys & Company, and by permission of the Court file this their petition and interplea herein, and respectfully show to the Court.

First, that said bank is organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Illinois, having its domicile in the City of National Stock Yards, St. Clair County, Illinois, and having for its president C. G. Knox, and for its cashier S. Chesney, that said C. M. Keys & Company was on the dates hereinafter mentioned a copartnership, composed of C. M. Keys and Hugh Mills, doing business as brokers and commission merchants in the City of National Stock Yards, Illinois, and having there domicile therein.

Second, Said interveners further show to the court that
51 plaintiff has sued out a writ of attachment against defendant's property, claiming, among other things, that defendant has removed a material part of his property out of this Territory, not leaving enough therein to satisfy the claim of plaintiff or the claims of defendant's creditors, and that he is about to remove a material part of his property out of this Territory, without leaving enough therein to satisfy the claim of plaintiff, or the claims of defendant's creditors; that plaintiff has caused said writ of attachment to be levied upon the property described in Intervener's Exhibit "B," which property is now in the custody of the United States Marshal for this District.

Third, these interveners further show to the court that on to-wit, the 29th day of October, A. D. 1901, defendant said Wat Mayes, being indebted to interveners, said C. M. Keys & Company, made, executed and delivered to said intervener his one certain promissory note, of date of October 28th, 1901, for the sum of Thirty Four Thousand Eight Hundred Dollars and Four Cents, ($34,800.00), due and payble to the order of C. M. Keys & Co., at National Stock Yards, Illinois, six months after date, with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum from date thereof, a copy of which note, together with all endorsements thereon, is hereto attached, marked "Interveners' Exhibit "A," and made a part of this intervention, to secure which, defendant then and there made, executed and delivered to said intervener a chattel mortgage upon two thousand eight hundred thirty five (2,835) head of mixed cattle, and their increase, then and there situated about three miles (3) southeast of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, the original of which mortgage, together with the certificate of record of the Clerk and ex-officio Recorder and all endorsements thereon, is hereto attached, marked "Interveners' Exhibit "B" and made a part of this intervention, by reason of which defendant, Mayes did then and there grant, bargain, sell, and convey unto said intervener the legal title in and to the cattle therein described, and did then and there give and acknowledge a mortgage lien upon said cattle for the security of said indebtedness; and thereafter and on towit, the 31st day of October, A. D. 1901, at two (2) o'clock in the afternoon, said intervener duly filed and had said mortgage duly recorded in Book M, at page Two Hundred Eighty Six (286) in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Muskogee, who was then and there ex-officio recorder for the Northern District of the Indian Territory as it then existed, by reason of

which said intervener then and there claimed, acquired and
51½ fixed a lien upon said property described in said mortgage, which lien has since and is now a valid and subsisting lien upon said property.

Fourth, that thereafter and before said note became due said intervener, said C. M. Keys & Company, for a valuable consideration and in a due course of business negotiated, sold and transferred said note to intervener, said Stock Yards Bank, and then and there made the following endorsement upon the back of said note:

Presentment, demand, protect and notice of protest waived, and payment at maturity guaranteed.

C. M. KEYS & CO."

And then and there delivered said note to said intervener, said Stock Yards Bank; and at the same time said intervener, said C. M. Keys & Company, verbally assigned and transferred to said intervener, said Stock Yards Bank, all their right, title and interest in and to said mortgage, by reason of which said interpleader, said Stock Yards Bank, became the legal owner and holder of said note and mortgage and said Bank is now the legal holder and owner of said note and mortgage, and the superior title and right of possession in and to the cattle therein described; that when said note became due defendant was unable to pay the same, but made a settlement with said interveners, paying part of said indebtedness and giving his note for the remainder thereof, and that from time to time thereafter, defendant made various payments upon said indebtedness, until on towit, the First day of March, A. D. 1905, and as evidence of the remaining indebtedness then due from said defendant to said interveners on said indebtedness secured by the mortgage aforesaid, defendant made, executed, and delivered to intervener, C. M. Keys & Company, his one certain promissory note for the sum of Twelve Thousand Twenty-six Dollars and Seventy-four Cents ($12,026.74), due and payable to the order of C. M. Keys & Co., six months after date at National Stock Yards, Illinois, together with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date thereof; and also one certain promissory note, of date January 16th, 1905, for the sum of Two Thousand Nine Hundred Seventy Dollars and Ninety-five Cents ($2,970.95), payable to the order of C. M. Keyes & Co., at National Stock Yards, Illinois, together with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date thereof, by reason of which said defendant then and there promised and agreed to pay said interveners said sums of money according to the terms and tenor of said notes, copies of which notes are attached hereto, marked "Interveners' Exhibit "C" and "Interveners' Exhibit "D" re-
52 spectively, and made a part of this intervention; that thereafter and before said notes became due, for a valuable consideration and in a due course of business said intervener, said C. M. Keys & Company, endorsed, negotiated, and sold to intervener, said Stock Yards Bank, said notes, as shown by indorsements on said "Interveners' Exhibit "C" and "Interveners' Exhibit "D," and said interveners, said Stock Yards Bank, became the legal owner and

holder thereof as aforesaid, and are now the legal owner and holder of said notes, together with the mortgage above described and set forth and all legal and equitable interest therein and rights thereunder; that there is now due and unpaid upon said indebtedness the sum of Fifteen Thousand Two Hundred Eight'-eight Dollars and Sixty-nine Cents ($15,288.69), after allowing all just credits, payments and offsets to said defendant, said Wat Mayes; that on towit, the — day of February, A. D., 1905, defendant, Wat Mayes, made, executed, and delivered to interpleader, said C. M. Keys & Company, his one certain promissory not- for the sum of Five Hundred Dollars ($500.00), which together with interest thereon now aggregates the sum of Five Hundred and Twenty Dollars ($520.00).

Wherefore, premises considered, said interpleaders pray the court to transfer this case to the Equity Docket and refer the same to the Master in Chancery, in order that the evidence may be taken and report thereof made to this court and that upon a final hearing hereof the court adjudge said mortgage lien in favor of these interpleaders to be the first and paramount lien upon said property and that the same be foreclosed and sold in accordance with the law and the proceeds thereof be applied in satisfaction of said indebtedness; that these interpleaders have judgment against defendant, Wat Mayes, upon said notes for the sum of Fifteen Thousand Two Hundred Eighty-eight Dollars and Sixty-nine Cents ($15,288.69) and for the further sum of Five Hundred Twenty Dollars ($520.00) together with all costs and general relief.

CAMPBELL AND KEYS,
ROBERT F. BLAIR,
Attorneys for Interpleaders.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Western Judicial District,
Indian Territory:

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared C. M. Keys, who being by me duly sworn deposes and says that he is one of the interpleaders herein, and that he has read over the foregoing interplea and that the statements therein contained are true as he verily believes.

52½

C. M. KEYS.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this fourteenth day of August, 1905.

[SEAL.]

ROSE M. SIMPSON,
Notary Public.

My commission expires on the fifteenth day of March, A. D. 1908.

"INTERPLEADER'S EXHIBIT "B."

Chattel Mortgage.

Know All Men By These Presents, that Wat Mayes of Pryor Creek, of the Cherokee Nation, Ind. Ter., party of the first part, in consideration of the sum of Thirty Four Thousand Eight Hundred and 04-100 Dollars to him paid by C. M. Keys & Co., party of the second part, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has bargained, sold, assigned, and transferred, and by these presents does bargain, sell, assign, and transfer unto said party of the second part and its successors and assigns, all the following articles of personal property, situated in the Cherokee Nation, of Ind. Ter., towit: Twenty Eight Hundred Thirty-five (2835) head of mixed cattle — years old and upward, average weight about — pounds and worth — $— now. For further and better description said cattle are marked and branded with one or more of the marks and brands as follows, viz:

Six hundred (600) three year steers; six hundred (600) cows, four to eight years; one hundred forty three (143) four year steers; three hundred twenty (325) two year steers; one hundred (100) two year heifers; Three hundred fifty (350) yearling steers and heifers; five hundred (500) last spring calves; twenty (20) bulls; Five (5) bulls coming two year old (the 20 bulls are coming 4 year old). Above mentioned cattle are branded thus W on left shoulder, with the exception of a few of the cows and three year steers which have △ on right shoulder, and about twelve of the last spring calves have K on both sides. Eleven (11) four year steers branded O on left loin; thirty-five (35) two year steers; thirty-eight (38) three year steers; fourteen (14) two year heifers; ten (10) four year bulls; eighty-four (84) cows; three to eight years, all branded K on both sides; one hundred forty ton of cotton seed; three hundred fifty ton of hay; one thousand bushel of corn; six hundred acres
53 of shocked fodder, and three hundred acres of shocked fodder rented. These cattle are located on said mortgagor's range, three miles southeast of Pryor Creek, I. T. And being all the property of the above description owned and controlled by the mortgagor now on said premises, and this mortgage is intended to cover and include all of said property of the above description and *and* addition and increase thereto located on the above described premises, and range, if any thereabouts. The marks and brands used above to describe said property are the holding marks and brands and carry the title, although said property may have other marks and brands. If the mortgagor should desire to mix any other property with the above, before doing so he shall give a full description of said property intended to be so mixed, with the name of the owner thereof to said second party, and obtain the written consent of said second party before mixing the same.

This mortgage shall also cover and include all the right, title and interest of the mortgagor, in and to the pasturage, feed pens, feed

thoughs and water privileges used in feeding said live stock. Said property to remain and be kept on the premises above described until the full payment of the indebtedness hereinafter described, unless sooner marketed or removed by and with the written consent of the mortgagee or its assigns. When marketed said cattle are to be shipped and consigned for sale to C. M. Keys & Co., at the Stock Yards at Nat. Stock Yds., Ill., and when sold the proceeds thereof shall be applied first in payment of the usual and customary commissions to said C. M. Keys & Co. for selling the same, and the balance, or so much thereof as may be necessary, shall be applied on the indebtedness hereinafter described. If said cattle or any part thereof be consigned or sold elsewhere than above, then said mortgagee shall be paid a commission of 50 cents per head on all the above described cattle.

Provided always, and these presents are upon this express condition, that if said party of the first part shall pay or cause to be paid unto the said party of the second part, its successors, or assigns, the commissions heretofore stated and agreed upon and the aforesaid sum of Thirty Four Thousand Eight Hundred and 04-100 Dollars ($34,800.04), according to the terms of one certain promissory note of even date herewith, executed by Wat Mayes to the order of C. M. Keys & Co., and payable as follows:

One note for $34,800.04, dated Oct. 28, 1901, payable one month after date all payable at the office of C. M. Keys & Co., Nat. Stock Yds., Ill., and all with interest thereon at the rate of eight per 53½ cent per annum from date or according to the terms of any renewal or extension of said note which may be made by consent of said second party, its successors or assigns, and may be evidenced by the execution of new note or notes in place of said original note at or after maturity, for the amount of the debt then unpaid and any renewals or extensions thereof, and which said note the party of the first part hereby agrees to pay on maturity thereof, then these presents and everything herein contained shall be void, anything herein contained to the contrary notwithstanding. And it is hereby mutually covenanted and agreed between the parties hereto, that if default be made in payment of said sum of money, or any part thereof, or the interest thereon, according to the tenor and effect of said note, when the same becomes due and payable, or upon a failure to conform *or to* comply with any of the conditions and agreements herein mentioned, then the whole sum of money hereby secured shall, at the option of the party of the *of the* second part or the legal holder or holders of said note or notes, become due and payable at once, without notice. And it is further agreed that in case of sale or disposal, or attempt to sell or dispose of the goods and chattels hereby mortgaged, or a removal of, or attempt to remove, the same from the premises aforesaid, or an unreasonable depreciation in value, or if from any other cause the security shall become inadequate, or the party of the second part shall deem itself insecure at any time before said indebtedness is fully paid, whether the same be due or not, then and thenceforth it shall be lawful for the said party of the second part, its successors or assigns, or its au-

thorized agent, to enter upon the premises of the said party of the first part, or any other place or places wherein said goods and chattels or live stock aforesaid may be, to remove and dispose of the same as aforesaid without resort to law, and without appraisement or notice (all right of redemption, appraisement and notice of foreclosure required by law being hereby expressly waived), and all the equity of redemption of the said party of the first part, at public auction or private sale, to the person or persons who shall pay the highest price for the same, and out of the avails thereof to retain the full amount of said obligation, with the interest thereon, according to the conditions thereof, together with all the reasonable costs and expenses attending the same, and commissions aforesaid, rendering to said party of the first part, or his legal representatives, the surplus money, if any there shall be, anything to the contrary notwithstanding. And until default be made, as aforesaid, or until such
54 time as the said party of the second part shall deem itself insecure, as aforesaid, the said party of the first part to continue in the peaceable possession of all of said goods and chattels, all of which in consideration thereof he agrees shall be kept in as good condition as the same now are, and taken care of at his own proper cost and expense. and upon the neglect or failure of said first party so to do, then said second party, its successors or assigns, may cause the same to be done, and for all expenses so incurred and sums expended, this obligation shall be a continuing security; and if from any cause said property shall fail to satisfy said debt and interest aforesaid, said party of the first part hereby agrees to pay the deficiency on demand.

In Witness Whereof, the party of the first part has hereunto set his hand and seal this 29th day of October, in the Year of Our Lord One Thousand Nine Hundred and One (1901).

WAT MAYES. [SEAL.]

Signed, sealed and delivered in presence of
F. C. PINKERTON.

STATE OF ILLINOIS,
County of St. Clair, ss:

Be It Remembered, that on this 29th day of October, A. D., 1901 before the undersigned, a Notary Public duly commissioned and acting as such within and for the county of St. Clair aforesaid, personally came Wat Mayes to me well known to be the grantor described in and who executed and whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument of writing as party thereto, and stated and acknowledged to me that he executed the same as his free act and deed for the purposes and consideration therein mentioned.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal, at my office in National Stock Yards in said County, the day and year first above written.

My term of office as Notary Public will expire Aug. 5, 1903.

[SEAL.]

SAMUEL BUCKNELL,
Notary Public.

Affidavit of Ownership.

STATE OF ILLINOIS,
County of St. Clair, ss:

To obtain credit for the within amount Wat Mayes being duly sworn, upon his oath, says that the property, in number and kind as designated in the within instrument, is now located at the place or places stated in this instrument, and that the mortgagor herein is the lawful and absolute owner of said property described, that he has full power and authority to sell or mortgage the same 54½ and give clear title thereto, and that there are no liens or mortgages against said property.

WAT MAYES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 29th day of October, A. D. 1901.

SAMUEL BUCKNELL,
[SEAL.] *Notary Public.*

This affidavit filed this 31 day of Oct., 1901, at 2 o'clock P. M. Entered in Volume M. page 286.

CHAS. A. DAVIDSON,
[SEAL.] *Register of Deeds.*

Certificate of Record.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Indian Territory, Northern District, ss:

I, Charles A. Davidson, Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio Recorder in and for the Northern District, Indian Territory, do hereby certify that the instrument hereto attached was filed for record in my office the 31 day of October, 1901, at 2 o'clock P. M., and duly recorded in Book M., page 286.

Witness my hand and seal of said Court at Muskogee, in said Territory, this 22d day of November, A. D. 1901.

CHAS. A. DAVIDSON,
Clerk and ex-Officio Recorder.

(Chattel mortgage.) Endorsed: M—286. No. — Chattel Mortgage. From Wat Mayes to C. M. Keys & Co. National Stock Yards, Ills. This instrument to be recorded. C. M. Keys & Co., National Stock Yards, Ills., North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed for record Oct. 31, 1901, 2 P. M. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court.

Master's Exhibits "G."

Endorsed: No. 2327 Law. First National Bank of Claremore, Plaintiff, vs. Wat Mayes, defendant. Interplea of Stock Yards Bank and C. M. Keys & Company. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Aug. 15, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court. Campbell and Keys, Pryor Creek, and Robert F. Blair, attorneys for Interpleaders.

On the 15th day of August, 1905, Vinita National Bank filed its interplea in words and figures as follows, towit:

55 In the United States — n and for the Northern District of Indian Territory, Sitting at Vinita.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant.

Interplea.

Now comes the Vinita National Bank and by permission of the court files this its interplea herein and respectfully shows to the court.

First. That said intervener is a corporation organized under the laws of the United States and doing business in Vinita, Indian Territory, and is a National Bank, and having for its president, William Little, and for its cashier, J. F. Quillian, and was such corporation on the 15th day of December, 1899.

Second. That said intervenor shows to the court that plaintiff has sued out a writ of attachment against defendant's property, and caused said writ of attachment to be levied upon the property described in the return of officer levying said attachment and which property is now in the hands of the United States Marshall of the Northern District of the Indian Territory.

Third. This intervenor further shows to the court that on the 15th day of December, 1899, defendant, said Wat Mayes, being indebted to intervenor, said Vinita National Bank, made, executed, and delivered to said intervenor his certain promissory note, of date of December 15th, 1899, for the sum of Four Thousand Five Hundred Dollars ($4500.00) due and payable to the Vinita National Bank with interest thereon, at Vinita, I. T., a copy of which note is hereto attached, marked Intervenor's "Exhibit "A" and made a part of this intervention, to secure which defendant then and there made, executed, and delivered to this intervenor a chattel mortgage upon five hundred head of cows and their increase, a copy of which mortgage together with renewal affidavits duly certified by the Clerk and ex-officio Recorder and all endorsements thereon, is hereto attached, marked intervenor's Exhibit "B." by reason of which defendant Mayes did then and there grant, bargain, sell, and convey unto this intervenor the legal title in and to the cattle therein described, and did then and there give and acknowledge a mortgage lien upon said cattle for the security of said indebtedness, and thereafter and on towit the 20th day of December, 1899 at 2 o'clock P. M. said intervenor duly filed said mortgage in the office of the United States Clerk in and for the United States Court of the Northern District of Indian Territory, at Muskogee, who was then
55½ and there ex-officio recorder for the Northern District of Indian Territory as it then existed, by reason of which said intervenor then and there obtained, acquired and fixed a lien upon

said property described in said mortgage, and said mortgage has since been renewed by filing renewal affidavits on towit, Dec. 14, 1900, Dec. 12, 1901, Dec. 20, 1902, Dec. 14, 1903 and Dec. 12 1904, and said lien has since been and is now a valid and subsisting lien upon said property.

Fourth. That thereafter when said note became due and at various times thereafter, defendant made certain payments on said notes which payments are credited on said note and accounted for in each affidavit for renewal of said mortgage as shown in intervenors' Exhibits "A" and "B" and has reduced the amount of said note to the sum of $729.04, after allowing all just credits, payments and offsets of said defendant, which said amount is past due and payable to the Vinita National Bank as the owner and holder of said note and mortgage.

Fifth. That the plaintiff herein has caused said writ of attachment to be levied upon the cattle covered by this intervenor's mortgage upon which he has a first mortgage lien for payment of the above debt and said property is now in the hands of the Marshal of this court.

Sixth. That the plaintiff herein is fully secured and amply protected for the payment of the amount of his debt sued on, by mortgage given to said plaintiff by the said defendant, Wat Mayes, covering over $5200.00 worth of real estate, located in the town of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, to secure the payment of said indebtedness, a certified copy of said mortgage is herewith filed, marked Exhibit "C" and made a part of this intervention.

Wherefore, the premises considered this intervenor asks that the levy of said writ of attachment upon the cattle covered by intervenor's mortgage be quashed, that said cattle be released and discharged from the custody of said marshal under said writ, or failing in that, that the court transfer this cause to the equity docket and compel plaintiff to exhaust its security to secure the debt sued on first so that this intervenor may have the property covered by his first mortgage lien to satisfy its debt as above set forth, and such other and further relief as it may in the judgment of the court be entitled.

WM. P. THOMPSON,
Attorney for Intervenor.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Northern District of Indian Territory, ss:

56 William Little, upon oath states that he is the president of The Vinita National Bank; that he has read the above and foregoing petition for intervention or interplea, and that the statements therein contained are true as he verily believes.

WILLIAM LITTLE,
President.

Subscribed and *and* sworn to before me this the 15th day of August 1905.

J. E. BUFFINGTON,
Notary Public.

[SEAL.]

My commission expires Jan. 21, 1908.

INTERPLEADER'S EXHIBIT "A."

VINITA, IND. TER., *Dec.* 15, 1899.

No. —.

Six months after date I promise to pay to the order of the Vinita National Bank, Four Thousand Five Hundred Dollars for value received, payable at the Vinita National Bank, Vinita, Ind. Ter., with interest thereon at the rate of 10 per cent. per annum from maturity until paid, payable annually, and if the interest be not paid when due, the same shall become a part of the principal and bear the same rate of interest. We, the makers, sureties, grantors and endorsers, severally waive presentment for payment, protest and notice of protest, and non-payment of this note.

(Endorsed:) 9-8-03 889.85 10-6-04 392.71 9-22-03 1107.43 10-20-04 224.01 11-28-03 412.72 11-15-04 270.35 4-29-04 80.82 11-12-05 393.07.

WAT MAYES.

MASTER'S EXHIBIT "3."

Chattel Mortgage.

Know All Men By These Presents, that Wat Mayes of the first part, in consideration of the sum of Four Thousand Five Hundred and no-100 Dollars to him in hand paid by Vinita National Bank of the second part, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has bargained and sold and by these presents does bargain and sell unto the said party of the second part, his executors, administrators and assigns all of the following articles of personal property, the same being the absolute property of, and now in the possession of said party of the first part at his farm or ranch, in Cooweescowee District, Cherokee Nation, and within the Northern District, Indian Territory, towit:

Five hundred head of cows, 2 years old and upwards, branded in following brands, towit: 100 branded C on right side: 100 branded — on left thigh: 300 branded W on left shoulder: said cattle also branded with various other brands. The above described cattle being all the cows owned by party of first part 2 years old and up.

561½

Provided always, and these presents are upon this express condition: That if the said party of the first part shall pay or cause to be paid, to the said party of the second part, or to his executors, administrators or assigns, the fees for releasing this mortgage, and the aforesaid sum of $4500.00, according to the terms of one certain promissory note of which the following is a synopsis, viz. Date Dec. 15th, 1899 note due six months after date signed by Wat Mayes rate of interest 10 per cent. from maturity, then these presents and everything herein contained shall be void. But if default shall be made in the payment of said sums of money or any part thereof, or the

interest thereon, at the time or times when by the condition of the said note, the same shall become payable, or if said party of the second part shall at any time deem himself insecure for and cause, without assigning any reason therefor, or if said property is removed from the district aforesaid, then and thenceforth it shall be lawful for said party of the second part, his executors, administrators or assigns, or his authorized agent, to declare said note and mortgage due, and to take said goods and chattels wherever the same may be found, and dispose of the same, or so much as may be necessary, without appraisement, (the appraisement required by law being hereby expressly waived) at public auction, at the place where the said property is found or taken, or at ——, Indian Territory, for cash in hand, upon two weeks' notice in some newspaper published in the Northern District, or the county where taken, or by written notices posted in four conspicuous places near the property, at which sale any of the parties hereto may purchase as other parties, and out of the proceeds of said sale, the said party of the second part to retain the sum due him, as herein set forth, and the cost of this trust and of sale, rendering the overplus, if any, to the said party of the first part, his executors, administrators or assigns, and if from any cause said property shall fail to satisfy said debt and interest aforesaid, said party of the first part hereby agrees to pay the deficiency, and until default be made as aforesaid, or until such time as the party of the second part shall deem himself insecure as aforesaid, the said party of the first part to continue in the peaceable possession of all of the said goods and chattels, all of which, in consideration hereof, he engages shall be kept in as good condition as the same now are, and taken care of at his proper cost and expense. It is hereby represented, and this mortgage is accepted on the faith of said representa-
57 tion, that there are no liens of any kind on the above property, but this mortgage is a first lien thereon.

In Witness Whereof, the party of the first part has hereunto set his hand the 15th day of December, A. D. 1899.

WAT MAYES. [SEAL.]

Signed in presence of

—— ——.

—— ——.

(Internal Revenue Stamps $1.75 cancelled.)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Indian Territory,
Northern District, ss:

On this 15th day of Dec. A. D. 1899, before me a notary Public within and for said Northern District, Indian Territory, appeared in person Wat Mayes, to me personally well known as the person whose name appears upon the within and foregoing conveyance as the party grantor, and stated that he had executed the same for the consideration and purposes therein mentioned and set forth, and I do hereby so certify.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my Notarial Seal, on the date last above written.

LEWIS L. MARTIN,
[SEAL.] *Notary Public.*

My commission expires Feb. 3d, 1902.

Certificate of True Copy.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Indian Territory,
Western District, ss:

I, R. P. Harrison, Clerk of the United States Court in the Western District, Indian Territory, and ex-officio Recorder of said District and Territory, do hereby certify that the instrument hereto attached is a full, true and correct copy of a Chattel Mortgage filed in my office on the 29th day of Dec., 1899, at 2 o'clock P. M., and Renewal Affidavit filed Dec. 14, 1900; Dec. 12, 1901; Dec. 20, 1902; 8 A. M.; Dec. 14, 1903, 8 A. M.; and Dec. 12, 1904, 8 A. M.

Witness my hand and seal of said Court at Muskogee, in said Territory, this 15th day of Aug. A. D. 1905.

R. P. HARRISON,
Clerk and Ex-officio Recorder.
By JOHN HARLAN,
Deputy Clerk.

57½ Endorsed: $4,500.00 No. —. Chattel Mortgage, with power of sale. Appraisement waived. Wat Mayes, Mortgagor, to Vinita National Bank, Vinita, I. T., mortgagee. This instrument to be filed but not recorded. Vinita National Bank, mortgagee. Filed this 29th day of Dec. 1899, at 2 o'clock P. M., and entered on page 113, Book 00 of Minutes of Mortgages. Jas. A. Winston, clerk.

Renewal Affidavit (1).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Indian Territory,
First Judicial Division, ss:

I do solemnly swear, that I am the within mortgagee and that the property described in the within mortgage was, on the 15th day of December, 1899, conveyed to the Vinita National Bank by Wat Mayes to secure the payment of Forty Five Hundred Dollars on which there is yet due and unpaid the sum of Forty Five Hundred Dollars, with interest at 10 per cent. per annum from Sept. 15, 1899.

So help me God.

DAVID HILL,
Cashier Vinita National Bank.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 13th day of Dec., 1900.

J. F. QUILLIAN,
[SEAL.] *Notary Public.*

My commission expires —.

Northern Dist., Ind. Ter. Filed Dec. 14, 1900.

CHAS. A. DAVIDSON,
Clerk U. S. Court.

Renewal Affidavit (2).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Indian Territory,
First Judicial Division, ss:

I do solemnly swear, that I am the within mortgagee and that the property described in the within mortgage was, on the 15th day of Dec. 1899 conveyed to The Vinita National Bank by Wat Mayes to secure the payment of Forty Five Hundred Dollars on which there is yet due and unpaid the sum of Forty Five Hundred Dollars. So help me God.

DAVID HILL, *Cashier.*

Subscribed and Sworn to before me this 11 day of Dec., 1901.

J. F. QUILLIAN,
[SEAL.] *Notary Public.*

My commission expires on —

Northern Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Dec. 12, 1901. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court.

58 *Renewal Affidavit* (3).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Indian Territory, Northern Judicial District, ss:

I do solemnly swear, that I am the within mortgagee and that the property described in the within mortgage was, on the 15th day of Dec., 1899 conveyed to The Vinita National Bank by Wat Mayes to secure the payment of Forty Five Hundred Dollars, on which there is yet due and unpaid the sum of Forty Five Hundred Dollars. So help me God.

DAVID HILL, *Cashier.*

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 19 day of Dec., 1902.

[SEAL.] J. F. QUILLIAN,
Notary Public.

My commission expires on —.

Western Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Dec. 20, 1902, 8 A. M. R. P. Harrison, Clerk U. S. Court.

Renewal Affidavit (4).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Indian Territory, Northern Judicial District, ss:

I do solemnly swear, that I am the within mortgagee and that the property described in the within mortgage was, on the 15th day of Dec., 1899 conveyed to the Vinita National Bank by Wat Mayes to secure the payment of Forty Five Hundred Dollars, on which there is yet due and unpaid the sum of Twenty One Hundred ninety & no-100 Dollars. So help me God.

DAVID HILL, *Cashier.*

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 12th day of Dec., 1903.
[SEAL.]
J. F. QUILLIAN,
Notary Public.

My commission expires on —.

Western District, Ind. Ter. Filed Dec. 14, 1903, 8 A. M. R. P. Harrison, Clerk U. S. Court.

Renewal Affidavit (5).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Indian Territory, Northern Judicial District, ss:

I do solemnly swear, that I am the within mortgagee and
581½ that the property described in the within mortgage was, on the 15th day of Dec., 1899 conveyed to The Vinita National Bank by Wat Mayes to secure the payment of Forty Five Hundred Dollars on which there is yet due and unpaid the sum of Fifteen Hundred fourteen & 82-100 Dollars. So help me God.

J. F. QUILLIAN, *Cashier.*

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 12th day of Dec., 1904.
[SEAL.]
J. E. BUFFINGTON,
Notary Public.

My commission expires on Jan. 21, 1908.

Western District, Ind. Ter. Filed Dec. 12, 1904, at 8 A. M. R. P. Harrison, Clerk U. S. Court.

INTERPLEADER'S EXHIBIT "C." MASTER'S EXHIBIT 4.

Chattel Mortgage.

Filed 12-7-1903, at 8 o'clock a. m.

Know All Men By These Presents, that Wat Mayes is indebted to the First Nat. Bank of Claremore, I. T., in the sum of Four Thousand Four Hundred Six and 62-100 Dollars as evidenced by his

promissory note date- Jan. 8, 1903 and *one* one year thereafter. And that to secure the payment of the same he by these presents bargains sells and conveys unto said bank the following described lots and the improvements thereon located in the town of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, within the limits of both the Cherokee and the United States Surveys but described herein according to the Cherokee Survey, viz:

Lots No. 5, 6, 7 and 8 in block No. 81; lots No. 2, block No. 59; lots No. 8 in block 37; lots No. 2 and 8 in block No. 66; lot No. 12 in block No. 55; lot No. 1 in block No. 54; the south half of lot No. 2, block 77; lots 2 and 4 in block No. 78; lot No. 3 in block No. 30; lots 2 and 4 in block No. 70. And Nannie R. Mayes his wife in consideration of the money advanced on said note hereby relinquishes and releases all claims to dower in and to said lots and improvement, in case the debt is paid at maturity, this conveyance shall become void. In case, however, the indebtedness according to the terms of said note or any renewal or extension thereof is not paid then the said bank is empowered to advertise the sale of the said lots or as many as it may deem necessary for two weeks in some newspaper published in the Northern District of the Indian Territory and to sell the same for cash in hand to the highest bidder, the sale to take place in the town of Pryor Creek, I. T. at any convenient place to be selected by said bank and apply the proceeds toward paying the expenses of the sale and the indebtedness as far as the proceeds will extend returning the overplus if any to said Wat Mayes.

59

Witness the hands of the parties this 16th day of January, 1903.

WAT MAYES.
NANNIE MAYES.

INDIAN TERRITORY,
Northern District:

On this 19th day of January, 1903 before me James M. Keys, a Notary Public within and for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, appeared personally Wat Mayes to me well known as being one of the parties grantors in the foregoing instrument and stated that he executed the same for the considerations and purposes therein set forth and mentioned and I do so certify; Also on said day before me Notary aforesaid, voluntarily appeared in person Nannie R. Mayes, the wife of said Wat Mayes and in the absence of her husband she stated and declared that she of her own free will and without compulsion or undue influence of her said husband signed and executed the foregoing instrument and the relinquishment of dower therein contained and expressed for the purposes and considerations therein set forth, contained and mentioned and I do further certify that said Nannie R. Mayes is personally well known to me to be one of the parties to the foregoing instrument.

Witness my hand and official seal on this 19th day of January, 1903.

[SEAL.]

JAMES M. KEYS,
Notary Public, North. Dist., I. T.

INDIAN TERRITORY,
Fifth Recording District, ss:

I, J. C. Burgess Deputy Clerk and Ex-Officio Recorder for the Fifth Recording District of the Indian Territory do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of a Chattle Mortgage, as the same appears of record now on file in my office in Pryor Creek, I. T.

In testimony whereof I have hereunto signed my name and affixed the seal of my said office on this 14th day of August, A. D., 1905.

[SEAL.] J. C. BURGESS,
Deputy Clerk and Ex-Officio Recorder.

59½ Endorsed: No. 2327. First National Bank of Claremore, Pl'ff vs. Wat Mayes, Def't. Interplea of Vinita National Bank. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Aug. 15, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court.

WM. P. THOMPSON,
Attorney for Interpleader.

On the 16th day of December, 1905, the Citizen's Bank of Pryor Creek filed its amended interplea in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

In the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Vinita.

No. —. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK, CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant.

Amended Interplea of Citizen's Bank at Pryor Creek.

Comes now the Citizen's Bank and by permission of the court files this its amended petition and interplea herein and respectfully shows the court:

First, that it is a corporation organized under the laws of the United States for the Indian Territory, with its principal place of business at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory.

Second, that on the —— day of July, A. D., 1905, the plaintiff herein, The First National Bank of Claremore filed its suit against the defendant herein, Wat Mayes, and sued out a writ of attachment and caused said writ of attachment to be levied on certain property described in "Exhibit A" hereto attached; that said property was taken into custody by the United States Marshall for this district and that said property was afterwards, by order of court turned over to George Mayes who was duly appointed receiver herein by said court, and is now in custody of said receiver.

Third, that Wat Mayes, defendant herein, is indebted to your petitioner herein in the principal sum of One Thousand Eight Hundred Twenty-four Dollars ($1,824.69) and sixty-eight cents together with the interest thereon, which said indebtedness is evidenced by two certain promissory notes, which said notes are in words and in figures as follows:

PRYOR CREEK, IND. TER., *April* 4, 1903.

Six months after date for value received, we promise to pay
60 to the order of the Citizen's Bank of Pryor Creek, at Pryor Creek, I. T., the sum of Five Hundred Twenty-Six and 89-100 Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from maturity until paid. The makers and endorsers of this note severally waive protest and notice of non-payment hereof, and if this note is collected by law, we agree to pay 10 per cent. of the principal as attorn*ie*-'s fees; we also agree not to schedule any of our property to avoid the payment of this note.

WAT MAYES.
L. C. HARRISON.
GEO. W. MAYES.

Amt. $526.89; due Nov. 4, 1903.
No. 94.
P. O. Pryor Creek, I. T.

PRYOR CREEK, IND. TER., *July* 24, 1905.

"Three months after date, for value received, we promise to pay to the order of the Citizen's Bank of Pryor Creek, Pryor Creek, I. T., the sum of Twelve Hundred Ninety-seven and 79-100 Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from maturity until paid. The makers and endorsers of this note severally waive protest and notice of non-payment hereof, and, if this note is collected by law, we agree to pay 10 per cent of the principal as attorney's fees; we also agree not to schedule any of our property to avoid the payment of this note."

WAT MAYES.

No. 616. Amt. $1297.79. Due Oct. 24, 1905.

Fourth, that to secure the payment of said notes and for other valuable considerations, the defendant herein, Wat Mayes, on the 24th day of July, A. D., 1905, made, executed and delivered to your petitioner his certain chattel mortgage, a certified copy of which together with the endorsement thereon, is hereto attached and marked Exhibit "B" that said mortgage was duly filed in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court, in the Northern District of Indian Territory, at Pryor Creek, on the 24th day of July, A. D., 1905, at 9 o'clock A. M., as more fully appears from the endorsement on said copy.

Fifth, that your petitioner is the owner of the notes aforesaid and is entitled to receive payment therefor.

Seventh, that the property described in the above said mortgage is the identical property taken under the plaintiff's writ of attachment herein, and that your petitioner by virtue of the aforesaid
60½ mortgage has a lien on said property taken under said writ of attachment, to secure the payment of the above described notes.

Eighth, that on the eighth day of January, A. D., 1903, defendant, Wat Mayes, made, executed and delivered to the plaintiff herein, First National Bank of Claremore, his certain mortgage on the following described property, to-wit:

Lot- 5, 6, 7, and 8, in block 81; lot 2, in block 59; Lot 8 in block 37; Lot- 2 and 8 in block 66; Lot 12 in block 55; lot 1, in block 54; The south ½ of lot 2 in block 77; lot- 2 and 4 in block 78; lot 3 in block 30 and lot- 2 and 4 in block 70 in the town of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory.

That said mortgage was executed and delivered by said defendant to said plaintiff for the purpose of securing the indebtedness of defendant to plaintiff which said indebtedness was made and is the foundation of this said suit and attachment herein; that the value of said above described premises is Four Thousand Dollars. ($4,000.00).

Wherefore, the premises considered, your petitioner prays that it be given judgment against said defendant, Wat Mayes, in the principal sum of Eighteen Hundred Twenty-four and 68-100 Dollars ($1824.68) together with interest thereon according to the tenor of the notes aforesaid; and your petitioner further prays that, inasmuch as plaintiff has security upon two funds, it be required to foreclose its said mortgage on the above described property and apply the proceeds therefrom to the payment of its said claim against defendant, before it be allowed to resort to the fund created by the sale of the property herein attached, and that the said plaintiff be allowed only so much of said fund as will discharge the balance, (if there be a balance after exhausting the first fund above stated) of its judgment against defendant. Your petitioner further prays that the property attached herein be sold and the proceeds be applied to the satisfaction of your petitioner's debt as above set out, and for such other and further relief as to the court shall seem just.

C. J. TAYLOR,
J. H. LANGLEY,
Attorneys for Intervenor.

I, R. A. Brown, do state on oath that I am president of the Citizen's Bank of Pryor Creek, I. T., the Interpleader herein, that I have read the foregoing petition of Intervention, and that the facts therein stated are true as I verily believe.

R. A. BROWN,
Pres't Citizen's Bank.

61 Subscribed and sworn to before me this 5th day of Oct. A. D., 1905.

[SEAL.]

F. M. WATTS,
Notary Public.

Endorsed: No. 2327. Equity Docket. First National Bank of Claremore vs. Wat Mayes. Amended Interplea of the Citizen's Bank, North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Dec. 16, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court. C. J. Taylor and J. H. Langley attorneys for Citizen's Bank.

On the 23d day of August, 1905, John C. Hogan filed his interplea herein in words and figures as follows, towit:

In the United States Court in the Northern District of Indian Territory, at Vinita.

No. 2327.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK, CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant.

Interplea of John C. Hogan.

And now comes John C. Hogan, by permission of the court, and files this, his petition and interplea, herein, and begs to show to the court:

First, That petitioner is a resident of the Northern District of the Indian Territory.

Second, that on the — day of July, 1905, the First National Bank of Claremore, filed its suit against the defendant herein, Wat Mayes, and caused a writ of attachment to be levied upon the property of the said defendant, towit: — head of cattle and which said property is now in the custody of the United States Marshal of the Northern District.

Third, that the defendant herein, Wat Mayes, is indebted to your petit-oner in the principal sum of $6187.00, together with interest thereon which said indebtedness is evidenced by one certain promissory note, dated the 27th day of July, 1905, and which said note is in words and figures as follows, towit:

$6187.00. "PRYOR CREEK, I. T., *July* 27, 1905.

One day after date for value received, I promise to pay to the order of J. C. Hogan Six Thousand One hundred Eighty-seven & no 100 Dollars, at the office of Campbell and Keys, Pryor Creek, I. T., with interest at the rate of 8 per cent per annum, from date 61½ until paid. Payable semi-annually, and if the interest be not paid when due, to become as principal and bear the same rate of interest. The endorsers, sureties, guarantors, and assignors severally waive presentation for payment, protest and notice of protest for non-payment of this note and all defenses on the ground of any extension of time of its payment that may be given the holder or holders, to them or either of them, or to the maker or makers thereof.

WAT MAYES."

Fourth, that on the 27th of July, 1905, said defendant, Wat Mayes, for the purpose of securing the payment of said note, and for other valuable considerations, made, executed, and delivered to your petitioner, his chattel mortgage on the property seized under the writ of attachment herein which said mortgage was duly filed for record in the office of the clerk of the United States Court, in the Northern District of Indian Territory, at Pryor Creek, on the 28th day of July, 1905, which said mortgage together with the clerk's certificate of record thereof, is hereto attached and marked Exhibit "A."

Fifth, that by virtue of the mortgage aforesaid, your petitioner has an interest and an equity in and a lien upon the property seized under the writ of attachment aforesaid, which said property so seized, is the same property as that mentioned and described in and covered by your petitioner's mortgage aforesaid.

Sixth, that the debt and interest aforesaid is now due and unpaid in the sum of $6187.00 together with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from the 27th day of July, 1905.

Wherefore, the premises considered, your peti-oner prays judgment against said defendant, Wat Mayes, in the principal sum of $6187.00, together with interest thereon and that your petitioner's mortgage aforesaid be declared a lien on the property attached herein, that said property be sold and the proceeds thereof applied in satisfaction of said judgment in its proper order as may be by the court determined and for such other and further relief as to the court shall seem right and proper.

J. HOWARD LANGLEY,
JOHN B. TURNER,
Attorneys for Intervenor.

I, John C. Hogan, do state on oath that I am the within named interpleader, and that I have heard read the foregoing petition and plea of intervention and the facts therein stated are true as I verily believe.

JOHN C. HOGAAN.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 23d day of August.
62 1905.

[SEAL.]

A. C. BREWSTER,
Notary Public.

Endorsed: No. 2327. First National Bank of Claremore, vs. Wat Mayes. Interplea of John C. Hogan. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed in open court, at Vinita, I. T. Aug. 23, 1905. Chas. A. Dovidson, Clerk U. S. Court.

On the 23d day of August, 1905, Mary D. Mayes filed her interplea herein in words and figures as follows, towit:

In the United States Court in the Northern District of Indian Territory, at Vinita.

No. —.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant.

Interplea of Mary D. Mayes.

And now comes Mary D. Mayes by permission of the Court, and files this her petition and interplea herein and begs to show to the court:

First, that she is a resident of the Northern District of the Indian Territory.

Second, that on the — day of July, 1905, the First National Bank of Claremore, filed its suit against the defendant, Wat Mayes, and caused a writ of attachment to be levied upon the property of the said defendant, which said property is now in the custody of the marshal of this court.

Third, that the defendant herein, Wat Mayes, is indebted to this interpleader in the principal sum of $1440.00 together with the interest thereon, which said indebtedness is evidenced by one certain promissory note dated, March 17, 1903, and which said note is in words and figures as follows towit:

PRYOR CREEK, IND. TER., *Mar.* 17, 1903.

November 13, 1903, after date, for value received, we promise to pay to the order of Mary D. Mayes, at Pryor Creek, I. T., the sum of Fourteen Hundred Forty & no-100 Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from maturity until paid. The makers and endorsers of this note severally waive protest and notice of non-payment hereof, and if this note is collected by law we agree not to schedule any of our property to avoid the 62½ payment of this note.

WAT MAYES.

Amount, $1440.00. Due November 13, 1903.
P. O. Pryor Creek, I. T."

Endorsed: "Cr., $120.00 Jan. 1st, 1902. November 15, 1904, paid one load of corn, 35 bushels corn, at 35c. per bushel, $12.25.

Fourth, that on the 2d day of August, 1905, said defendant, Wat Mayes, for the purpose of securing the said note, and for other valuable considerations, made, executed, and delivered to your petitioner his certain chattel mortgage on the property seized under the writ of attachment herein, which said mortgage was duly filed in the office of the clerk of the United States Court for the North-

ern District of Indian Territory, on the third day of August, 1905, at 4 P. M., a certified copy of which said mortgage together with its endorsements of filing, is hereto attached and marked Exhibit "A" of this interplea.

Fifth, that by virtue of the mortgage aforesaid, your petitioner has an interest and an equity in and a lien upon the property seized under the writ of attachment in this cause, which said property is the same property as that mentioned in the mortgage aforesaid.

Wherefore, the premises considered, your petitioner prays judgment against said defendant, Wat Mayes, for the principal sum of $1440.00 together with interest thereon, and that the mortgage aforesaid be declared a lien on the property attached herein, that said property be sold and the proceeds thereof applied in satisfaction of said judgment in its proper order as may be by the court determined and for such other and further relief as to the court shall seem right and proper.

J. HOWARD LANGLEY,
Attorney for Intervenor.

I, Mary D. Mayes, do state on oath that I am the within named interpleader and that I have read the foregoing petition and the facts therein stated are true as I verily believe

MARY D. MAYES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 22d day of August, 1905.
[SEAL.]
W. L. JONES,
Notary Public.

MASTER'S EXHIBIT "A."

Chattel Mortgage with Power of Sale.

63 Know All Men By These Presents: that Wat Mayes of the 5th Recording District of the Northern District, Indian Territory, party of the first part, is indebted to Mary D. Mayes of Pryor Creek, I. T., party of the *first part, is indebted to Mary D. Mayes of Pryor Creek, I. T., party of the* second part upon one promissory note as follows:

$1440.00 date Mar. 17, 1903. Due Nov. 13, 1903, interest 8 per cent from maturity.

And in consideration of said indebtedness, and in contemplation of future indebtedness, the first party hereby sells to the second party the following described personal property situated and located in the 5th Recording District of the Northern District, Indian Territory, towit:

45 head of cows from 3 to 6 years old, branded K on left shoulder; 330 head of cows from 3 to 6 years old, branded W on left shoulder; 120 head of four year old steers, 100 head of two year old steers, 60 head of one year old steers, 40 head of one year old heifers, 60 head of calves, and three bulls, all branded W on left shoulder; and two red bulls, no brands. All of said stock is now kept on the

premises and in the pastures of said grantors in said recording district.

This is a mortgage upon the following conditions: the first party shall pay to the second party or *its* assigns (1st) The indebtedness above described when the same becomes due, either as above set forth or according to the terms of any extension or renewal note or obligation. (2nd) All sums loaned or goods furnished, advanced or expended by the second party for the benefit of the first party. (3rd) All indebtedness of any character created or maturing while any indebtedness of the character mentioned in the two foregoing paragraphs remain unpaid.

The second party agrees to plant and sow the crops mentioned herein in season, and to properly cultivate and care for the same, and upon his failure to do so party of the second part shall have the right to enter upon said premises and cultivate and care for the same and charge the expense thereof to first party, which expense shall be added to the indebtedness mentioned herein and secured by this mortgage.

Until default herein, or until possession is taken by the second party, the first — may retain possession of said property. The first party shall not make a second mortgage or lien upon said property, or remove the same from its location herein named, without the written consent of said second party.

63½ If all the conditions herein expressed are complied with, this mortgage shall be void; but if the first party shall fail to pay any part of the indebtedness secured hereby when due, or shall fail to comply with any of the conditions herein expressed, or if for any cause the holder hereof shall deem itself insecure, then all of the indebtedness hereby secured shall, at the option of the holder hereof become due and payable, and it shall be lawful for the second party, or its assigns, or the agents of the same, to enter upon any place where said property may be, and to take possession thereof, and without appraisement, the appraisement provided by law being hereby expressly waived, to sell the same at public auction or private sale, with or without notice, at any convenient place, within said district, and out of the proceeds to retain the amount of costs and expenses of this trust, including amount of attorney fees, as stated in above described notes, and of transporting and of sale, together with the numbered order, the surplus to be paid to the party of the first part or his legal representative. At any such sale, the party of the first part or any other person interested in such indebtedness or any part thereof, may become the purchaser of said property or any part thereof. Such sale shall forever cut off and bar any and all right or equity of redemption in or — such property and every part thereof. It is expressly understood and agreed that any future transactions by which the first party may become indebted to the second party during the existence of this mortgage are to be based upon the same as security, and shall be so held and construed. This mortgage is intended and shall be held and construed to be as and for the security of the second party so long as said second party may be in any manner interested in the payment

of the indebtedness hereby secured or any part thereof, whether as payee, endorser or grantor or otherwise, as well as for the security of any assignee or endorsee of said notes or any of them, or of said indebtedness or any part thereof. For the purpose of money at this time loaned by the second party and benefit of future transactions, the first party states that the first party is absolute owner of all the above described property; that the same is free from any incumbrances and that all of the same is now in possession of said party at the location above mentioned in said district.

In witness whereof, the party of the first part has hereunto set his hand this 2d day of August, in the year of Our Lord Nineteen Hundred and Five.

WAT MAYES.

Certificate of True Copy.

64 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Indian Territory, Northern District, ss:

I, J. C. Burgess, Deputy Clerk of the United States Court in the Fifth Recording District, Indian Territory, and ex-officio Recorder of said District and Territory, do hereby certify that the instrument hereto attached is a full, true, and correct copy of a Chattel Mortgage filed in my office on the 3d day of August 1905, at 4 o'clock P. M., and renewal affidavit filed.

Witness my hand and seal of said Court at Pryor Creek, in said Territory, this 22d day of August, A. D. 1905.

J. C. BURGESS,
Deputy Clerk and ex-Officio Recorder.

Endorsed: Chattel Mortgage, No. 4846. Wat Mayes to Mary D. Mayes, Pryor Creek, I. T. This instrument to be filed but not recorded. Mary D. Mayes. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Aug. 3, 1905, 4 P. M. at Pryor Creek, Ind. Ter. J. C. Burgess, Dep. Clerk and Ex-officio Recorder.

Endorsed: No. 2327. First National Bank of Claremore, vs. Wat Mayes. Interplea of Mary D. Mayes. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed in open court, at Vinita, I. T., Aug. 23, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court. J. Howard Langley, Attorney for Intervenor.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Northern Judicial District:

On this 2d day of August 1905, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public of the above named District Wat Mayes to me personally well known as the person named in the foregoing instrument as the grantor, and acknowledged that he had subscribed and executed the same for the consideration and purpose therein set forth as his free voluntary act and deed.

Witness my hand and seal as such Notary Public on the day above mentioned.

[SEAL.] J. HOWARD LANGLEY,
Notary Public.

On the 23d day of August, 1905, all parties joined in a petition for the appointment of a receiver in words and figures as follows, towit:

64½ In the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant.

Petition to Appoint Receiver to Take Charge of Property.

And now comes Wat Mayes, defendant herein; Stock Yards' Bank, C. M. Keys & Co., Citizen's Bank of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, Sam H. Mayes, First National Bank of Pryor Creek, intervenors herein, and respectfully show to the court that the property in controversy herein is in great danger of being, by depreciation and otherwise, lost or materially injured, and that it is necessary, in order to preserve said property, to have a receiver appointed by this court that said property may be taken charge of, cared for, fed and properly marketed and sold to the benefit of all parties to this proceeding.

Wherefore, the aforesaid parties pray the court for an order appointing a receiver to receive, take charge of and care for said property and dispose of the same in accordance with the orders of this court and return the proceeds thereof into court.

NEV. CAMPBELL,
R. F. BLAIR,
Att'ys for Stock Yards Bank and C. M. Keys & Co.
J. HOWARD LANGLEY,
Att'ys for J. C. Hogan, Sam H. Mayes, Mary D. Mayes, and First National Bank of Pryor Creek, I. T.
C. J. TAYLOR AND
J. H. LANGLEY,
Att'y- for Citizens' Bank of —.
WAT MAYES,
J. C. HOGAN,
By J. HOWARD LANGLEY AND
JOHN B. TURNER,
Their Attorneys.

Endorsed: No. 2327. First National Bank of Claremore, vs. Wat Mayes. Petition for appointment of receiver. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Aug. 23, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court.

On the 23d day of August, 1905, the court made and entered an order as follows, towit:

In the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita.

No. 3227. In Equity.

65 FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant.

Order.

On this 23d day of August, 1905, came on to be heard the petition of defendant and interveners herein for the appointment of a receiver.

The court having heard said petition and the argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that said petition should be granted, which is accordingly done, It is, therefore,

Ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court, that George W. Mayes of Pryor Creek, I. T. be and he is hereby appointed receiver; that said receiver shall, from time to time, gather and ship such of said cattle as are fit for marketing consigned to C. M. Keys & Co., either at Kansas City, Missouri, or St. Louis, Missouri, as shall seem most advantageous, and through said commission company sell said cattle in the open market, the proceeds of which, after paying the expenses thereof, to be returned into this court, and that such of said cattle as are not fit for market, i. e. stock and brood cattle, shall be sold by said receiver in the local markets or otherwise, for the best price and to the greatest advantage, either at public or private sale, as shall seem best to the said receiver; all sales to be made for cash. It is further

Ordered by the court that said receiver enter into a proper bond in the sum of $20,000.00, conditioned as required by law, and that said receiver shall make a full report of all his actions under this order to this court on or before the first day of the next October term.

JOSEPH A. GILL, *Judge.*

Endorsed: No. 2327. First National Bank of Claremore, vs. Wat Mayes. Order Appointing Receiver. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Aug. 23, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, clerk U. S. Court. Entered.

On the 2d day of September, 1905, the receiver filed the following oath:

In the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
65½ vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; STOCK YARDS BANK, C. M. KEYS & Co., Citizens' Bank of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory; J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, Sam H. Mayes, First National Bank of Pryor Creek, First National Bank of Vinita, and Vinita National Bank, Interveners.

Oath of Receiver.

I, George W. Mayes, on oath do say, that I will faithfully execute the duties required of me by law and the orders of court as received in this cause, and will obey the orders of court therein.

GEORGE W. MAYES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2d day of September, 1905.

CHAS. A. DAVIDSON,
Clerk of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District.

Endorsed: No. 2327 Equity. First National Bank of Claremore, Plaintiff, vs. Wat Mayes, Defendant; Stock Yards Bank et al., Interveners. Oath of Receiver. North Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Sep. 2, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court.

On the 4th day of September, 1905, the court made the following order:

In the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; STOCK YARDS BANK, C. M. KEYS & Co., Citizens' Bank of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory; J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, Sam H. Mayes, First National Bank of Pryor Creek, First National Bank of Vinita, and Vinita National Bank, Interveners.

Order.

It appearing to the court that George W. Mayes, heretofore appointed receiver with authority to take charge of the property attached in this action, has given the bond required by law, and the

same has been approved, and that he has taken the oath as receiver required by law, it is

Ordered on this — day of September, 1905, that the marshal of the court proceed to deliver to said George W. Mayes all
66 property he has attached in this cause and take his receipt therefor. And the said George W. Mayes as such receiver is ordered to ship on the open market such of the property in his hands as is fit for market, and in his name as receiver, and he is ordered to have the returns for the same sent to the clerk of the court to be retained by the clerk subject to final distribution in this cause.

JOSEPH A. GILL, *Judge.*

Endorsed: No. 2327 Equity. First National Bank of Claremore, plaintiff, vs. Wat Mayes, defendant. Stock Yards Bank et al., Interveners. Order. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed in open court, at Vinita, I. T. Sep. 4, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court.

On the 16th day of December, 1905, the receiver filed his report in words and figures as follows, towit:

In the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Vinita.

No. —.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant.

Receiver's Report.

To Honorable Joseph A. Gill, Judge of the United States Court:

Your Receiver, George W. Mayes, appointed to take charge of and dispose of the property attached in the above entitled case, respectfully, submits for approval his following report:

As such receiver he took into his possession all of the property described in the return of the Marshal upon the writ of attachment, belonging to said Wat Mayes, and accompanied by J. D. Wilkins, U. S. Deputy Marshal, took an inventory of said property, which inventory is as follows, towit:

306 head of cows; 260 branded W on left shoulder and 46 branded K on left side.

102 head of four year old steers, branded W on left shoulder;
85 head of two year old steers, branded W on left shoulder;
38 head of yearling heifers, branded W on left shoulder;
41 head of yearling steers, branded W on left shoulder;
55 head of calves, branded W on left shoulder;
5 bulls, branded W on left shoulder.

Recapitulation.

	Cows branded W on left shoulder	260
66½	Cows branded K on left side	46
	Steers, four years old, branded W on left shoulder	102
	Steers, two years old, branded W on left shoulder	85
	Yearling heifers, branded W on left shoulder	38
	Calves, branded W on left shoulder	55
	Bulls, branded W on left shoulder	5
	Yearling steers, branded W on left shoulder	41
	Total	632 head.

The discrepancy between the number of cattle*d* inventoried by your receiver and the return of the Marshal is accounted for as follows: Your receiver found a number of registered cows, heifers, and bulls which were unbranded, belonging to Clarence Turner of Muskogee. Also several cows, heifers, calves, and steers, in the brands of Nannie Mayes, the wife of said Wat Mayes, and in the brands of Hall and Wtshie Mayes, sons of Wat Mayes. There were also several cows and steers belonging to Blue Keys among Wat Mayes' cattle at the time the Marshal attached the same. No doubt the marshal listed some of these cattle as branded W and belonging to said Wat Mayes.

The marshal returned on his writ 98 head of two year old steers and 35 head of one year old steers. We were able to find only 85 head of two year old steers, and found 41 head of yearling steers. This discrepancy may be partially accounted for by the marshal having counted some of the yearlings for two year olds.

On 9-10-05, I shipped to the St. Louis market 10 head of cows which netted $197.37, as shown by account sales, marked "Exhibit A," hereto attached and made a part hereof. On 9-10-05, I shipped to the St. Louis market 12 head of calves, net $103.15, as shown by account sales, marked "Exhibit B," hereto attached and made a part hereof. On 9-27-05, I shipped to St. Louis market 68 head of four year old steers and one cow, net $2130.51, as shown by account sales, marked "Exhibit C," hereto attached and made a part hereof. On 10-12-05, I shipped to the St. Louis market, 26 head of cows, net $464.58, as shown by account sales, marked "Exhibit D," hereto attached and made a part hereof. On 10-13-05, I shipped to the Kansas City market, 14 head of cows and one two year old steers, net $205.35 as shown by account sales, marked "Exhibit E," hereto attached and made a part hereof. On 10-18-05, I shipped to the Kansas City market 13 cows and one calf, net $211.01, as shown by account sales, marked "Exhibit F," hereto attached and made a part hereof.

On 9-23-05, I sold at private sale to James Gamble 22 head
67 of cows for $440.00, 9 yearling heifers for $117.00; and 1 bull for $50.00. On 9-4-05, I sold at private sale to Clay Robbins 78 head of two year old steers for $1560.00; 4 head of two year old steers for $56.00; 41 head of yearling steers for $492.00. On 10-30-05, I sold at private sale to J. C. Hogan 60 head of cows,

$1200.00; 68 head of cows, $1190.00; 39 head of cows, $546.00; 20 head of yearling heifers, $250.00; 2 head of yearling heifers, $15.00; 42 head of calves, $336.00; 4 bulls, $100.00; 34 four year old steers, $918.00; 2 two year old steers, $40.00; 7 two year old heifers (cows), $87.50; 30 cows, black, $525.00;

Recapitulation.

9-10-05,	10 cows, "Exhibit A"	$197.37
9-10-05,	12 calves "Exhibit B"	103.15
9-27-05,	68 steers, 1 cow, "Exhibit C"	2130.51
10-12-05,	20 cows, "Exhibit D"	464.50
10-13-05,	14 cows, 1 steer "Exhibit E"	205.35
10-18-05,	13 cows, 1 calf "Exhibit F"	211.01
9-23-05,	22 cows Gamble, at $20.00	440.00
	1 bull, Gamble, at $50.00	50.00
	9 yearling heifers, Gamble, at $13.00	117.00
9- 4-05,	78 two year old steers, Robbins, at $20.00	1560.00
	4 two year old steers, Robbins, at $14.00	56.00
	41 yearling steers, Robbins, at $12.00	492.00
10-30-05,	60 cows, Hogan, at $20.00	1200.00
	68 cows, Hogan, at $17.50	1190.00
	39 cows, Hogan, at $14.00	546.00
	20 yearling heifers, Hogan, at $12.50	250.00
	2 yearling heifers, Hogan, at $7.50	15.00
	42 calves, Hogan, at $8.00	336.00
	4 bulls, Hogan, at $25.00	100.00
	34 four year old steers, Hogan, at $27.00	918.00
	2 two year old steers, Hogan, at $20.00	40.00
	7 two year old heifers, Hogan, at $12.50	837.00
	30 black cows, Hogan, at $17.50	525.00
	Total	$11,234.47

I also shipped to St. Louis on 9-10-05, 29 head of calves belonging to Wat Mayes which were unbranded ond included in the attachment, which netted $217.00; as shown by account sales, marked "Exhibit G," hereto attached and made a part hereof.

Dated at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, this 9th day of November, 1905.

67½ GEO. W. MAYES, *Receiver.*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Northern District, Indian Territory, ss:

George W. Mayes of lawful age being first duly sworn on his oath says, that he is the receiver appointed by the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, in the case of the First National Bank of Claremore vs. Wat Mayes, and that the above and foregoing report and account of his doings as such receiver is true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

GEO. W. MAYES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9th day of November, 1905.

[SEAL.] JAMES M. KEYS,
Notary Public.

Endorsed: No. —. Repo-t. George W. Mayes, Receiver. First National Bank of Claremore, vs. Wat Mayes. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Dec. 16, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court.

On the 23d day of August, 1905, defendant filed his answer and cross complaint to the intervention of C. M. Keys & Co., and Stock Yards' Bank in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

In the United States Court for the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE
vs.
WAT MAYES.

Answer and Cross-Complaint to Interplea.

Comes the defendant, Wat Mayes, and for an answer to so much of the petition and interplea of Stock Yards' Bank and C. M. Keys & Co., filed herein as he deems material, says:

He admits that said Bank is organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of Illinois and has its domicile in the city of National Stock Yards, St. Clair County, Illinois, and that C. G. Knox is its president and S. Chesney its cashier.

He admits that said C. M. Keys & Co. was on the dates therein mentioned a co-partnership composed of C. M. Keys and Hugh Mills doing business as brokers and commission merchants in said City of National Stock Yards, Illinois, and had their domicile therein.

68 He admits that the plaintiff, the First National Bank of Claremore, has sued out a writ of attachment against defendant's property, claiming among other things, "that defendant has removed a material part of his property out of the Territory, not leaving enough therein to satisfy the claim of plaintiff's or the claims of defendant's creditors and that he is about to remove a material part of his property out of this Territory without leaving enough therein to satisfy the claim of plaintiff or the claim of defendant's creditors."

He admits that plaintiff has caused said writ of attachment to be levied upon the property described in Intervenor's "Exhibit B" and that the same is now in the custody of the United States Marshal for this District.

He admits that on the 28th day of October, 1901 defendant, being indebted to C. M. Keys & Co., made, executed, and delivered his one certain promissory note of that date for $34,800.04, due and payable to the order of C. M Keys & Co., at National Stock Yards, Illinois, six months after date with interest thereon at the

rate of 8 per cent per annum from date thereof and that a copy of said note together with all endorsements thereon is attached to said petition and intervention and marked "Intervenor's Exhibit "A."

He admits that to secure the payment of said note he then and there also made, executed, and delivered to said C. M. Keys & Co. a chattel mortgage upon 2835 head of mixed cattle and their increase, and then and there situated about three miles southeast of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, that the original of which said mortgage, together with the certificate of record of the Clerk and ex-officio recorder and all endorsements thereon is attached to said petition and intervention and marked "intervenor's Exhibit B" and that the same was duly recorded as stated therein. That not being possessed of sufficient information upon which to form a belief, he denies that before said note became due said C. M. Keys & Co., for a valuable consideration and in due course of trade, negotiated, sold, and transferred said note to intervenor, said Stock Yards Bank and then and there made the endorsement on the back thereof set forth in said petition and intervention and then and there delivered said note to said Stock Yards Bank (and for the same reason denies that at the same time said C. M. Keys and Co., verbally conveyed and transferred to intervenor, said Stock Yards Bank) all their 68½ right, title, and interest in and to said mortgage, and for the same reason, denies that said Stock Yards Bank became the legal owner and holder of said note and mortgage and superior title and right of possession of the cattle therein described.

He admits that when said note fell due he was unable to pay the same but denies that he made settlement with intervenors or any one else, by paying part and giving his note for the remainder, but states the fact to be that after said note became due, towit: on or about April 28th, 1902, in renewal of said debt and interest he made, executed, and delivered to said C. M. Keys & Co., his two certain promissory notes of that date each for $18,107.62, which he is informed, believes and charges, he has since fully paid off and discharged as hereinafter set forth. He admits that from time to time thereafter he made various payments on said indebtedness to C. M. Keys & Co., by shipment to them of the cattle named in said mortgage, and that on the first day of March, 1905, he made, executed, and delivered -o said C. M. Keys & Co. his note for $12,026.74, as set forth, but denies that the same was for a balance of indebtedness due from him to intervenors or any one else but states the fact to be that, contrary to the false and fraudulent representations then and there made to him by the said C. M. Keys & Co., said original indebtedness of $34,800.04 together with interest thereon at that time had been fully paid off and discharged, and for that reason said note of $12,026.74 was and is wholly without consideration and void as is also, for the same reason, said note of $2970.95 dated Jan. 16, 1905, payable to said C. M. Keys & Co. and set forth in said petition and intervention and attached thereto and marked "Exhibits C and D." That not being possessed of sufficient information upon which to form a belief he denies that thereafter and before said notes became due, for a valuable consideration and in due course of trade,

said C. M. Keys & Co. endorsed, negotiated, and sold said notes to intervenor said Stock Yards Bank and for the same reason denies that said Stock Yards Bank became, is now or ever was the legal owner and holder thereof together with the mortgage aforesaid. He admits that on the — day of February, 1905, he made, executed, and delivered to said C. M. Keys & Co. his note for $500.00, with interest as set forth but denies that he is indebted to said C. M. Keys & Co., in the sum of $520.00 or any other amount, because, he says that, contrary to the false and fraudulent statements and representations then and there made to him by the said C. M. Keys & Co. at that time and upon which he relied, believing the same to be true,
69 said original indebtedness of $34,800.04 with interest, had been fully paid off and discharged and for that reason said note of $500.00 was and is wholly without consideration and fraudulent and void and for the same reason denies that he is indebted to said C. M. Keys & Co. or said Stock Yards Bank, in the sum of $15,288.69 or any other amount.

For further answer and by way of Cross-complaint against the said intervenors, said C. M. Keys & Co. and said Stock Yards Bank, defendant states to the court that he is a citizen of the Cherokee Nation, a farmer and stock raiser and was on the 28th day of October, 1901, at which time he made, executed, and delivered the mortgage set forth in this intervention. That said mortgage among other things provided "when marketed said cattle are to be shipped and consigned for sale to C. M. Keys & Co. at the Stock Yards at National Stock Yards, Illinois, and when sold the proceeds shall be applied in payment of the usual and customary commission to said C. M. Keys & Co. for selling the same and the balance or so much thereof as may be necessary shall be applied to the indebtedness herein described." That pursuant to the terms of said mortgage, defendant in the year 1902, shipped to the said C. M. Keys & Co., a sufficient number of the cattle named in said mortgage to bring and which brought on the open market when sold by them, the sum of about $33,000.00 and in the year 1903, a large number of said cattle which brought about $18,000.00, and in the year 1904, a large number of said cattle which brought about $12,000.00 and in the year 1905, a small number which brought about $200.00, making in all about $63,000.00 in cash received by said C. M. Keys & Co. from the sale of about 2600 head of said cattle, to be applied in payment of said note and mortgage of $34,800.04. That during that time defendant received from said C. M. Keys & Co., as proceeds derived from the sale of said cattle various amounts not exceeding $11,000.00 leaving a balance in the hands of at least $53,0000.00 to liquidate and discharge said debt of $34,800.00 and interest aforesaid and defendant is informed believes and charges should and will be done by the court on a proper accounting between defendant and these interveners. That not being familiar with bookkeeping and accounts, defendant has throughout this entire transaction been wholly in the dark and unfamiliar and without knowledge as to the state of his account existing in the premises. That the various other notes set forth in the petition and intervention are for alleged balances due from

69½ time to time by him to interveners about which he at the time of their execution and delivery knew nothing and all of which defendant is informed believes and charges are without consideration, fraudulent, and void and exacted from him by said C. M. Keys & Co. through mistake of his rights in the premises or as a result of a scheme on the part of intervenors to defraud him of his rights in the premises.

Premises considered, defendant prays that this answer be also taken and considered by the court as a cross complaint, that said C. M. Keys & Co. and said Stock Yards Bank be required to answer the same on oath, that defendant concurring in the intervenors' prayer asks that this cause be transferred to the equity docket and further prays the same be referred to the master to take proof and state the account between defendant and intervenors and if upon final hearing the court should be of opinion that defendant after receiving all just credits is still indebted to intervenors in the premises, it will so adjudge and decree and declare the same a lien on the cattle attached in this cause and the same sold to satisfy said indebtedness. But should the court be of the opinion that said original indebtedness of $34,800.04 and interest has been by defendant fully paid off and discharged, it will so adjudge and decree and that intervenors take nothing by this intervention and if overpaid, the court will give judgment as defendant's right may appear and grant him general relief, as in duty bound, etc. etc.

J. HOWARD LANGLEY,
JOHN B. TURNER,
Attorney- for Def'd-.

Wat Mayes on oath says that the statements made in the above and foregoing complaint made of his own knowledge are true and those made on information and belief he believes to be true.

WAT MAYES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this the 23d day of August, 1905.

[SEAL.]

A. C. BREWSTER,
Notary Public.

Endorsed: No. 2327. 1st National Bank of Claremore, vs. Wat Mayes. Answer and cross comp. to Intervention of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed in open court, at Vinita, I. T., Aug. 23, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, clerk U. S. Court. J. Howard Langley, John B. Turner, Attorneys for defendant.

70 And thereafter, on the 5th day of October, 1905, attorneys for C. M. Keys & Company, and Stock Yards Bank, intervenors, file answer of said intervenors to defendant's cross-complaint against them, said answer being in words and fi-ures as follows:

"United States Court, Northern Judicial District, Indian Territory, at Vinita.

Honorable Joseph A. Gill, Presiding.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff
vs.
WATT MAYES, Defendant.

And now comes C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank, interveners herein, and in answer to the cross complaint filed herein on the twenty-third day of August, 1905, by defendant Mayes, respectfully show to the Court that these interveners have given defendant Watt Mayes due and proper credit for all cattle that have been shipped by him and received by them since the beginning of his account with them, and also for all money paid them by said defendant; that defendant has been charged in his account with all money and other property paid by them to him, a full and complete account of which is hereto attached and marked Exhibit "A" and made a part of these interveners' answer to said cross-complaint, which account begins on the first day of March, 1900, and is brought down to and includes September 6th, 1905; that said account has been balanced by the notes given by defendant in favor of intervener C. M. Keys & Company, one for the sum of $2970.95, on which there is a credit of $60.00 and $128.39, and one note for $12,026.74 on which there is no credit; that both of said notes are due and unpaid and represent the balance of the amount due from defendant Watt Mayes to these interveners, as set out by these interveners in their original plea, and secured by said mortgage.

Wherefore, these interveners pray for judgment as in their original pleading.

(Signed) NEV CAMPBELL.
ROBERT F. BLAIR.
Attorneys for Interveners.

70½

Dr.		Cr.	
1900	O Recording 2.50	1900	
Mch. 1—To balance I. R. Stamps, 20 ...	2 70	Apr. 18—By net sale of 21 cattle	1126 18
Apr. 18—To Cash deposited	1100 00	27—By note No. 1395....	3262 00
18—To Do. currency....	26 18	May 14—By Do. 1409.....	22,599 09
25—To Do. draft.....	3262 00	14—By Do. 1410.....	10,000 00
27—To Recording Mtg...	1 25	14—By Do. 1411.....	10,000 00
27—To Internal Rev. Stamps	1 25	15—By C M Keys & Co. KC, for 21 cattle..	1000 00
May 14—To Do.....	20 00	31—By Note No. 1420...	1000 00
14—To Note No. 1258...	22,599 09	31—By Note No. 1420....	1000 00
14—To Do. No. 1256....	10,000 00	Aug. 13—By Net sale 48 cattle	1685 51
To Do. No. 1257....	10,000 00	30—By Do. 73 cattle....	2382 85
31—To Cash draft.....	500 00	30—By Do. 1 cattle.....	20 52
31—To Do. draft.....	500 00	30—By Cach (act charges on Garret steers).	7 00
June 15—To Do. for 20 two yr. steers	400 00	Sep. 15—By ESB Co. KC act	27 90
Aug. 20—To Credit on note No. 1411	2660 31	19—By Net sale 144 cattle	5350 49
Sep. 20—To Cash deposited	800 00	20—By Net Do. 150 cattle	5048 04
20—To Do. currency....	100 00	Oct. 4—By Do. 125 cattle..	3667 43
20—To Credit on Note No. 1411	2438 27	11—By DFC Co. 1 cattle.	34 06
20—To Balance on Note No. 1411	4901 42	5—By Net sale 78 cattle	2053 00
20—To Int. on note No. 1411	279 04	23—By Do. 199 cattle...	6434 97
20—To Note No. 1341 ..	992 00	31—By Do. 50 cattle....	1685 76
20—To Int. on note No. 1341	46 29	23—By Note No. 1499....	3710 00
Oct. 15—To Credit on note No. 1410	9054 27	23—By Do. 1504.....	3000 00
23—To Balance note No. 1410	945 73	Nov. 7—By C M Keys & Co. KC 30 cattle	800 00
23—To Int. note No. 1410	344 49	7—By Note No. 1505...	13,842 40
To Note No. 1395...	3262 00	7—By Do. 1506.....	32,370 23
Oct. 23—To Int. on No. 1395	133 40	Amt. For'd	131,507 43
23—To Note 1420.....	1000 00		
23—To Interest on 1420.	33 10		
23—To Note No. 1438...	400 00		
23—To Interest on No. 1438	11 64		
Oct. 6—To Stock Yd. Co. (act 400 lbs. hay on shipment 9-20-1900)	3 00		

22—To Recording Mtg...	1 50
23—To Cash draft.....	3710 00
23—To Do. deposited....	2950 00
23—To Do. currency....	50 00
Nov. 7—To Do. deposited..	7000 00
8—To Recording two Mtges	5 00
8—To Notary $1—I. R. Stamps $22.25	23 25
10—To Cash (draft)....	8208 09
10—To Do. Check to M L S C CO.....	2222 97
7—To Note No. 1409...	22,599 09
7—To Int. on 1409.....	939 15
7—To Commission (on $22,599.09 deal) ..	300 00
Nov. 10—To Amt. For'd....	123,826 48
10—To Note No. 1504...	3000 00
10—To Int. on 1504.....	16 00
10—To Int. on 1506 note.	1262 86
10—To Int. on 1505 note.	534 86
13—To Cash dft. to M L S C Co.....	2995 65
16—To Releasing 7 Mtgs.	1 75
	131,637 60
Nov. 16—To balance.....	130 17
1901	
Apr. 15—To Note No. 1499.	3710 00
15—To Int. on 1499.....	150 86
15—To Internal Rev. Stamps	80
26—To Recording Mtg...	2 50
May 14—To Note No. 1505.	13,842 40
14—To Do. 1506.....	32,370 23
14—To Internal Rev. Stamps	8 66
Sep. 10—To C M Keys & Co. KC act 2 steers	68 00
10—To Cash act feed...	50 00
10—To Notary	50
11—To Recording Mtge.	2 50
10—To Note No. 1588...	13,842 40
10—To Int. 1588.....	379 90

Nov. 10—Amt. For'd.....	131,507 43
Balance	130 17
	131,637 60
1901	
Apr. 15—By note No. 1576.	3991 83
18—By C M Keys & Co. KC act 49 cattle..	2000 00
26—By Note No. 1580....	990 16
May 14—By Do. 1588.....	13,842 40
14—By Do. 1589.....	14,690 04
14—By Do. 1590.....	14,690 03
July 29—By Net sale 23 cattle	764 88
Sep. 9—By Do. 188 cattle..	6392 07
Sep. 9—Cash (act wintering Vineyard steer)	5 00
9—By Note No. 1632...	15,013 30
10—By Net sale 72 cattle	2411 13
16—By Do. 50 cattle....	1526 11
27—By Do. 121 cattle...	3805 13
28—By C M Keys & Co. KC act 18 cattle...	523 88

71½

10—To Commission on $13,842.40 deal ...	264 00	30—By Net sale 74 calves 5 cattle	583 45
13—To Credit on No. 1589 note	1700 00	Oct. 4—By C M Keys & Co. KC act 42 cattle	1429 91
13—To Geo. W. & Wat Mayes 1572 note..	7317 92	4—By Do. act error....	10 00
17—To Geo. W. & Wat Mayes 1572 note..	1526 11	2—By Net sale 46 cattle	1647 64
17—To Cash-dft-act feed.	950 00	3—By Do. 3 cattle.....	81 99
17—To Do. pd. to shipper	2 00	8—By Geo. Mayes (act 1 steer $28.50; act int. $2.20)	30 70
27—To Geo. & Wat Mayes 1572 note..	2092 48		84,429 65
27—To Do. Part Int. on 1572 note	421 27		
27—To Credit on No. 1589 note	1815 26		
Oct. 1—To Cash (dft).....	500 00		
1—To Geo. & Wat Mayes — Bal. Int. on No. 1572 note..	23 47		
9—To Credit on No. 1589 note	2258 22		
8—To Cash dft.....	1000 00		
22—To Do. dft.....	1000 00		
28—To Geo. Mayes (act 1 steer)	15 35		
28—To Note No. 1576...	3991 83		
28—To Int. on 1576.....	169 38		
28—To Bal. on No. 1589 note	8916 56		
28—To Int. on No. 1589 note	507 79		
28—To Note No. 1590...	14,690 03		
28—To Int. on No. 1590..	554 94		
	114,275 53	Oct. 28—By Amt. For'd....	84,429 65
		Balance	31,797 04
Oct. 28..To Amt. for'd'd..	114,275 53		
28—To Note No. 1580...	990 16		
28—To Int. on 1580.....	37 00		
28—To Commission due on Bal. of cattle..	924 00		
	116,226 69		116,226 69
Oct. 28—To balance	31,797 04	Oct. 28—By Note No. 1654..	34,800 04
29—To Notary	50		

72

30—To Cash (currency).	50 00		
30—To Recording Mtg...	2 50		
Nov. 4—To Cash dft.....	950 00		
7—To Do. dft.....	1000 00		
11—To Do. dft.....	1000 00		
	34,800 04		34,800 04
1902		1902	
Mch. 9—To note No. 1632..	15,013 30	Mch. 9—By note No. 1727..	15,620 48
9—To Int. on 1632.....	607 18	Apr. 14—By Do. 1754.....	300 00
Apr. 10—To Cash dft.....	300 00	28—By Do. 1764.....	18,107 62
11—To Do. dft.....	75 00	28—To Do. 1765.....	18,109 62
28—To No. 1654.....	34,800 04	28—By Do. 1766.....	1000 00
28—To Int. on No. 1654..	1415 20	Jun. 30—By Net sale 141 cvs	921 64
29—To Cash-dft.....	1000 00	Jul. 21—By Geo. Mayes 1 cow	30 84
June 30—To Cash (deposited)	700 00	31—By Net sale 1 cf 49 ctl.	1200 51
July 31—To Cash-ck.....	18 95	Aug. 5—By Do. 119 cattle..	4709 12
Aug. 5—To Cash (currency)	100 00	12—By Keys & Co. KC 1 cow	17 76
5—To Do. ck.....	300 00	26—By Net sale 89 cvs. 16 cattle	1024 99
5—To C M Keys & Co. KC act 16 cattle..	648 21	Sep. 5—By Keys & Co. KC.	54 49
6—To Credit note No. 1727	5019 95	1—By Net sale 232 cattle	8540 56
27—To Cash-Ck.....	64 80	1—By Do. 1 cattle.....	22 50
27—To Do. Ck.....	200 00	18—By Do. 124 cattle....	3789 55
Sep. 3—To Credit on his Stock Yd. Bank note held at C M Keys Com. Co. KC.....	730 17	18—By Do. 115 cattle..	3727 31
11—To Cash-dft.....	500 00		
9—To Credit Note No. 1727	8841 01		
18—To One-half of Mrs. S. A. Mayes note..	263 55		
18—To Cash (Ck. to First Nat. Bk. Tahlequah, I. T.).....	254 16		
18—To Stock Yd. Bk. (Credit for his note 27 cattle held at C M Keys & Co. KC	930 55		
18—To Bal. Note No. 1727	1759 52		
18—To Int. Note 1727...	643 47		
18—To Credit on 1764...	2989 93		
	77,174 99		77,174 99

72½

1902		1902	
Sep. 19—To credit Note No. 1764	607 70	Sep. 15—By net sale 13 cvs. 23 cattle	607 70
27—To Cash-dft.	500 00	Oct. 1—By Do. 50 cattle	841 95
Oct. 14—To Keys & Co. KC act 14 ctl.	428 60	7—Do. 52 cattle, 2 cvs.	1019 25
18—To credit note No. 1764	4887 51	13—By Do. 126 cattle	3984 91
Nov. 18—To cash (currency)	50 00	Nov. 1—By D F Com. Co. 2 cattle	65 84
19—To Stock Yd. Bk. Cr. on note held by C M Keys & Co. KC	509 85	18—By Net sale 5 cvs. 72 cattle	2077 90
19—To credit Note No. 1764	2093 74	18—By Do. K C cattle 16 cattle	509 85
	9107 40		9107 40
1903		1903	
Jan. 3—To cash-dft. act feed	500 00		
22—To note No. 1754	300 00		
22—To Int. on 1754	19 00		
22—To Cash-Check to Vinita Nat. Bk. Vinita, I. T. act feed	1000 00		
Feb. 26—To Recording 4 mtgs	10 00		
Jun. 30—To Cash deposited Vinita Nat. Bk. Vinita, I. T.	600 00		
Aug. 13—To Cash-dft	100 00		
Aug. 26—To cash dft.	100 00		
26—To note No. 1867	500 00	1903	
26—To int. on 1867	24 00	Jan. 17—By note No. 1867	500 00
26—credit note No. 1869.	453 32	22—By Do. 1869	1319 00
28—To cash Ck	100 00	Jun. 30—By Net sale 132 cvs.	851 46
28—To currency	50 00	Aug. 7—By Do. 109 cvs. 7 cattle	935 86
28—To Bal. on note No. 1869	865 68	29—By Do. 74 cattle	2444 20
28—To int. on note 1869.	62 03	Sep. 7—By Do. 47 cattle act V. Nat. Bk.	889 85
28—To note No. 1766	1000 00	14—By Do. 119 cattle	4139 75
28—To int. on 1766	108 66	21—By Do. 72 cattle act V. Nat. Bk.	1107 43
28—To credit note No. 1764	257 83	30—By Do. 120 cattle	3415 23
Sep. 7—To Vinita Nat. Bk. Vinita, I. T., proceeds 47 cows	889 85	Oct. 1—By Do. 1 cattle	20 00

73

14—To Cash ck.....	150 00	Oct. 19—By Do. 43 cattle..	570 29
14—To C M Keys & Co. KC	344 54	19—By Do. 45 cvs.....	321 40
21—To Vinita Nat. Bk. V., I. T.....	1107 43	26—By Do. 2 cattle.....	35 46
14—To Credit Note No. 1764	3645 21	Nov. 12—By Do. 40 cattle act V. Nat. Bk.	607 41
Sep. 30—To Keys & Co. KC	64 62	Dec. 17—By Keys & Co. KC 1 cattle....	20 36
Oct. 1—To Credit Note No. 1764	———	14—By Note No. 1975...	250 00
19—To Cash ck.....	321 40	14—By Do. 1976.....	250 00
29—To Bal. note No. 1764	255 09	14—By Do. 1977.....	250 00
29—To Part Int. on Note 1764	350 66		
Nov. 13—To Vinita Nat. Bk. Ck. proceeds 35 cows, 5 steer	607 41		
25—To Cash dft.....	250 00		
30—To Do. dft.....	250 00		
Dec. 11—To Do. dft.....	250 00		
23—To Do. dft.....	250 00		
23—To Geo. Mayes act 1 bull	20 36		
Amt. Ford.	18,177 70	Amt. Ford	17,927 70

1903		1903	
Dec. 23—To Amt. Ford....	18,177 70	Dec. 23—By Amt. Ford....	17,927 70
1904		1904	
Jan. 27—To cash dft.....	250 00	Jan. 5—By Note No. 1989..	250 00
5—To Bal. Int. on note 1764	986 49	5—By Do. 1990.....	986 49
5—To Note No. 1765...	18,107 62	5—By Do. 1991.....	20,532 94
5—To Int. on 1765.....	2425 32	25—By Do. 1992.....	250 00
Feb. 12—To I. Mills for 2 bulls	80 00	Feb. 15—By Net sale 10 cattle	353 91
15—To Cash (currency).	20 00		
16—To Do. (deposited)..	100 00		
16—To I. Mills ck.....	2 00		
16—To Cash	48 91		
16—To Credit on note 1992	103 00		
	40,301 04		40,301 04

73½

1904		1904	
Mch. 8—To Notary	50	Mch. 28—By net sale act V. Nat. Bk. 28 cattle	586 15
29—To Vinita Nat. Bk...	586 15	May 6—By Note No. 2017..	1026 35
May 6—To Note No. 1990..	986 49	Jun. 24—By Do. 2032.....	260 10
6—To Int. on 1990.....	39 86	24—By Do. 2033.....	260 10
Jun. 24—To Note 1991....	20,532 94	24—By Do. 2034.....	260 10
24—To Int. on 1991.....	830 40	24—By Do. 2035.....	5113 33
24—To Int. on No. 2035-2036-2037 notes.	677 12	24—By Do. 2036.....	5152 21
24—To No. 1989.....	250 00	24—By Do. 2037.....	11,774 92
24—To Int. on No. 1989..	10 10	$220 to V. Nat. Bk.	
24—To Note No. 1976....	250 00	$284.34 to V. Nat. Bk.	
24—To Int. on 1976.....	10 10	Jul. 27—By Net sale 8 cvs.	64 24
24—To Note No. 1977....	250 00	27—By Net 119 cvs.....	940 93
24—To Int. on 1977.....	10 10	Aug. 18—By Keys & Co. KC 21 cattle...	411 57
Jul. 28—To Cash (Dep. with V. Nat. Bk. V. I. T. act calves)	220 00		
28—To W. A. Graham ck.	25 00		
28—To Cash ck.....	50 00		
28—To Do. cy.....	50 00		
28—To Bal. on No. 1992 note	147 00		
28—To Note 1975.....	250 00		
28—To Int. on notes 1992 1975	23 10		
28—To Credit Note No. 2032	239 57		
Aug. 18—To V. Nat. Bk. V. I. T. act 14 cows	284 34		
Balance	127 23		
	25,850 00		25,850 00

1904		1904	
Sep. 17—To Cash (dft. for hay)	100 00	Aug. 18—By balance	127 23
21—To Do. for.....	60 00	Sep. 5—By Barse 1 calf...	6 40
21—To Tretapaw	10 00	8—By Keys & Co. KC 23 ctl.	805 61
24—To V. Nat. Bk. ac't 13 cows	224 01	9—By Net sale 9 cv. 3 ctl.	88 56
28—To Cash (dft. ac't hay)	145 00	9—By Net sale (13 cvs. V. Nat. Bk.) 104 cattle	3102 21
9—To Note No. 2032....	20 53	Oct. 3—By Do. (ac't V. Nat. Bk.) 1 clf. 23 cattle	392 71
9—To Int. on 2032.....	3 07		
9—To Note No. 2033....	260 10		
9—To Int. on 2033.....	5 19		

74

9—To Note No. 2034....	260 10	3—By Do. 74 cattle....	1847 75
9—To Int. on 2034.....	4 27	19—By Do. 25 cattle....	650 63
9—To Credit Note No. 2035	252 37	19—By Do. 28 cattle....	456 12
28—To Dr. Note 2035...	3009 40	19—By Do. (ac't V. Nat. Bk.) 4 cvs. 20 cattle	270 35
Oct. 4—To V. Nat. Bk. ac't 23 cows, 1 cf..	392 71	Nov. 10—By Stock Yd. Bak. (ac't Fisher 132, Griffin 148.	280 00
7—To Credit Note No. 2035	1623 74	14—By Do. ac't McConnel	107 00
19—To Blue Keys ac't labor	35 00		
20—To V. Nat. Bk. ac't 20 c—4 cvs.....	270 35		
21—To Citizen's Bk. Pryor Creek, I. T.	300 00		
Nov. 10—To Credit Note No. 2017	771 75		
10—To Bal. Note No. 3035	227 84		
10—To Int. Note 2035...	23 34		
10—To Credit Note No. 2017	28 82		
14—To Do. Note 2017...	107 00		
	8134 57		8134 57

Dec. 23—To credit Note 2017	80 00	Dec. 23—By Stock Yd. Bk. ac't Fisher	80 00
1905		1905	
Jan. 16—To bal. on Note..	38 78	Jan. 16—By J. C. Hogan...	3260 00
16—To Int. on Note.....	45 80	Apr. 7—By J. C. Hogan...	60 00
16—To Credit note No. 2036	2275 42	Jan. 24—By Note No. 2066.	2970 95
16—To 1st Nat. Bk. P. Creek, I. T.....	900 00	Feb. 28—By Do. 2067.....	500 00
Mar. 7—To Cash dft.....	500 00	Apr. 7—By Do. 2075.....	12,026 74
Jan. 24—To Bal. on note 2036	2876 79	Jul. 10—By Proceeds ac't V. Nat. Bk. 89 cvs.	687 75
24—To Int. on 2036.....	94 16	10—By Do. 2 cvs.....	6 36
Apr. 7—To Cred. Note No. 2066	60 00	10—By Do. 10 cows.....	200 63
7—To Note 2037.....	11,774 92	10—By Cash ac't Fisher.	95 00
7—To Int. 2037.....	261 82	Sep. 6—By Do. Fisher.....	132 00
Jun. 16—To Cash dft.....	173 00		
Jul. 10—To Vinita Nat. Bk. ac't 89 cvs.....	687 75		
Aug. 3—To Credit note 2066	128 39		
Sep. 6—To Do. note 2067..	132 00		
	20,018 83		20,018 83

74½ (Endorsed:) No. 2327. First National Bank of Claremore, vs. Watt Mayes. Answer of Interveners C. M. Keys & Co., and Stock Yards Bank, to defendant's cross-complaint against them. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Oct. 5, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk of Court. Nev Campbell, Robert F. Blair, Attorneys for said interveners.

And thereafter, on the 13th day of October, 1905, attorney for intervenor, First National Bank of Vinita, files interplea of said First National Bank of Vinita, said interplea being in words and figures as follows:

MASTER'S EXHIBIT "F."

"In the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita.

No. —.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant.

Interplea of First National Bank of Vinita.

Comes now the First National Bank of Vinita and represents and shows unto the Court that it is a corporation organized under the laws of the United States and doing business as a National Bank in Vinita, Indian Territory.

That it solds an unsatisfied mortgage on all of the cattle attached herein, which was executed by the defendant, Wat Mayes, to it on the 16th day of January, 1903, which said mortgage was thereafter recorded in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District at Vinita, in which District the property mentioned therein was located at the time of the making of the mortgage and has at all times since been located, and that said mortgage thereafter and prior to the running of the attachment herein was indexed in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District at Pryor Creek, the property being located in the Pryor Creek Recording District. That there is now due on said mortgage the sum of $3778.19, and said mortgage by its terms is past due, and a copy of said mortgage is as follows:

"Chattel Mortgage.

Know All Men By These Presents: That Watt Mayes, and —— —— of the first part, in consideration of the sum of Two Thousand Nine Hundred & Eight 27-100 Dollars, to him in hand paid by The First National Bank of Vinita, of the second part, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has bargained and sold and by

these presents does bargain and sell unto the said party of the
75 second part, his executors, administrators and assigns, all the following articles of personal property, the same being the absolute property of, and now in possession of said party of the first part at his farm or ranch, in Cooweescoowee District, Cherokee Nation, and within the Northern District, Indian Territory, to-wit:

All his cattle of every description consisting of steers, cows, heifers, calves and bulls, and being as estimated, 475 4 year old steers, some branded 4 on left thigh, some running W on left shoulder; 200 coming 3 year old steers branded *branded* running W on left shoulder; 260 coming two year old steers, same brand; 430 coming yearlings, mixed same brand; 730 cows, some branded running W on left shoulder, *s*ame branded 4 on left thigh 85 coming 2 year old heifers, branded running W on left shoulder; 25 bulls, the cattle are supposed to be located about three miles east of Pryor Creek, but this mortgage covers all the cattle, no matter where located; cattle above described have various mortgages on them, but the exact amount of the mortgages on the respective classes of cattle is unknown to the parties hereto, and it may be that all the cattle are not coverred by mortgage, but the mortgagor does not represent that any of the cattle are free from mortgage.

Provided always, And these presents are upon this express condition: That if the said party of the first part shall pay or cause to be paid, to the said party of the second part, or to his executors, administrators or assigns, the fees for releasing this mortgage, and the aforesaid sum of $2908.27, according to the terms of 2 certain promissory notes of which the following is a synopsis, viz. Date Oct. 6 1902, note due 6 mos. 190– for $2333.56 Date Oct. 12 1902, note due 6 mos. 190– for $574.71, signed by Watt Mayes; Rate of interest 8 per cent, from Mty., then t*h*-se presents and everything herein contained shall be void. But, if default shall be made in the payment of said sum of money, or any part thereof, or the interest thereon, at the time or times, when by the condition of the said notes, the same shall become payable, or if said party of the second*q* part shall at any time deem himself insecure for any cause without assigning any reason therefor, or if said property is removed from the district aforesaid, then and thenceforth, it shall be lawful for said party of the second part, his executors, administrators or assigns, or his authorized agent, to declare said note and mortgage due, and to take said goods and chattels wherever the same may be found, and dispose of the same, or so much as may be necessary, without appraisement,

(the appraisement required by law being hereby expressly
75½ waived), at public auction, at the place where the said property is found or taken, or at Pryor Creek, I. T., for cash in hand, upon two weeks' notice in some newspaper, published in the Northern District or the county where taken, or by written notices posted in four conspicuous places near the property, at which sale any of the parties hereto may purchase as other parties, and out of the proceeds of said sale, the said party of the second part to retain the sum due him, as herein set forth, and the cost of this trust and of sale, rendering the overplus, if any, to the said party of the first

part, his executors, administrators or assigns; and if from any cause said property shall fail to satisfy said debt and interest aforesaid, said party of the first part hereby agrees to pay the deficiency, and until default be made as aforesaid, or until such time as the party of the second part shall deem himself insecure as aforesaid, the said party of the first part to continue in the peaceable possession of all the said goods and chattels, all which, in consideration hereof, he engages shall be kept in as good condition as the same now are, and taken care of at his proper cost and expense.

In witness Whereof, the party of the first part has hereunto set his hand this 16th day of January, A. D. 1903.

WAT MAYES. [SEAL.]

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Indian Territory, Northern District:

On this 16th day of Jan. A. D. 1903, before me, a Notary Public, within and for said Northern District, Indian Territory, appeared in person Watt Mayes, and—to me personally well known as the person whose name appears upon the within and foregoing conveyance as the party grantor, and stated that he had executed the same for the consideration and purposes therein mentioned and set forth, and I do hereby so certify.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my Notarial Seal, on the date last above written.

[SEAL.] JAMES M. KEYS,
Notary Public.

My commission expires June 23rd, A. D. 1903.

Certificate of Record.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Indian Territory, Northern District, ss:

76 I, Charles A. Davidson, Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio Recorder in and for the Northern District, Indian Territory, do hereby certify that the instrument hereto attached was filed for record in my office the 16 day of Jan. 1903, at 2 P. M. duly recorded in Book B, Page 68.

Witness my hand and seal of said Court at Vinita, in said Territory, this 23 day of January, A. D. 1903.

[SEAL.] CHAS. A. DAVIDSON,
Clerk and ex-Officio Recorder,"
By —— —— *Deputy.*

That under the said mortgage the interpleader herein, First National Bank of Vinita, is entitled to the property attached and to the proceeds.

The premises considered, it prays that its rights herein may be investigated, and that upon a hearing it be awarded the property attached herein, or in the event of its having been sold, its proceeds,

and that it be accorded all other and further relief to which in equity and good conscience it is entitled.

(Signed) FIRST NAT. BK. OF VINITA,
By W. H. KORNEGAY, *Attorney.*

INDIAN TERRITORY,
Northern District, ss:

I, Oliver Bagby, on oath do say that I am President of First National Bank of Vinita; that I have knowledge of the matters and things set forth in the foregoing interplea, and that the facts therein set forth are true, as I verily believe.

(Signed) OLIVER BAGBY.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 15th day of October, 1905.

(Signed) R. V. McSPADDERN,
Notary Public within and for the Northern District of the Indian Territory.

My commission expires Jan. 21st, 1906."

Endorsed: No. 2327. First Nat'l Bank of Claremore, Plaintiff, vs. Wat Mayes, Def't. Interplea of First Nat'l Bank of Vinita. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed in open Court, at Vinita, I. T. Oct. 13, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Courts. W. H. Kornegay, Att'y for First Nat'l Bank of Vinita.

76½ And thereafter, on the 5th day of December, 1905, attorney for plaintiff files answer of plaintiff to interplea of C. M. Keys and Stock Yards Bank, said answer being in words and figures as follows:

"In the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, CITIZEN'S BANK, JOHN C. HOGAN, MARY D. MAYES, C. M. Keys & Co., Stock Yards Bank, Vinita National Bank, and First National Bank of Vinita, Interpleaders.

Answer of Plaintiff to Interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank.

The plaintiff herein for answer to the interplea of C. M. Keys & Co., a partnership composed of John M. Keys and Hugh Mills, and Stock Yards Bank, says:

That it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the defendant Wat Mayes, is indebted

in any manner to the interpleader, and it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a bel-ef as to whether or not the defendant Wat Mayes, executed any note to the interpleader, or whether the interpleader holds any note executed by him; and it says it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the interpleader holds any mortgage executed by Wat Mayes or anyone else, upon the property attached in this case. Neither has it sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not any mortgage so executed was recorded or filed for record at any place.

It therefore denies that the interpleader herein holds any note or mortgage executed by Wat Mayes; and it therefore denies that the interpleader herein has any lien for any sum upon the property attached in this case, and it prays for judgment for its costs.

(Signed) W. H. KORNEGAY,
Attorney for Plaintiff.

INDIAN TERRITORY,
Northern District, ss:

I, C. F. Godbey, on oath do say: that I am the cashier of the plaintiff, and that the statements of the foregoing answer to *inter*-interplea are true, as I verily believe.

C. F. GODBEY.

77 Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4 day of December, 1906.

[SEAL.] (Signed) WILLIAM M. HALL,
Notary Public.

My com. ex. Apr. 8th, 1908.

Endorsed: No. 2327 Equity. First National Bank of Claremore, Pl't'ff, vs. Wat Mayes, Def't. Answer of plaintiff to interplea of C. M. Keys and Stock Yards Bank. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Dec. 5, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Courts. W. H. Kornegay, Att'y for Pl't'ff.

And on the same day, the 5th day of December, 1905, attorney for plaintiff files answer of plaintiff to interplea of First National Bank of Vinita said answer being in words and figures as follows:

"In the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; CITIZEN'S BANK, JOHN C. HOGAN, MARY D. Mayes, C. M. Keys & Co., Stock Yards Bank, Vinita National Bank, and First National Bank of Vinita, Interpleaders.

Answer of Plaintiff to Interplea of First National Bank of Vinita.

The plaintiff herein for answer to the interplea of First National Bank of Vinita says: That it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the defendant, Wat Mayes, is indebted in any manner to the interpleader, and it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the defendant, Wat Mayes, executed any note to the interpleader, or whether the interpleader holds any note executed by him; and it says it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the interpleader holds any mortgage executed by Wat Mayes or anyone else, upon the property attached in this case. Neither has it sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not any mortgage so executed was recorded or filed for record at any place.

It therefore denies that the interpleader herein holds any note or mortgage executed by Wat Mayes; and it therefore denies that the interpleader herein has any lien for any sum upon the property attached in this case, and it prays for judgment for its costs. 771/2

(Signed) W. H. KORNEGAY,
Attorney for Plaintiff.

INDIAN TERRITORY,
Northern District, ss:

I, C. F. Godbey, on oath do say; that I am the cashier of the plaintiff, and that the statements of the foregoing answer to interplea are true, as I verily believe.

(Signed) C. F. GODBEY.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4 day of December, 1905.

[SEAL.] (Signed) WILLIAM M. HALL,
Notary Public.

My com. exp. Apr. 8th, 1908.

Endorsed: No. 2327 Equity. First Nat'l Bank of Claremore, Pl't'ff, vs. Wat Mayes, Def't. Answer of Plaintiff to Interplea of First National Bank of Vinita. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Dec. 5, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court. W. H. Kornegay, Att'y for Pl't'ff.

And on the same day, the 5th day of December, 1905 attorney for plaintiff files answer of plaintiff to interplea of Mary D. Mayes said answer being in words and figures as follows:

"In the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; CITIZEN'S BANK, JOHN C. HOGAN, MARY D. Mayes, C. M. Keys & Co., Stock Yards Bank, Vinita National Bank, and First National Bank of Vinita, Interpleaders.

Answer of Plaintiff to Interplea of Mary D. Mayes.

The plaintiff herein for answer to the interplea of Mary D. Mayes says: That it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the defendant, Wat Mayes, is indebted in any manner to the interpleader, and it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the defendant, Wat Mayes, executed any note to the interpleader,
78 or whether the interpleader holds any note executed by him: and it says it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the interpleader holds any mortgage executed by Wat Mayes or anyone else, upon the property attached in this case. Neither has it sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not any mortgage so executed was recorded or filed for record at any place.

It therefore denies that the interpleader herein holds any note or mortgage executed by Wat Mayes; and it therefore denies that the interpleader herein has any lien for any sum upon the property attached in this case, and it prays for judgment for its costs.

(Signed) W. H. KORNEGAY,
Attorney for Plaintiff.

INDIAN TERRITORY,
Northern District, ss:

I, C. F. Godbey, on oath do say; that I am the cashier of the plaintiff, and that the statements of the foregoing answer to interplea are true, as I verily believe.

(Signed) C. F. GODBEY.

Subscribed and sworn to before — this 4 day of December, 1905.

[SEAL.] (Signed) WILLIAM M. HALL,
Notary Public.

My com. exp. Apr. 8th, 1908."

Endorsed: No. 2327 Equity. First Nat'l Bank of Claremore, Pl't'ff, vs. Wat Mayes, Def't. Answer of Plaintiff to Interplea of Mary D. Mayes. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Dec. 5, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court. W. H. Korneygay, Att'y for pl't'ff.

And on the same day, the 5th day of December, 1905, attorney for plaintiff files answer of plaintiff to interplea of John C. Hogan said answer being in words and figures as follows:

"In the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; CITIZEN'S BANK, JOHN C. HOGAN, MARY D. Mayes, C. M. Keys & Co., Stock Yards Bank, Vinita
781½ National Bank, and First National Bank of Vinita, Interpleaders.

Answer of Plaintiff to Interplea of John C. Hogan.

The plaintiff herein for answer to the interplea of John C. Hogan says: That it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief *ts* to whether or not the defendant, Wat Mayes, is indebted in any manner to the interpleader, and it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the defendant, Wat Mayes, executed and note to the interpleader, or whether the interpleader holds any note executed by him; and it says it has not sufficint knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the interpleader holds any mortgage executed by Wat Mayes or anyone else, upon the property attached in this case. Neither has it sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not any mortgage so executed was recorded or filed for record at any place.

It therefore denies that the interpleader herein holds any note or mortgage executed by Wat Mays; and it therefore denies that the interpleader herein has any lien for any sum upon the property attached in this case, and it prays for judgment for its costs.

(Signed) W. H. KORNEGAY,
Attorney for Plaintiff.

INDIAN TERRITORY,
Northern District, ss:

I, C. F. Godbey, on oath do say; that I am the cashier of the plaintiff, and that the statements of the foregoing answer to interpleas are true, as I verily believe.

(Signed) C. F. GODBEY.

COPY BOUND CL

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4 day of December, 1905.
[SEAL.] (Signed) WILLIAM M. HALL,
Notary Public.

My com. exp. Apr. 8th, 1908.

Endorsed: No. 2327 Equity. First Nat'l Bank of Claremore, Pl't'ff, vs. Wat Mayes, Def't. Answer of Plaintiff to Interplea of John C. Hogan. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Dec. 5, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court. W. H. Kornegay, Att'y for pl't'ff.

And on the same day, the 5th day of December, 1905, attorney for plaintiff files answer of plaintiff to interplea of Citizen's Bank said answer being in words and figures as follows:

79 "In the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; CITIZEN'S BANK, JOHN C. HOGAN, MARY D. Mayes, C. M. Keys & Co., Stock Yards Bank, Vinita National Bank, and First National Bank of Vinita, Interpleaders.

Answer of Plaintiff to Interplea of Citizen's Bank.

The plaintiff herein for answer to the interplea of Citizen's Bank says: That it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the defendant, Wat Mayes, is indebted in any manner to the interpleader, and it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the defendant, Wat Mayes, executed any note to the interpleader, or whether the interpleader holds any note executed by him; and it says it has not sufficent knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the interpleader holds any mortgage executed by Wat Mayes or anyone else, upon the property attached in this case. Neither has it sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not any mortgage so executed was recorded or filed for record at any place.

It therefore denies that the interpleader herein holds any note or mortgage executed by Wat Mayes; and it therefore denies that the interpleader herein has any lien for any sum upon the property attached in this case, and it prays for judgment for its costs.

(Signed) W. H. KORNEGAY,
Attorney for Plaintiff.

INDIAN TERRITORY,
Northern District, ss:

I, C. F. Godbey, on oath do say; that I am the cashier of the plaintiff, and that the statements of the foregoing answer to interplea are true, as I verily believe.

(Signed) C. F. GODBEY.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4 day of December, 1905.

[SEAL.] WILLIAM M. HALL,
Notary Public.

My com. exp. Apr. 8th, 1908."

79½ Endorsed: No. 2327 Equity. First Nat'l Bank of Claremore, Pl't'ff, vs. Mat Mayes, Def't. Answer of Plaintiff to Interplea of Citizen's Bank. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Dec. 5, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court. W. H. Kornegay, Att'y for pl't'ff.

And on the same day, the 5th day of December, 1905, attorney for plaintiff files answer of plaintiff to interplea of Vinita National Bank, said answer being in words and figures as follows:

"In the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; VINITA NATIONAL BANK OF VINITA, Interpleader; First National Bank of Vinita, Interpleader; Citizen's Bank, John C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, C. M. Keys & Co., and Stock Yards Bank, Interpleaders.

Answer of Plaintiff to Interplea of Vinita National Bank.

The plaintiff for answer to the interplea of Vinita National Bank, says: That it admits the corporate existence of the interpleader, and that the attachment has been sued out as stated in the interplea, but it avers the fact to be that it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether Wat Mayes, on the 15th day of December, 1899, or at any other time, executed the note in question to the interpleader for the sum of $4500.00 or any other sum, and for that reason it denies the same and requires that the interpleader be required to prove the same. And it further shows that it has not sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to whether or not the said Wat Mayes executed to the interpleader a chattel mortgage upon 500 head of cows, or upon any cows, and their increase, and for that reason it denies the same and requires strict proof thereof. And it further says that it has not sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to whether or not the said mortgage was on the 29th day of December, 1899, or at any other time, filed in the office of the United States Clerk for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Muskogee, and it requires strict proof of the same, but it avers the fact to be that if this was done, that the interpleader did not acquire any lien thereby upon any of the property attached in this cause, and as to whether or

COPY BOUND CL

not the said mortgage has been renewed as stated in the inter-
80 plea, this plaintiff is not advised, and has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief thereon, and as to the payments it has not sufficient information.

The plaintiff avers the fact to be that the cattle in controversy at all times were located in what is now the Fifth Recording District of the Northern District of the Indian Territory, and that the interpleader, by virtue of the renewals set forth therein, did not keep alive its lien, as required by law, as against an attaching creditor upon such cattle as were embraced in the mortgage, but the plaintiff does not admit the identity of the cattle attached in this case with the cattle mortgaged to the interpleader, if any cattle were so mortgaged. The plaintiff, however, avers the fact to be that it has a mortgage on certain Real Estate, made by Wat Mayes, but it is informed and believes and charges that since said mortgage was made to it, said Wat Mayes to secure a valid indebtedness, has mortgaged the same property to the First National Bank of Vinita, Indian Territory, and the plaintiff further avers the fact to be that the said Real Estate is not near enough to discharge the plaintiff's indebtedness.

Wherefore, the plaintiff prays that the interpleader take nothing by reason of its interplea herein, and that the plaintiff recover its costs in this behalf expended.

(Signed) W. H. KORNEGAY,
Attorney for Plaintiff.

INDIAN TERRITORY,
Northern District, ss:

I, C. F. Godbey, on oath do say; that I am cashier of the First National Bank of Claremore, I. T.; that the statements of the foregoing answer are true, as I verily believe.

(Signed) C. F. GODBEY.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4th day of December, 1905.

[SEAL.] (Signed) WILLIAM M. HALL,
Notary Public within and for the Northern District of the Ind. Ter.

My commission expires Apr. 8th, 1909.

Endorsed: No. 2327 Equity. First National Bank of Claremore, Pl't'ff, vs. Wat Mays, Def't. Answer of plaintiff to Interplea of Vinita National Bank. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Dec. 5, 1905. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court. W. H. Kornegay, Att'y for pl't'ff."

80½ And thereafter, on February 4th, 1907, motion of receiver was filed, the same being in words and figures as follows:

SE IN CENTER

"In the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, Sitting at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES et al., Defendants.

Motion.

To the Honorable Joseph A. Gill, Judge of the U. S. Court:

Comes now George W. Mayes, the receiver heretofore appointed in this action, and moves the Court for an order authorizing him to reimburse himself out of the funds belonging to said estate and in his hands, for the sum of $182.50, as shown by his itemized bill filed herein on the 16th day of December, 1906; said bill having been refiled on the — day of January, 1907.

Your receiver further moves for an order authorizing and directing him to pay to Alberty Etchens, the Master in Chancery, the sum of $350.00, being the amount of fees allowed to said Master on the 7th day of January, 1907.

(Signed) GEO. W. MAYES, *Receiver,*
By NEV CAMPBELL,
Attorney for Receiver.

Endorsed: No. 2327 Equity. First Nat. Bank of Claremore, vs. Watt Mayes, et al. Motion of Receiver. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed in open Court at Vinita, I. T., Feb. 4, 1907. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court."

In the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, Sitting at Vinita.

No. 327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; STOCK YARDS BANK, C. M. KEYS & Co., Citizen's Bank of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory; J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, Sam H. Mayes, First National Bank of Pryor Creek, First National Bank of Vinita, and Vinita National Bank, Intervenors.

Testimony.

Now, on this day, to-wit, the 16th day of December, 1905,
81 said cause came on to be heard before the Master in Chancery, at Vinita, Indian Territory, pursuant to the order of reference heretofore made and entered by said Court, and the notice of

COPY BOUND CLOS

time and place of taking testimony herein, and plaintiff appearing by its attorney, W. H. Kornegay, Esq., the defendant appearing in person and by his attorneys, J. B. Turner, Esq., and J. Howard Langley, Esq., intervenor's Stock Yards Bank, and C. M. Keys & Company, appearing by their attorneys, Nev Campbell, Esq., and R. F. Blair, Esq., interveners, Stock Yards Bank, and C. M. Keys & Company, National Bank of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, appearing by J. Howard Langley, Esq., and the Citizen's Bank of Pryor Creek appearing by its attorney C. J. Taylor, Esq., and the Vinita National Bank by William P. Thompson, thereupon the following proceedings were had:

WATT MAYES being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

Direct examination.

By Mr. KORNEGAY:

Q. Your name is Watt Mayes?
A. Yes sir.
Q. You are the defendant in this case of the First National Bank of Claremore against Wat Mayes?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. There have been filed interpleas in this case—the first one is the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek. I wish you would state on oath whether or not, in your judgment, you owe the amount that they claim there?

(Here counsel hands witness a paper.)

A. Well, I think that is right.
Q. What is the amount claimed there?
A. $1824.68.

(Here paper just referred to is handed to the Master and is by him marked Exhibit "A.")

Q. Did you execute a mortgage similar to the one that is set forth in that interplea?
A. I did.
Q. The next one that I find is an interplea of the Vinita National Bank. I wish you would examine that and state on your oath whether or not, in your judgment, the note and mortgage as set out there is still owing and due, and the amount thereof?
A. This is the Vinita National you show?
81½ Q. Yes, sir?
A. At the time this come up I called for the amount that I owed at the bank and they told me it was something over $700.00 and I guess this must be the amount.
Q. That is the mortgage you executed, and as you understand it, to go with it?
A. Yes sir.

(Here paper just referred to is handed to the Master and is by him marked Exhibit "A.")

SE IN CENTER

Q. I will hand you this one of Mary D. Mayes?

(Here counsel hands witness a paper.)

A. Yes, sir, that is——
Q. That is the one to Mary Mayes?
A. Yes sir.
Q. That is your note and mortgage, is it?
A. Yes sir.
Q. What is the amount of it?
A. $1440.00.

(Here paper just referred to is handed to the Master and is by him marked Exhibit "C.")

Q. The next one is one of John C. Hogan?

(Here counsel hands paper to witness which is marked by the master Exhibits "D" and "E.")

A. This is the note and mortgage.

By Mr. TURNER: The original note and mortgage is introduced in evidence and marked exhibits.

By Mr. TURNER:

Q. Mr. Mayes, the property set forth in Exhibit "E" is the property attached in this case, I believe?

(Here counsel hands Exhibit "E" to the witness.)

A. Yes, sir.

By Mr. KORNEGAY:

Q. I hand you another interplea of the First National Bank of Vinita. Examine that and say whether or not that, in your judgment, is the amount you owe, and whether or not you executed the mortgage of which this is a copy?

(Here counsel hands witness a paper.)

82 A. Yes, sir, it is.

(Here paper just referred to is handed to the Master and is by him marked Exhibit "F.")

Q. I have here another interplea of the Stock Yards Bank and C. M. Keys & Company. Say whether or not that is contested by you? Examine that.

(Here counsel hands witness a paper.)

A. That, I say I don't owe it.

(Here paper just referred to is handed to the Master and is by him marked Exhibit "G.")

Q. Do you contest it?
A. I contest the correctness of it.
Q. Now, Mr. Mayes, we come to the plaintiff, the First National Bank of Claremore. Examine that and say if that is, in your judg-

ment, the correct amount of what you are owing to the First National Bank of Claremore, and now owe?

(Here counsel hands witness a paper which is marked Exhibit "H.")

A. Well, I don't know the exact amount—the exact figures of it, of course, but I owe them something about that.
Q. In your judgment that is correct, is it?
A. It is something near correct.

By Mr. KORNEGAY: Now on behalf of the interpleader, the First National Bank of Vinita, I will introduce the original mortgage in evidence, a copy of which is set out as Exhibit "1.")
By Mr. KORNEGAY: The First National Bank of Claremore does not claim any right to the proceeds arising from the sale of unbranded calves amounting to $217.00.
By Mr. THOMPSON: In behalf of the Vinita National Bank we introduce the original note set forth in the complaint marked Exhibit "A."
(Here paper just referred to is marked Exhibit "2.")
By Mr. THOMPSON: Also certified copy of the mortgage, together with renewal affidavits and all indorsements thereon, marked intervenor's Exhibit "B."
§2½ (Here paper just referred to is marked by the Master Exhibit "3.")

By Mr. THOMPSON:

Q. Mr. Mayes, the chattel mortgage given to the Vinita National Bank was for five hundred head of cows. I will ask you if the cattle attached by the plaintiff in this cause was part of the cattle belonging to and mentioned and described in this mortgage?
A. The cattle attached?
Q. Yes, sir?
A. Yes, sir, part of them.

By Mr. BLAIR: I believe that evidence is incompetent at this time, first because it seeks to contradict or vary the terms of the written contract; and, second, because the return of the Marshal is the best evidence.
(Testimony admitted subject to the objection.)

By Mr. THOMPSON:

Q. And were the greater portion of the cattle attached by the plaintiff in this cause, the original cattle named in the mortgage and their increase?
A. Well, there was very few of them the original cattle, but a great many of them were their increase.
Q. The majority of them were?
A. Well, something like—I couldn't tell hardly—I couldn't say a majority, hardly, because I couldn't keep track of them exactly, but there was a great many of them.

By Mr. THOMPSON:

Q. Were there a sufficient number of the original cattle and their increase covered by this mortgage to satisfy the claim of the Vinita National Bank of $729.04?

By Mr. BLAIR: I object as it calls for a conclusion of the witness. We object further, that the copy of the original mortgage, or certified copy of it, has been introduced in evidence here and that mortgage does not cover the increase of the cattle described in the mortgage.

(Admitted subject to the objection.)

A. Well, I think there was enough with the increase. I am satisfied there was enough.

83 By Mr. THOMPSON:

Q. Mr. Mayes, did you, in order to satisfy the indebtedness of yourself to the First National Bank of Claremore, execute a mortgage on real estate located in the town of Pryor Creek, as security for that debt?

A. I did.

Q. I wish you would examine this certified copy of that mortgage and say whether or not that is the mortgage you executed?

(Here counsel hands witness a paper.)

A. That is the original.

Q. That is a certified copy of the mortgage you gave the Claremore National Bank?

A. Yes, sir, that is the property.

Q. Is that the mortgage or a certified copy of it?

A. Yes, sir.

By Mr. THOMPSON: I ask to introduce the chattel mortgage of Wat Mayes given to the First National Bank of Claremore, Indian Territory, in the sum of $4206.62 for a note dated January 8th, 1903, which is marked in the interplea of the Vinita National Bank as Exhibit "C."

(Here paper just referred to is admitted in evidence and is marked by the Master Exhibit "4.")

Q. Was this mortgage given to secure the debts sued on by the First National Bank of Claremore, the plaintiff in this case?

A. Was this mortgage given which?

Q. To secure the debts sued on by the plaintiff in this case?

A. Part of it was, yes.

Q. Wasn't it given to secure the note that is sued on by the First National Bank of Claremore in this case?

A. Well, it was given to secure the note and another note too—another note besides.

Q. Has that mortgage ever been foreclosed?

A. Not yet.

Q. The property pledged in that mortgage has not been exhausted towards the satisfaction of this debt?

A. No. It is there just as it stood.

Q. What is that property worth?

A. It is hard telling now. It was worth more than that at the time it was made, but since then—I don't know what it would be worth.

83½ Q. At the time this suit was brought wasn't that property worth over $5,000.00?

A. Well, I couldn't say; I think it was. I am satisfied it is.

By Mr. C. J. TAYLOR: On behalf of the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, we desire to offer the two original notes covering the debt claimed in the interplea of the Citizens Bank, which are set forth in extenso in the interplea of the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory.

(Papers just referred to are handed to the Master and are by him marked Exhibits Nos. "5" and "6.")

Q. State whether or not that is a certified copy of the chattel mortgage securing those notes?

(Here counsel hands witness a paper.)

A. Yes, sir.

By Mr. TAYLOR: The Citizens Bank desires to offer in evidence the chattel mortgage given by defendant Wat Mayes to the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek covering the indebtedness claimed by them in the interplea, marked Exhibit "A."

(Here paper just referred to is handed to the Master and is by him marked Exhibit "7.")

Q. Mr. Mayes, are the cattle described in this mortgage the identical cattle taken by the plaintiff under their attachment in the cause?

A. Yes, sir; all except those calves.

By J. H. LANGLEY: On behalf of interpleader Mary D. Mayes, I desire to offer in evidence the original note, a copy of which is set forth in interpleader's petition.

(Paper just referred to is here handed to the Master and is by him marked Exhibit "8.")

By Mr. LANGLEY: I also desire to offer on behalf of interpleader Mary D. Mayes' certified copy of the chattel mortgage, with the endorsements thereon, which is marked in the interplea as exhibit "A."

(Here paper just referred to is handed to the Master and is by him marked Exhibit "9.")

84 Q. Mr. Mayes, will you examine that and state whether or not that is a copy of the mortgage given by you to the interpleader Mary D. Mayes?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Mr. Mayes, are all of the cattle taken under the attachment writ by plaintiff in this case, mentioned and described and conveyed by this mortgage?

A. All except those calves.

Q. Are those calves in this mortgage?

A. They are in the mortgage.

Q. Then all the cattle that are in the attachment are also in this mortgage?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. All the cattle, the proceeds of which are in the hands of the receiver, are described in this mortgage?

A. Yes, sir.

By Mr. KORNEGAY: I will offer in evidence my original mortgage executed by Wat Mayes to the First National Bank of Vinita.

(Here paper just referred to is handed to the Master and is by him marked Exhibit "10.")

Q. Examine these notes and say if they are the renewal notes covering the same indebtedness mentioned in this mortgage?

(Here counsel hands witness a paper.)

A. Yes, sir, those are the ones.

(Here papers just referred to are handed to the Master and are by him marked Exhibits "11" and "12," which are as follows:

EXHIBIT "11."

"296541.

7233.

7233. VINITA, INDIAN TERRITORY, *April* 12, 1905.

Ninety days after date, for value received, we promise to pay to the order of the First National Bank, of Vinita, at Vinita, Indiana Territory, the sum of Two Thousand Nine Hundred Sixty-Five and 41-100 Dollars, with interest at the rate of eight per cent. per annum from maturity until paid. The makers and indorsers of this note severally waive protest and notice of non-payment hereof, and if this note is collected by law, we agree to pay ten per cent. of the principal as attorney's fees. We also agree not to schedule any of our property to avoid the payment of this note.

84½ (Signed) WAT MAYES.

P. O. Pryor Creek."

EXHIBIT "12."

"73790.

"73790. VINITA, INDIAN TERRITORY, *April* 12, 1905.

Ninety days after date, for value received, we promise to pay to the order of the First National Bank of Vinita, at Vinita, Indian Territory, the sum of Seven Hundred Thirty Seven and 91-100 Dollars, with interest at the rate of eight per cent. per annum from maturity until paid. The makers and endorsers of this note severally

waive protest and notice of non-payment hereof, and if this note is collected by law, we agree to pay ten per cent. of the principal as attorney's fees. We also agree not to schedule any of our property to avoid the payment of this note.

(Signed) WAT MAYES.

P. O. Pryor Creek."

By Mr. KORNEGAY:

Q. Mr. Mayes, it has developed in the receiver's report that the proceeds of these cattle amounted to $11,234.17. I wish you would state to the Master, if just prior to the running of this attachment, you had shipped out any cattle, and if so, about how many, if you remember?

A. I shipped some; two loads I think; and, if I remember right, one load went to the Vinita National Bank—well, part of them; some cows and some calves, and I don't know whether it was a full load—part of the cattle went to the Vinita National Bank, and part to the C. M. Keyes & Company.

Q. Where did you ship the cattle to?

A. Saint Louis, Illinois.

Q. East Saint Louis?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Well now, at that time, were you fixing to ship other cattle?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. How many more were you going to ship out?

A. I was going to ship all of them I could get ready to ship.

Q. Were you intended to ship the identical cattle that were attached?

A. Yes, sir, I had to ship them because they was all I had.

Q. Did you have at that time, sufficient to pay your in-
85 debtedness.

A. Not including what Mr. Keys claims.

Q. You don't deny the grounds of attachment in this case, that you were about to ship out the greater portion of your property, not leaving sufficient to satisfy the claims of your creditors?

A. I don't deny getting ready to ship them out, and I don't believe it would have paid me out then.

Q. Whereabouts were these cattle running during the last five or six years?

A. They were running right there near Pryor Creek.

Q. How far from Pryor Creek?

A. Three miles.

Q. Three miles east of Pryor Creek?

A. Three miles south and east. Within three miles of town.

Q. Do you know how many cattle you had of the various brands at the time of the execution of the mortgage to the Vinita National?

A. Vinita National?

Q. Yes, sir?

A. That has been five or six years ago.

Q. 15th day of December, 1899.

A. Well, I don't remember exactly now, no.
Q. Did you have more cattle than were embraced in that mortgage—five hundred head of cows, two years and upwards?
A. Five years ago I think I had a lot of steers.
Q. Did you have any more cows?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You had previously given a mortgage on six hundred cows?
A. I believe that was about the cows that I had.
Q. Were the cattle embraced in these mortgages running just east of Pryor Creek there during all the time since you have owned them?
A. Well, principally there, but sometimes some of them grazed west of there a ways.
Q. How far?
A. About eight miles; not west, but south and west.
Q. About eight miles?
A. Yes, sir, on Choteau Creek.
Q. They never were in the Creek Nation?
A. No, sir, only when they would get through sometimes.

85½ By R. F. BLAIR: I desire to introduce on behalf of the intervenor, Stock Yards Bank, of Stock Yards, Illinois, the following note, together with the indorsements thereon:

"$12,026.74. PRYOR CREEK, INDIAN TERRITORY, *May* 1, 1905.

Six months after date I promise to pay to the order of C. M. Keys & Company, at National Stock Yards, Illinois, Twelve Thousand & twenty-six & 24-100 Dollars. Value received, negotiable and payable, without defalcation or discount, with interest at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date until paid. This note is given in part renewal of a certain note of the maker hereof, dated Oct. 28, 1901, for $34,800.00 secured by mortgage, said mortgage security is retained to this renewal note.

(Signed) WAT MAYES.

No. 2,075. Due Sep-. 1, '05.
P. O. Pryor Creek, I. T.

(Indorsed on back as follows:) C. M. Keys & Co., Presentment, demand, protest and notice of protest waived and payment at maturity guaranteed. C. M. Keys & Co."

Also the following note, together with the indorsements thereon:

$2,970.95. PRYOR CREEK, INDIAN TERRITORY, *Jan.* 16, 1905.

Six months after date I promise to pay to the order of C. M. Keys & Co., at National Stock Yards, Illinois, Two Thousand Nine Hundred Seventy and 95-100 Dollars. Value received, negotiable and payable without defalcation or discount, with interest at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date until paid. This note is given in part renewal of a certain note of the maker hereof, dated Oct.

28, 1901, for $34,800.04, secured by mortgage, and said mortgage security is retained to this renewal note.

(Signed) WAT MAYES.

No. 2,066.
Due July 16, 1905.
P. O. Pryor Creek, I. T.

(Indorsed on back as follows:) C. M. Keys & Co. Presentment, demand, protest and notice of protest waived and payment at maturity guaranteed. C. M. Keys & Co.
Sixty Dollars $60.00 to April 7, 1905.
$128.39 pd. Aug. 3, 1905."

86 By Mr. BLAIR: The same intervenor desires now to introduce a chattel mortgage made, executed and delivered by Wat Mayes on the 29th day of October, 1901, in favor of C. M. Keys & Co., upon 2,835 head of mixed cattle, more particularly described in said mortgage, to secure the sum of $34,800.04, together with all indorsements thereon, and the certificate of record by the Clerk and Ex-Officio Recorder attached thereto, the same being attached to said interpleader's petition, and marked therein as Exhibit "B."

(Here paper just referred to is handed to the Master and is by him marked Exhibit "13.")

F. C. PINKERTON, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

Direct examination.

By Mr. BLAIR:

Q. At the time these notes were endorsed and delivered to the Stock Yards Bank of Stock Yards, Illinois, were they due at that time or not?

A. They were not.

Q. At the time they were indorsed and delivered to the Stock Yards Bank, what, if anything was done with reference to assigning the mortgage lien in the mortgage just introduced, to the Stock Yards Bank to secure these notes?

A. It was verbally assigned over to the Bank.

By Mr. TURNER: On behalf of the defendant Mr. Mayes, for whom I appear as counsel, I desire to state, in order to make the record clear, that Mr. Mayes disputes the validity of this mortgage and alleges that it has been paid, and has called for an accounting in the pleadings in the case against C. M. Keys & Company and the Stock Yards National Bank; and, furthermore, I desire to call upon C. M. Keys & Company and the Stock Yards National Bank for the production of all books, papers and other evidences of indebtedness, and all letters in their possession pertaining to this account existing between them and the defendant Wat Mayes, and that they file them in the Clerk's office in this Court, subject to my inspection.

86½ By Mr. THOMPSON: On behalf of the Vinita National Bank, I desire to ask a few questions.

WAT MAYES, heretofore sworn, recalled for further redirect examination.

By Mr. THOMPSON:

Q. Mr. Mayes, how many of the original cattle covered by the mortgage executed to the Vinita National Bank for the security of their claim in this action, were among the cattle attached by the plaintiff in this case?

A. I couldn't tell the exact number, but George and I both looked over them while they were being shipped and sold, and we estimated about fifteen of the oldest of the cows branded with "W" on the left shoulder—that were the old cows; and then we estimated, the way I got it, about twenty-five of the other two brands "C" and a slash on the thigh. We estimated about 25.

Q. Then there were about forty head?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. What were those cows worth per head?

A. I think they were shipped out and sold at $17.50 per head.

Q. The most of the other cattle attached in this action were the increase of those original cows mortgaged to the Vinita National Bank?

A. A greater number of the young cattle belonged to these three brands—were the increase of those.

Q. Since the giving of the mortgage?

A. Yes, sir.

By C. J. TAYLOR: I will now read the notes which were heretofore introduced on behalf of the interpleader, the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek which are as follows:

PRYOR CREEK, IND. TER., *July 24th*, 1905.

Three months after date, for value received, I promise to pay to the order of The Citizen's Bank of Pryor Creek, at Pryor Creek, I. T. the sum of Twelve Hundred Ninety Seven and 79-100 Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from maturity until paid; the makers and indorsers of this note severally waive protest and notice of non-payment hereof, and if this note is collected by law we agree to pay ten per cent of the prin-
87 cipal as attorney's fees; we also agree not to schedule any of our property to avoid the payment of this note.

(Signed) WAT MAYES.

No. 616.
Amount: $1,297.79.
Due Oct. 24, 1905."

"PRYOR CREEK, IND. TER., *April 4th*, 1903.

Six months after date, for value received, we promise to pay to the order of The Citizen's Bank of Pryor Creek, at Pryor Creek,

I. T. the sum of Five Hundred and Twenty-Six and 89-100 Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from maturity until paid, the makers and endorsers of this note severally waive protest and notice of non-payment hereof, and if this note is collected by law we agree to pay ten per cent of the principal as attorney's fees; we also agree not to schedule any of our property to avoid the payment of this note.

GEO. W. MAYS.
(Signed) L. C. HARRISON.

No. 94.
Amount, $526.89.
Due Nov. 4, '03.
P. O. Pryor Creek, I. T."

Now on this day, to-wit, the 23rd day of February, 1906, said cause came on for further hearing before the Master in Chancery, at Vinita, Indian Territory, pu-suant to notice of time and place of taking testimony herein, and plaintiff appearing by its attorney, W. H. Kornegay, Esq., intervenor First National Bank of Vinita, Indian Territory, appearing by its attorney, W. H. Kornegay, Esq., intervenors C. M. Keys & Company, and Stock Yards Bank, appearing by their attorneys, Nev Campbell, Esq., and R. F. Blair, Esq., and John C. Hogan appearing by J. Howard Langley, Esq., and John B. Turner, Esq., and intervenor Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek appearing by its attorneys C. J. Taylor, Esq., and J. Howard Langley, Esq., and intervenor Mary D. Mayes appearing by her attorney J. Howard Langley, Esq., and intervenor Vinita National Bank appearing by its attorney William P. Thompson, Esq., thereupon the following proceedings were had:

(It is hereby stipulated and agreed that the following letter and statement therein contained is the stipulation of all parties in interest and states the facts relative to the Clerk's action upon
87½ the mortgage involved in this action. It is further stipulated and agreed by all parties hereto that this letter and stipulation applies to the mortgage of the Vinita National Bank filed herein.)

(Here paper just referred to is handed to the Master and is by him marked Exhibit "14.")

CHARLES A. DAVIDSON being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

Direct examination.

By Mr. THOMPSON:

Q. What is your name?
A. Charles A. Davidson. I am 41 years of age; I live at Vinita, Indian Territory; I am Clerk of the United States Court.
Q. Were you Clerk of the United States Court having charge of the office at Muskogee at one time?
A. I was.
Q. State what years.
A. 1900, 1901, and 1902 to the first of July.

Q. What time in 1900 did you go in?

A. January 1st, 1900.

Q. At that time the Northern District was divided into the Northern and Western Districts?

A. The first of July it was—1902.

Q. You still remained clerk of the Northern District of the Indian Territory?

A. Yes, sir, with headquarters at Vinita.

Q. What was done by your office in regard to indexing mortgages at different recording towns and recording districts of the Northern District of the Indian Territory after the division?

A. July 1st 1902, to the 19th of February, 1903, Vinita was the only recording District in the Northern District, and then each place of holding Court on the 19th day of February, 1903, was made a recording District by itself, and was described by metes and bounds in the Act.

Q. Was there any machinery provided by your office for the indexing and transfer of mortgages to the different recording districts?

A. No, sir.

88 Q. Why?

A. Simply because the Act of Congress—there was no appropriation for it.

By Mr. KORNEGAY:

Q. Did you provide books for the purpose of recording and transferring at the various offices?

A. From the date of the recording Act, February 19th, 1903, each office was supplied with a full set of mortgage records and indexes for files.

Q. As a matter of fact were there not a great many mortgages transferred from one recording district to another?

A. I could only state—I have transferred a few.

Q. You regarded it as the duty then of the mortgage holder to make application to have the transfer and index made prior to moving in the matter yourself, did you not?

By Mr. THOMPSON: We object for the reason that it is asking for a legal conclusion of this witness.

Q. Did you not transfer and re-index the various mortgages on the request of the mortgagee after 1903?

A. In a few instances.

Q. Suppose a mortgage was recorded at Vinita, what was the method of procedure adopted by you in order to get that mortgage indexed at Pryor Creek?

A. I would get a written request from the mortgagee.

Q. And then it was indexed without pay, was it?

A. Yes sir.

Q. Did the same procedure apply to filed mortgages?

A. I don't recollect?

Q. Mr. Davidson, can you give us an idea of the number of mort-

gages filed—the aggregate number—each year in the Northern District?

A. At the different places of holding Court?

Q. Tell us how many mortgages were on file and recorded *at* as shown by your records on February 19th, 1903?

A. 7976 filed; 291 recorded.

Q. Was it possible for the Clerk to ascertain and find out how many of these mortgages should be transferred to these various recording Districts?

A. It is an impossibility.

88½ By Mr. TURNER:

Q. According to your best knowledge, information and belief, how many mortgages were on file and recorded at Muskogee on July 1st, 1902?

A. There has been between 80,000 and 100,000.

By Mr. KORNEGAY: Here the First National Bank of Claremore introduces its mortgage upon the Real Estate that was referred to in the testimony of Wat Mayes; and also the First National Bank of Vinita introduces its mortgage on the Real Estate referred to.

(Here papers just referred to are handed to the Master and are by him marked Exhibits Nos. 15 and 16.)

J. HOWARD LANGLEY, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

Direct examination.

By Mr. KORNEGAY:

Q. What is your name?

A. J. Howard Langley.

Q. Mr. Langley, examine the mortgage that has been introduced in evidence made by Wat. Mayes to the First National Bank of Claremore, Indian Territory, on certain Real Estate in the town of Pryor Creek, and say whether or not you know that Real Estate, and, if so, how long have you been acquainted with it?

A. Yes, sir, I am acquainted with the Real Estate described in this mortgage.

Q. Do you know its value?

A. I think I do.

Q. I wish you would tell the Master what you think its value is?

By Mr. THOMPSON: I object, for the reason that that is not the way to determine the value of the property in this proceeding, and the Vinita National Bank has asked that this mortgage be foreclosed in the legal way and the money applied on the debt of the First National Bank of Claremore, and as to what its present value
89 is, is not material in this cause, as its value would be ascertained in the legal way.

By Mr. KORNEGAY:

Q. How long have you known that property?

A. For eight years.

Q. How long have you lived in Pryor Creek?
A. Eight years.
Q. How far did you live from the property?
A. I lived about 250 feet from a portion of it; from that on up to a half mile.
Q. What has been your business since you have been there?
A. Practice of law.
Q. Have you handled Real Estate any?
A. I have bought some and sold some in the town of Pryor Creek.
Q. You think you are familiar with the value of the property?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Mr. Thompson has raised the objection that the way to determine its value is by putting it up and selling it. What do you think it would bring on mortgage foreclosure?

By Mr. THOMPSON: I object, for the reason that it isn't a question of what this w-tness thinks it would bring if put up and sold, but the only way to ascertain the value is to foreclose the mortgage in accordance with the terms of the mortgage, and in accordance with the law for the foreclosure of mortgages on Real Estate. (Admitted subject to the objection.)

A. $2500.00 to $3000.00.
Q. What do you think the actual value of the property is?
A. $3500.
Q. Mr. Langley, have you examined the records at Pryor Creek to see about the indexing of the mortgage that the First National Bank of Vinita has introduced in evidence in this case, upon the cattle in this case?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. What does that record show?
A. I made a memorandum of the record. In Book "1" of general indexes to deeds and mortgages Fifth Recording District of Indian Territory, at Pryor Creek, page 156, appears the following: In
89½ the column headed "Grantor," appears "Mayes, Watt;" in the column headed "Grantee," opposite Mayes, Wat, appears "First National Bank of Vinita;" in the column headed "Kind of Instrument," on the same line appears "C. M.;" in the column headed "Numbers" "1-16-1903, 2 A. M.;" on the same line in the column headed "Recorded in Book," appears "B;" on the same line under the column headed "Page," appears "68;" and between the words "Mayes, Watt," and the words "First National Bank of Vinita," in parenthesis, in red ink, is written "At Vinita." There is no date by which it can be determined when this entry was made, but it appears between two entries, both of which were made on the first day of December, 1904.

By Mr. BLAIR: I will introduce the mortgage marked Exhibit "13" (A. M. E.). I will now introduce note number 1654, dated October 28th, 1901, (marked exhibit No. 17) $34,800.04, payable to the order of C. M. Keys & Company, and by them indorsed in blank. I will now introduce No. 2066—it is introduced—dated January 16, 1905, (marked Exhibit No. 18) $2970.95, payable to C. M. Keys &

Company, and by them endorsed in blank, on which -here are two credits: April 7, 1905, $60.00; August 3, 1905, $128.39. Also note No. 2075, dated March 1, 1905, (marked Exhibit No. 19) $12,-026.74, payable to the order of C. M. Keys & Company, and by them endorsed in blank.

All parties thereupon rested.

I, Albert M. Etchen, Master in Chancery, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the testimony taken before me in the above entitled cause, and that said witnesses were by me first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

Attest:

(Signed) ALBERT M. ETCHEN,
Master in Chancery.

C. M. Keys Commission Co., Kansas City, Kansas.

Sold for Account of Geo. M. ac't Wat Mayes.
Remarks Cattle Calves Hogs Sheep.

A. P. Co.	13 cows
N. Morris	1 cf.
	14

90 Branded W 1s.

	Amount.	Total.
Weight, 9800; Dock Price, $2.30	$225.40	
Weight, 190; Dock Price, $3.50	6.65	
		$232.05

Charges:

Deposited with Nat'l Bk. of C. K. C., for credit of 1st Nat'l Pryor Creek, I. T. ac't Geo. Mayes, Receiver	$211.01	
Freight and back charges	12.29	
Yardage	3.35	
Inspection		
Hay	.50	
Corn		
Commission	4.90	
Net proceeds	211.01	
	$232.05	$232.05

E. & O. E.
Kansas City, Kansas, Oct. 18, 1905.
Anything not satisfactory, please call for an explanation.

EXHIBIT "F."

Duplicate Scale Ticket.

Scale No. 3.

U. S. Quarantine Stock Yards Co.

Seller.	Cattle.	Weight.	Price.	Owner.
Drovers C. Co.....	13	10,560	$2	Gamble

Buyer—Fowler—No. 2. Cows—1 BJ.

GEO. MAYES, *Recv.*

Yarded: Block —, Pen —.
Date 10–13–1905.
Adams, Weighmaster.

Duplicate Scale Ticket.

Scale No. —.

U. S. Quarantine Stock Yards Co.

Seller.	Cattle.	Weight.	Price.	Owner.
Drovers C. Co.....	2	1400	$1.50	Gamble

Buyer—S. K. C.—J. B. L. J. Cows.

GEO. MAYES, *Recv.*

Yarded: Block 37, Pen 6.
10–13–1905.
Adams, Weighmaster.

90½ Harry B. Clark. Harry Rankin.

Drovers Live Stock Commission Co. Not Incorporated.

Rooms 135 to 138 Live Stock Exchange.

KANSAS CITY STOCK YARDS, *Oct.* 13, 1905.

Account sales of.....Cattle....Calves.....Hogs.....Sheep.....

Sold for account of J. M. Gambill a/c Geo. Mayes, receiver.

No.....From.....P. O.....Pryor Creek, I. T.

Per.	Cattle.	Hogs.	Sheep.	Wt.	Sows.	Stags.	Dock	Pr.	Amt.	Total.
Fowler	12 cows 1 BJ steer			10,560				$2.00	$211.20	
SK—JB	2 BJ cows			1,400				1.50	21.00	
	15			11,960						$232.20

R. R. Weight:

Freight	$14.40	
Yardage	3.75	
Hay	2.50	
	$20.65	
Corn		
Inspection		
Commission	6.20	
		$26.85
Net proceeds		$205.35

E. & O. E.
Deposited Nat'l Bank of Commerce.
Credit First Nat. Bank, Pryor Creek, I. T.
Use of Geo. W. Mayes, Receiver.

EXHIBIT "E."

C. M. Keys Commission Co., National Stock Yards, Ill.

Sold for account of Geo. W. Mayes, Receiver for Wat Mayes.
Remarks.

Cattle.	Calves.	Hogs.	Sheep.	Weight.	Dock	Price.	Amount.	Total.
26				22,090		$2.40		$530.16

Charges:

Freight and back charges		$46.36	
Yardage		6.50	
Inspection			
91 Hay		72	
Corn			
Commission		12.00	
Net proceeds		464.58	
		$530.16	$530.16

E. & O. E.
National Stock Yards, Ill., Oct. 12, 1905.
Anything not satisfactory, please *for* for explanation.
Net proceeds deposited with First Nat. Bank, Pryor Creek I. T.
Cr. Use of Geo. W. Mayes, Rec.

EXHIBIT "D."

C. M. Keys Commission Co., National Stock Yards, Ill.

Sold for account of Geo. W. Mayes, Receiver.
Remarks.

Cattle.	Calves.	Hogs.	Sheep.	Weight.	Dock Price.	Amount.	Total.
Steers	23			26,650	$3.30	$879.45	
Steers	45			47,190	3.10	1,462.89	
Cow	1			560	1.50	8.40	
	69						$2,350.74

Charges:

Freight and back charges	$161.48	
Yardage	17.25	
Inspection		
Hay, 700	7.00	
Corn		
Commission	34.50	
Net proceeds	2,130.51	
	$2,350.74	$2,350.74

E. & O. E.
National Stock Yards, Ill., Sep. 27, 1905.
Anything not satisfactory, please call for an explanation.
Net proceeds deposited with First Nat. Bk., Pryor Creek, I. T.
Cr. Use of Geo. W. Mayes, Receiver.

EXHIBIT "C."

91½ C. M. Keys & Co., Live Stock Commission Merchants.

St. Louis National Stock Yards.

NATIONAL STOCK YARDS, ILL.

Sold for Account of Wat Mayes (Special).
Remarks.

Cattle.	Calves.	Hogs.	Sheep.	Weight.	Dock Price.	Amount.	Total.
	23				at $9.50	$218.50	
	5				at 3.00	15.00	
							$233.50
	1				$9.50		9.50
	29						$243.00

Charges:

Freight and back charges	$15.80	
Yardage	2.75	
Inspection		
Hay	.20	
Corn		
Internal revenue		
Commission	7.25	
Net proceeds	217.00	
	$243.00	$243.00

E. & O. E.

National Stock Yards, Ill., Sep. 10, 1905.

Anything not satisfactory, please call for an explanation.

Proceeds to 1st Nat. Bank, Pryor Creek, I. T., for credit of Geo. Mayes, Special Agent for Wat Mayes & C. M. Keys & Co.

EXHIBIT "G."

C. M. Keys Com. Co., Live Stock Commission Merchants.

St. Louis National Stock Yards.

NATIONAL STOCK YARDS, ILL.

Sold for Account of Geo. Mayes, Receiver for Wat Mayes.
Remarks.

Cattle.	Calves.	Hogs.	Sheep.	Weight.	Dock Price.	Amount.	Total.
	12				at $5.50		$114.00

Branded W on left shoulder.

Charges:

92	Freight and back charges	$6.55	
	Yardage	1.20	
	Inspection		
	Hay	10	
	Corn		
	Internal revenue		
	Commission	3.00	
	Net proceeds	103.15	
		$114.00	$114.00

E. & O. E.

National Stock Yards, Ill., Sep. 10, 1905.

Anything not satisfactory, please call for an explanation.

Proceeds to 1st Nat. Bank, Pryor Creek, I. T., for credit of Geo. Mayes, Receiver.

EXHIBIT "B."

C. M. Keys Com. Co., Live Stock Commission Merchants.

St. Louis National Stock Yards.

NATIONAL STOCK YARDS, ILL.

Sold for account of Geo. M. Mayes, Receiver.
Remarks.

Cattle.	Calves.	Hogs.	Sheep.	Weight.	Dock Price.	Amount.	Total.
K	3	cows		2,750	$2.55	$70.12	
4	1	"		920	2.55	23.46	
W	5	"		4,580	2.55	116.79	
K	1	"		690	2.00	13.80	
	10						$224.17

Charges:

Freight and back charges	$18.95	
Yardage	2.50	
Inspection		
Hay	.35	
Corn		
Internal revenue		
Commission	5.00	
Net proceeds	197.37	
	$224.17	$224.17

92½ E. & O. E.

National Stock Yards, Ill., Sep. 10, 1905.

Anything not satisfactory, please call for an explanation.

Proceeds to 1st Nat. Bank, Pryor Creek, I. T., for credit of Geo. Mayes, Receiver.

EXHIBIT "A."

Endorsed: Geo. Mayes, Rec'v'r vs. Account Sales. Exhibits to be attached to report of Receiver.

Chattel Mortgage, with Power of Sale.

Know all men by these presents: That Wat Hayes, of Pryor Creek, I. T., party of the first part, in consideration of the sum of Six Thousand One Hundred Eighty Seven and no-100 Dollars to him in hand — by J. C. Hogan of Pryor Creek, I. T., party of the second part, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has bargained and sold, and by these presents does bargain and sell unto the said party of the second part, his executors administrators and assigns, all of the fol-

lowing described personal property situate in the pastures and on the range of first party, within the Fifth Recording District, Northern District, Indian Territory, to-wit:

Forty five (45) native cows, three to six years old, branded K on left side;

Three hundred and thirty (330) cows, native, three years to six years old, branded W on left shoulder;

One hundred (100) two year old steers, branded W on left shoulder;

One hundred and ten (110) four — old steers, branded W on left side;

Sixty (60) yearling steers, branded W on left shoulder;

Thirty-five (35) yearling heifers, branded W on left shoulder;

Sixty (60) calves branded W on left shoulder;

Five (5) high grade bulls, three of which are branded W on left shoulder, and two of which are unbranded, and being all of the cattle of these respective brands and descriptions owned and claimed by first party.

Provided always, and these presents are upon this express condition:

93 That if the said party of the first part shall pay or cause to be paid to said party of the second part, or to his executors, administrators or assigns, the fees for releasing this mortgage, and the aforesaid sum of Sixty One Hundred Eighty Seven and no-100 Dollars, according to the terms of one certain promis-ory note dated the 27th day of July, 1905, due one day after date, for the said sum of $6187.00 and drawing interest from date at the rate of eight per cent. per annum, signed by Wat Mayes; then these presents and everything herein contained shall be void.

But if default shall be made in the payment of said sum of money, or any part thereof, or the interest thereon, at the time when the same is due according to the terms of the said note, or if the said party of the second part shall at any time deem himself insecure, for any cause without assigning any reason therefor, then and thenceforth it shall be lawful for said party of the second part, his executors, administrators or assigns, or his authorized agent, to take said property wherever the same may be found and dispose of the same, or so much as may be necessary, at public auction, at the place where the said property is found or taken, or at Pryor Creek, I. T., for cash in hand upon two weeks' notice in some newspaper published in the Northern District, or the recording district where taken, or by written notices posted in four conspicuous places near the property, at which sale any of the parties hereto may purchase as other parties and out of the proceeds of said sale, said party of the second part to retain the sum due him as herein set forth, and the cost of this Trust and sale, rendering the overplus, if any, to the said party of the first part, his executors, administrators or assigns.

In Witness Whereof, the party of the first part has hereunto set his hand this 27th day of July, 1905.

(Signed) WAT MAYES.

Master's Ex. ("E") A. M. E.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Indian Territory, Northern Judicial District, ss:

On this 27th day of July, 1905, before me the undersigned, a Notary Public duly commissioned and acting within and for the said Northern Judicial District of Indian Territory, appeared in person Wat Mayes, to me well known as the within named party grantor, and who stated that he had signed and executed the within and foregoing mortgage as his free and voluntary act for the
93½ purposes and considerations therein mentioned and set forth. And I do hereby so certify.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my Notarial Seal the year and day last above written.

(Signed) J. HOWARD LANGLEY,
[SEAL.] *Notary Public.*

My commission expires Dec. 5th, 1905.

Certificate of Record.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Indian Territory, Northern District, ss:

I, J. C. Burgess, Deputy Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio Recorder in and for the 5th Recording District, Indian Territory, do hereby certify that the instrument hereto attached was filed for record in my office the 28th day of July, 1905, at 8 a. m., and duly recorded in Book D, page 106.

Witness my hand and seal of said Court at Pryor Creek, in said Territory, this 4th day of August, A. D., 1905.

(Signed) J. C. BURGESS,
[SEAL.] *Deputy Clerk and Ex-Officio Recorder.*

Endorsed: Chattel Mortgage. Wat Mayes, to J. C. Hogan. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed for record Jul- 28 1905, 8 A. M. at Prior Creek, Ind. Ter. J. C. Burgess, Dep. Clerk & Ex-Officio Recorder. 106. J. H. Langley, Pryor Creek.

Know All Men By This: That Wat Mayes, of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, is indebted to the First National Bank of Vinita, Indian Territory, in the sum of $3703.31, as evidenced by his certain promissory note dated April 12, 1905, for $2965.41 and due ninety days after date and another promis-ory note dated April 12, 1905 and due ninety days after date calling for $737.00; and that he, in order to secure payment of said indebtedness so evidenced, by these presents bargains, sells and conveys unto said Bank, the fol-
94 lowing described lots and the improvements thereon, which are located in the town of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, and within the limits of the town of Pryor Creek, as shown by the Cherokee Survey, and also as shown by the Government survey, the descriptions herein being in accordance with the Cherokee Survey and the lots described thereby being as follows:

Lots No. 5, 6, 7, and 8, in Block No. 81; Lot No. 2 in Block No. 59; Lot No. 8 in Block No. 37; Lots No. 2 and 8 in Block No. 66; Lot No. 12 in Block No. 55; Lot 1 in Block No. 54; South ½ of Lot No. 2 in Block No. 77; Lots No. 2 and 4 in Block No. 78; Lot No. 3 in Block No. 30; Lots No. 2 and 4 in Block No. 70.

And Nannie R. Mayes, his wife in consideration of the said indebtedness and of the money advanced on said note, hereby relinquish*eds* and releases all claim to dower in and to said lots and improvements as additional security to such security as said Bank now holds for said indebtedness.

In case said debt is paid at maturity, this conveyance shall become void.

In case, however, the indebtedness according to the terms of the said notes or any renewals or extensions thereof is not paid, then the said Bank is empowered to advertise the sale of the said lots, or as many as may be necessary, for two weeks in some newspaper published in the Northern District of the Indian Territory and to sell the same for cash in hand to the highest bodder, the sale to take place in the town of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, at any convenient place to be selected by said bank; and apply the proceeds towards paying the expenses of the sale and the indebtedness, as far as the proceeds will extend, returning the overplus, if any, to said Wat Mayes.

Witness the hands of the parties on this 5th day of June, 1905.

(Signed) WAT MAYES,
NANNIE R. MAYES.

INDIAN TERRITORY,
Northern District, ss:

On this 5th day of June, 1905, before me R. V. McSpadden, a Notary Public within and for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, appeared personally Wat Mayes, to me well known as being one of the parties grantors in the foregoing instrument, and a-tions therein set forth and mentioned, and I do hereby so certify.

Witness my hand and official seal on this 5th day of May, 94½ 1905.

(Signed) R. V. McSPADDEN,
[SEAL.] *Notary Public within and for the Northern District of the Indian Territory.*

My commission expires Jan. 21st, 1906.

INDIAN TERRITORY,
Northern District, ss:

On this 19th day of June, 1905, before me, R. V. McSpadden, a Notary Public within and for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, voluntarily and personally appeared Nannie R. Mayes, the wife of Wat Mayes, and being one of the grantors in the foregoing instrument, and, in the absence of her said husband, she stated and declared that she of her own free will and without compulsion or undue influence of her said husband, signed and executed

the foregoing instrument and executed the relinquishment of dower therein contained and expressed, for the purposes and considerations therein set forth, mentioned and contained, and I do hereby so certify.

I further certify that said Nannie R. Mayes is personally well known to me to be one of the parties to the foregoing instrument.

Witness my hand and official seal on this 19th day of June, 1905.

(Signed) R. V. McSPADDEN,
[SEAL.] *Notary Public within and for the Northern District of the Indian Territory.*

My commission expires Jan. 21st, 1906.

Endorsed: (16 A. M. E.). 528. Wat Mayes to First Nat'l Bank. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Jun- 19 1905 2 P. M. At Prior Creek, Ind. Ter. J. C. Burgess Dep. Clerk & Ex-Officio Recorder. For recording. Return to F'st Nat. Bank, Vinita. P'd $1.35.

Endorsed: No. 2327 Equity. First National Bank of Claremore, Plaintiff, vs. Wat. Mayes, defendant; Stock Yards Bank et al. Intervenors. Testimony. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Aug. 30, 1906. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk, U. S. Court.

95 And thereafter, on October 16, 1906, agreed statement of facts was filed, the same being in words and figures as follows:

"FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Pl't'ff,
vs.
WATT MAYES, Defendant.

VINITA NATIONAL BANK et al., Intervenors.

Stipulation as to Facts.

It is hereby admitted by the plaintiff that it knew that the Vinita National Bank had its mortgage introduced in this cause, executed by Watt Mayes, on file, and the state of the record at Muskogee in regard thereto at the time it secured its order of attachment in this case; and the First National Bank of Vinita, I. T. admits it knew the same facts at the time it secured the mortgage introduced by it in this case.

(Signed) W. H. KORNEGAY,
Att'y for the First National Bank of Vinita and First National Bank of Claremore.

Endorsed: No. 2327. First National Bank of Claremore, vs. Watt Mayes, Def't Vinita National Bank et al., Intervenors. Agreed Statement of Facts. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Oct. 16, 1906. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Courts."

And on the same day, to-wit, October 16, 1906, agreed statement of facts was filed, the same being in words and figures as follows:

"First National Bank of Claremore, Plaintiff,
vs.
Watt Mayes, Defendant.

Vinita National Bank et al., Intervenors.

Stipulation as to Facts.

It is hereby admitted *that* undersigned intervenors in this cause that each and all of them knew that the Vinita National Bank had its mortgage, introduced in this cause, executed by Watt Mayes, on file and the state of the record at time each of them secured its mortgage introduced in this cause.

Signed this 16th day of October, A. D. 1906.

(Signed) C. J. TAYLOR,
Att'y for Citizens Bank.
J. C. HOGAN,
By J. HOWARD LANGLEY,
His Att'y.

95½ Endorsed: No. 2327. First National Bank of Claremore, vs. Watt Mayes, D'f't & Vinita National Bank et al., Intervenors. Agreed statement of Facts. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Oct. 16, 1906, Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court."

And thereafter on October 12, 1906, stipulation was filed, the same being in words and figures as follows:

"In the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, at Vinita, I. T.

First National Bank of Claremore, I. T.,
vs.
Watt Mayes, Def't.

C. M. Keys et al., Intervenors.

Stipulation.

It is stipulated between pl't'f and intervenors, C. M. Keys & Co., & Stock Yards Bank, that at the time of running the attachment it was known to plaintiff that a mortgage was of record at Muskogee in favor of intervenors calling for $34,800 & 4-100, which mortgage is in proof.

(Signed) FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE,
By W. H. KORNEGAY, *Att'y.*
NEV CAMPBELL,
R. F. BLAIR,
Att'ys for Intervenors C. M. Keys & Co. & Stock Yards Bank.

Intervenors J. C. Hogan & Citizens Bank admit, each of them, knowledge of the existence of the above mentioned mortgage at the time of the execution of the mortgages to said intervenors, J. C. Hogan & Citizens Bank.

(Signed) J. HOWARD LANGLEY,
Att'y for J. C. Hogan.
C. J. TAYLOR,
Att'y for Citizens Bank.
NEV CAMPBELL &
R. F. BLAIR.

Endorsed: 2327. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed in open Court. At Vinita, I. T. Oct. 12 1906. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk, U. S. Court."

And thereafter, to-wit, on the 30th day of August, 1906, the Master in Chancery filed his report, which is in words and figures as follows:

96 "In the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, Sitting at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant.

STOCK YARDS BANK, C. M. KEYS & CO., CITIZENS BANK OF PRYOR Creek, Indian Territory; J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, First National Bank of Pryor Creek, First National Bank of Vinita, and Vinita National Bank, Intervenors.

Master's Report.

Honorable Joseph A. Gill, United States Judge, Presiding:

Albert M. Etchen, Master in Chancery, respectfully reports that, pursuant to the general order of reference, he has examined the files, and taken the evidence in the above entitled cause, and finds therefrom as follows:

That the defendant Wat Mayes, on the 15th day of December, 1899, being indebted to the Vinita National Bank, made, executed and delivered to said bank his promissory note in the sum of $4,500.00, with interest thereon at the rate of ten per cent. per annum from maturity, said note to be due and payable six months after date.

That to secure said note, said Wat Mayes made, executed and delivered to said Vinita National Bank a chattel mortgage on the following described property, to-wit:

Five hundred head of cows, two year old and upwards, branded in the following brands, to-wit: 100 branded C on right side; 100

branded —— on left thigh; 300 branded W on left shoulder; said cattle also branded with various other brands. The above described cattle being all the cows owned by party of the first part 2 years old and up. Said cattle being on defendant's ranch in Cooweescoowee District, Cherokee Nation and within the Northern District of the Indian Territory.

That said mortgage was filed on the 29th day of December, 1899, at 2 o'clock P. M. and entered on page 113, Book 00 of Minutes of Mortgages, by James A. Winston, Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio Recorder for the Northern District of the Indian Territory.

That said mortgage has since been renewed by filing renewal affidavits in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court
961½ and ex-officio recorder, at Muskogee, Indian Territory, on the following dates: December 14th, 1900; December 12th, 1901; December 20th, 1902; December 14th, 1903; December 12th, 1-04.

That thereafter defendant made payments on said note, and reduced the amount thereof to $729.04, which sum is due said Vinita National Bank from said defendant.

That thereafter and on the 28th day of October, 1901, the defendant Wat Mayes, made, executed and delivered to C. M. Keys & Company his certain promissory note in the sum of $34,800.04 with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from date thereof.

That said defendant to secure said note made, executed and delivered to said C. M. Keys & Co., a chattel mortgage upon the following described property:

Six hundred (600) three year steers; six hundred (600) cows; four to eight years; one hundred forty three (143) four year steers; three hundred twenty-five (325) two year steers; one hundred (100) two year heifers; three hundred fifty (350) yearling steers and heifers; five hundred (500) last spring calves; twenty (20) bulls; five bulls coming two year old (the 20 bulls are coming four year old). Above mentioned cattle are branded thus W on left shoulder, with the exception of a few of the cows and three year steers which have ^ on right shoulder, and about twelve of the last spring calves have K on both sides. Eleven four — steers branded O on left loin; thirty-five two year steers; thirty-eight three year steers; fourteen two year heifers; ten four year bulls; eighty-four cows three to eight years, all branded K on both sides. One hundred forty ton cotton seed; three hundred fifty ton of hay; one thousand bushels of corn; six hundred acres of shocked fodder, and three hundred acres of shocked fodder rented. These cattle are located on said Mortgagor's ranch, three miles Southeast of Pryor Creek, I. T."

That said mortgage was filed for record in the office of Charles A. Davidson, Clerk of the United States Court and Ex-officio Recorder in the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Muskogee, Indian Territory, on the 31st day of October, 1901, at 2 o'clock P. M. and recorded in Book M, page 286.

That thereafter and before said note became due, said C. M. Keys & Company, for a valuable consideration, sold and transferred said note to Stock Yards Bank, presentment, demand, protest and notice of protest being waived and payment at maturity guaranteed by said C. M. Keys & Company.

97 That thereafter said defendant made settlement with said Stock Yards Bank by payment of a portion of said note and executed and delivered his promissory note for the remainder thereof, and from time to time thereafter said defendant made various payments upon said indebtedness, and on the 1st day of March, 1905, made, executed and delivered his promissory note as an evidence of the remaining indebtedness due said C. M. Keys & Company, in the sum of $12,026.74, together with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date thereof; also one certain promissory note of date January 16th, 1905, in the sum of $2,970.95, payable to the order of C. M. Keys & Company, together with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date thereof.

That thereafter, and before said notes became due, for a valuable consideration, said C. M. Keys & Company endorsed, negotiated and sold to intervenor, Stock Yards Bank, said notes, together with the mortgage heretofore described.

That there is now due and unpaid upon said indebtedness the sum of $15,288.69.

That thereafter, and on the — day of February, 1905, the exact date not being shown by the evidence, defendant Wat Mayes, made, executed and delivered to C. M. Keys & Company, his certain promissory note in the sum of $500.00, which, together with interest thereon, aggregates the sum of $520.00.

That all of said indebtedness as evidenced by said notes is included in and covered by said mortgage.

That on the 8th day of January, 1903, said Wat Mayes, for a valuable consideration, made, executed and delivered to the First National Bank of Claremore, Indian Territory, his certain promissory note in the sum of $4,206.62, with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum from maturity until paid; that said note after its making was extended to January 8th, 1905.

That thereafter, and on the 13th day of July, 1904, said defendant Wat Mayes, for a valuable consideration, made, executed and delivered to the First National Bank of Claremore, Indian Territory, certain promissory note in the sum of $356.75, with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum from maturity until paid.

That there is due said First National Bank of Claremore, Indian Territory, from the said Wat Mayes, upon an account the sum of $43.55, and interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per annum from and after 26th day of November, 1904; and also the

97½ sum of $66.12 with interest thereon at the rate of 6 per cent per annum from and after the 5th day of June, 1905.

That on the 16th day of January, 1903, said Wat Mayes, to secure said notes, made, executed and delivered to the First National Bank of Claremore, Indian Territory, his certain mortgage on the

following described lots and improvements located thereon, in the town of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, described according to the Cherokee Surveys as follows:

Lots No. 5, 6, 7 and 8, in Block No. 81. Lot 2, Block No. 59; Lot No. 8 in Block No. 37; Lots No. 2 and 8 in Block No. 66; Lot No. 12 in Block No. 55; Lot No. 1 in Block No. 54; The South 1-2 of Lot No. 2, Block No. 777; Lots 244 in Block No. 78; Lot No. 3 in Block No. 30; Lots 2 and 4 in Block No. 70.

That thereafter and on the 7th day of December, 1903, at 10 A. M. said mortgage was duly filed for record in the office of J. C. Burgess, Deputy Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder, at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, and duly recorded in Book A, page 193.

That on the 16th day of January, 1903, said defendant Wat Mays, made, executed and delivered to the First National Bank of Vinita, a chattel mortgage in the sum of $3,778.19 on the following described property, located in the Northern District of the Indian Territory, to-wit:

"All his cattle of every description consisting of steers, cows, heifers, calves and bulls, and being as estimated 475 4 year old steers, some branded 4 on left thigh, some running W on left shoulder; 200 coming 3 year old steers, branded running W on left shoulder; 260 coming two year old steers, same brand; 430 coming yearlings mixed; same brand. 730 cows, some branded running W on left shoulder, some branded 4 on left thigh; 85 coming 2 year old heifers branded running W on left shoulder; 25 bulls; the cattle are supposed to be located about three miles south of Pryor Creek, but this mortgage covers all cattle, no matter where located; cattle above described have various mortgages on them, but the exact amount of the mortgages on the respective classes of cattle is unknown to the parties hereto, and it may be that all the cattle are not covered by mortgage, but the mortgagor does not represent that any of the cattle are free from mortgage."

That thereafter, and on said 16th day of January, 1903, said mortgage was duly acknowledged, and was thereafter filed for record in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder for the Northern District of the Indian Territory,
98 at Vinita, Indian Territory, and was recorded in Book B, page 68, and was thereafter indexed on the first day of December, 1904, in the office of the Deputy Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory.

That on the 5th day of June, 1905, said Wat Mayes, to secure the payment on said notes, made, executed and delivered to the First National Bank of Vinita, Indian Territory, his mortgage on the following described property, as shown by the Cherokee surveys, to-wit:

Lots 5, 6, 7 and 8 in Block No. 81; Lot No. 2 in Block No. 59; Lot No. 8 in Block No. 37; Lots No. 2 and 8 in Block No. 66; Lot No. 12 in Blosk No. 55; Lot No. 1 in Block No. 54; South 1-2 of Lot No. 2 in Block No. 77; Lots No. 2 and 4 in Block No. 78; Lot

No. 3 in Block No. 30; Lots No. 2 and 4 in Block No. 70, in the town of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory.

That said mortgage was duly recorded in the office of J. C. Burgess Deputy Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder on the 19th day of June, 1905, at 5 o'clock P. M. and recorded in Book C, page 528.

That on the 4th day of April, 1903, said defendant Wat Mayes, made, executed and delivered his certain promissory note to the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, in the sum of $526.89 with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from and after November 4th, 1903.

That thereafter on the 24th day of July, 1905, said defendant Wat Mayes, made, executed and delivered to the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, his certain promissory note in the sum of $1,297.79 with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from and after the 24th day of October, 1905.

That to secure the payment of said notes, the defendant Wat Mayes, on the 24th day of July, 1905, made, executed and delivered to the said Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, his certain chattel mortgage on the following described property, located in the Northern District of the Indian Territory, to-wit:

330 head of cows from three to six years old, branded W on left shoulder; forty-five head same as above branded K on left side; 120 four year steers branded W on left shoulder; 100 two year old steers branded W on left shoulder; 75 yearling steers branded W on left shoulder; 30 yearling heifers branded W on left shoulder; 60 calves branded W on left shoulder."

That thereafter and on the 24th day of July, 1905, at 9 A. M. said mortgage was duly filed in the office of J. C. Burgess,
98½ Deputy Clerk of the United States and ex-officio recorder at Pryor Creek, Northern District of the Indian Territory.

That on the 17th day of March, 1903, said Wat Mayes made, executed and delivered his certain promissory note in the sum of $1,440.00 to Mary D. Mayes, with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum from and after November 13th, 1903.

That thereafter on the 2nd day of August, 1905, for the purpose of securing said note, said Wat Mayes, executed and delivered to said Mary D. Mayes, a chattel mortgage on the following described property, located in the Fifth Recording District of the Northern District of the Indian Territory, to-wit:

"45 head of cows from three to six years old, branded K on left shoulder; 330 head of cows from three to six years old branded W on left shoulder; 120 head of four year old steers; 100 head of two year old steers; 60 head of one year old steers; 40 head of one year old heifers; 60 head of calves and 3 bulls, all branded W on left shoulder; and two red bulls, no brands. All of said stock is now kept on the premises and in the pastures of said grantors in said recording district."

That said mortgage was duly filed at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, on the 3rd day of August, 1905, at 4 o'clock P. M. by J. C.

Burgess, -eputy Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio Recorder.

That thereafter, and on the 27th day of July, 1905, said Wat Mayes, made, executed and delivered his certain promissory note to J. C. Hogan, in the sum of $6,187.00, with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum from date thereof.

That on said 27th day of July, 1905, said defendant Wat Mayes, for the purpose of securing the payment of said note, made executed and delivered, to the said J. C. Hogan, his chattel mortgage upon the property seized under the writ of attachment herein.

That thereafter on the 28th day of July, 1905, said mortgage was duly filed for record in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Pryor Creek.

That on the 20th day of July, 1905, plaintiff caused a writ of attachment to issue out of the United States Court at Vinita, Indian Territory upon certain property of the defendant; and that the United States Marshal for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, took into his possession under said writ, the following described property, to-wit:

262 cows branded W on left shoulder; 45 black cows,
99 branded K on left side; 102 four year old steers branded W on left shoulder; 98 2 year old steers branded W on left shoulder; 35 1 year old steers branded W on left shoulder; 39 1 year old heifers branded W on left shoulder; 7 bulls branded W on left shoulder; 60 calves branded W on left shoulder."

That said property taken under and by virtue of said order of attachment is the same property as was included within and covered by said several mortgages executed by said defendant to said plaintiff, and the various intervenors herein.

That said order of attachment was also personally served on the defendant Wat Mayes, at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, on the 22nd day of July, 1905.

Thereafter and on the 23rd day of August, 1905, George W. Mayes was, by order of the Court, duly appointed receiver, with authority to take charge of the property attached in said action.

That thereafter and on various dates, the property taken under said attachment was duly sold in accordance with the orders of Court, and that there was realized from said sale the sum of $11,-234.47.

The law with reference to recording and filing mortgages applicable to this case is as follows:

Chapter 145 U. S. Statutes at Large, Approved March 1st, 1895, is in part as follows:

"The Northern District shall consist of all the Creek Country and the Seminole Country, all of the Cherokee country, all of the country occupied by the Indian Tribes in the Quawpaw Indian Agency, and the townsite of the Miami Townsite Company, and the places of holding courts in said District shall be at Vinita, Miami, Tahlequah and Muskogee."

Chapter 182, U. S. Statutes at Large, Approved May 2nd, 1890, is in part as follows:

"They (the clerk and deputy clerks of the United States Court) shall also be ex-officio recorders within their respective divisions, and as such they shall perform such duties as are required of recorders of deeds under the said laws of Arkansas, and receive the fees and compensation therefor which are provided in said laws of Arkansas for like service."

Mansfield's Digest, Section 4742, provides as follows:

"All mortgages, whether for real or personal estate, shall be proved or acknowledged in the same manner that deeds for the con-
99½ veyance of real estate are now required by law to be proved or acknowledged; and when so proved or acknowledged shall be recorded, if for lands, in the county or counties in which the lands lie, and if for personal property in the county in which the mortgagor resides."

Chapter 136, U. S. Statutes at Large, Approved February 3rd, 1897, is in part as follows:

"That Section 4742 of Mansfield's Digest of the Laws of Arkansas heretofore put in force in the Indian Territory, is hereby amended by adding to said section the following:

"Provided, That if the mortgagor is a non-resident of the Indian Territory, the mortgage shall be recorded in the judicial district in which the property is situated at the time the mortgage is executed."

Chapter 888 U. S. Statutes at Large, Approved May 27th, 1902, is in part as follows:

"That the Clerk's office at Vinita shall also be the recording office for the Northern District."

Chapter 707, U. S. Statutes at Large, Approved February 19th, 1903, is in part as follows:

"That Chapter 27 of the Digest of the Statutes of Arkansas known as Mansfield's Digest of eighteen hundred and eighty-four, is hereby extended to the Indian Territory, so far as the same may be applicable and not inconsistent with any law of Congress; Provided, that the Clerk or Deputy Clerk of the United States Court of each of the Courts of said Territory, shall be ex-officio recorder for his district and perform the duties required of recorded in the chapter aforesaid, and use the seal of such court in cases requiring seal, and keep the records of such office at the office of said clerk or deputy."

Chapter 707, U. S. Statutes at Large, Approved February 19th, 1903, is in part as follows:

"It shall be the duty of each clerk or deputy clerk of such court to record in the books provided for his office all deeds, mortgages, deeds in trust, bonds, leases, covenants, defeasances, bills of sale, and other instruments of writing of, or concerning lands, tenements, goods or chattels; and where said instruments are for a period of time limited on the face of the instruments they shall be filed and indexed, if desired by the holder thereof, and such filing for the period of twelve months from the filing thereof, shall have the same effect in law as if recorded at length."

Chapter 707 U. S. Statutes at Large, Approved February 19th, 1903, is in part as follows:

100 "All instruments of writing, the filing of which is provided for by law shall be recorded or filed in the office of the clerk or deputy clerk at the place of holding court in the recording district where said property may be located, and which said recording districts are bounded as follows: * * * District numbered five. Beginning at a point on the Eastern boundary line of the Indian Territory where same is crossed by the township line between townships twenty-three and twenty-four north; thence south along said Eastern boundary line to the township line between townships nineteen and twenty north; thence west on said township line to the range line between ranges seventeen and eighteen east, thence north on said dange line to the township line between townships twenty-three and twenty-four north; thence east on said township line to the place of beginning. The place of record for District numbered five shall be Pryor Creek."

Chapter 707 U. S. Statutes at Large, Approved February 19, 1903, is in part as follows:

"Such instruments heretofore recorded with the clerk of any United States Court in the Indian Territory shall not be required to be again recorded under this provision, but shall be transferred to the indexes without further cost, and such records heretofore made shall be of full force and effect, the same as if made under this statute."

That all of said property covered by said mortgage was at the date of the execution of said mortgages by said Wat Mayes, to the various mortgagees herein, within what now comprises recording district numbered five.

That prior to the 27th day of May, 1902, all mortgages executed by defendant Wat Mayes to the various mortgagees heretofore named herein were properly filed for record*ed* at Muskogee, Indian Territory.

That subsequently on the 27th day of May, 1902, by the Act of Congress approved on said day, making Vinita, Indian Territory, a place for filing and recording mortgages on property located in the Northern District of the Indian Territory, it was necessary to file or record all mortgages with the clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder, at Vinita, Indian Territory.

That thereafter by the Act of Congress approved February 19th, 1903, Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, was made the place for recording and filing mortgages on property located in the fifth recording district.

100½ That subsequently to the passage and approval of the Act of Congress May 27th, 1902, it was the duty of C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank, and of the Vinita National Bank, if they desired to preserve their liens as against subsequent mortgages, or attaching creditors to have their mortgages filed or recorded at Vinita, Indian Territory.

That subsequently to the passage and approval of the Act of Congress Approved February 19, 1903, it was the duty of C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank, and of the Vinita National Bank, if they desired to preserve their liens as against subsequent

mortgages or attaching creditors, to have their mortgages indexed at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory.

That no demand or request was ever made by said C. M. Keys & Company, the Stock Yards Bank, or the Vinita National Bank, upon the Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder, at Muskogee, Indian Territory, to have their mortgages transferred to the indexes at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory.

That at the time of the filing of the affidavit of attachment herein by the said First National Bank of Claremore, said Wat Mayes, defendant, was about to remove a portion of his property, afterwards attached herein, outside the jurisdiction of said Court, not leaving enough property therein to liquidate the claim of the various creditors.

That the grounds of attachment of the First National Bank of Claremore should be sustained.

That the land covered by the mortgages of the First National Bank of Claremore and the First National Bank of Vinita is of insufficient value to liquidate their, or either of their said claims.

It is the opinion of the Master in this case that the cattle fund will not be sufficient to pay all the claims involved in this case; and that the land described in the mortgages in evidence herein should be sold under orders of Court and the net proceeds should be added to the cattle fund and out of the two funds so derived payment should be made as follows:

1. All costs accrued in this case.

2. The claim of the First National Bank of Vinita, which the Master finds amounts to $3,778.19.

3. The claim of the First National Bank of Claremore which *the amounts* amounts to $4,869.19.

4. The claim of the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, which the Master finds amounts to $1,824.68 with interest.

5. The claim of J. C. Hogan, which the Master finds amounts to $6,187.00.

101 6. The claim of Mary D. Mayes, which the Master finds amounts to $144.00 with interest.

7. The claim of the Vnita National Bank, which the Master finds amounts to $729.04.

8. The claim of C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank, which the Master finds amounts to $15,808.69.

Premises Considered, the Master recommends that the Court make and enter a decree herein in accordance with the findings hereinbefore set forth.

The Master asks that his compensation be fixed by the Court.

Respectfully submitted.

(Signed) ALBERT M. ETCHEN,
Master in Chancery.

Endorsed: No. 2327, Equity. First National Bank of Claremore, Plaintiff, vs. Wat Mayes, Deft. Stock Yards Bank et al. intervenors. Master's Report. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Aug. 30, 1906, Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk, U. S. Court.

And thereafter, 3rd day of September, 1906, C. M. Keys, & Co., and Stock Yards Bank filed exceptions to Master's Report in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Vinita.

No. 2327. Eq.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant.

STOCK YARDS BANK, C. M. KEYS & CO., CITIZENS' BANK OF PRYOR Creek, I. T.; J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, Sam H. Mayes, First National Bank of Pryor Creek, First National Bank of Vinita, and Vinita National Bank, Interveners.

Exceptions to the Master's Report by C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, Interveners Herein.

And now comes interveners, C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, and except to the report of the Master filed herein on the 3th day of August, 1906, and say that the Master erred in his findings of fact and conclusions of law as follows, to-wit:

First, that the property described in the mortgage of these interveners is the same property described in the mortgage of the intervener, The Vinita National Bank.

101½ Second, that the Master erred in finding that these interveners made no demand upon or request of the Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder at Muskogee, Indian Territory, to have their said mortgage transferred to the indexes at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, because there is no evidence in the record that these interveners did not make demand upon or request of said Clerk to transfer their said mortgage to the indexes at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory.

Third, the Master erred in failing to find that the attaching creditor and subsequent mortgagees had actual knowledge of the existence of the mortgage of these intervenors.

Fourth, the Master erred in failing to find specifically that on the 31st day of October, 1901, intervener, C. M. Keys & Co. secured and fixed a superior lein and vested right in the property described in their mortgage by filing and recording the same in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder at Muskogee, Indian Territory, as then required by law.

Fifth, the Master erred in failing to find the date of and the manner in which these interveners were divested of their lein on and vested right in the property described in their mortgage.

Sixth, the Master erred in finding that subsequently to the 27th day of May, 1902, it was necessary to file or record all mortgages on property located in the Northern District of the Indian Territory, in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court and ex-

officio recorder at Vinita, Indian Territory, because the mortgage of these interveners had already been recorded at Muskogee, as required by law.

Seventh, the Master erred in finding that subsequently to the 27th day of May, 1902, it was the duty of these interveners if they desired to preserve their lien as against subsequent mortgages and attaching creditors to have their mortgage filed or recorded at Vinita, Indian Territory.

Eighth, the Master erred in finding that subsequently to the 19th day of February, 1903, it was the duty of these interveners if they desired to preserve their lien as against subsequent mortgages and attaching creditors to have their mortgage indexed at Pryor Creek.

Ninth, the Master erred in his conclusion that the mortgage lien of these interveners has been postponed to the *lein* of the attaching creditor and the *leins* of all other interveners herein; and he further erred in recommending the payment out of the fund arising
102 from the sale of the cattle described in interveners' mortgage to be made as follows to-wit: "(1) All costs accrued in this case; (2) the claim of the First National Bank of Vinita, which the Master finds amounts to $3,778.19; (3) the claim of the First National Bank of Claremore, which the Master finds amounts to $4,869.19; (4) The claim of the Citizens' Bank of Pryor Creek, which the Master finds amount to $1824.68, with interest; (5) the claim of J. C. Hogan, which the Master finds amounts to $6187.00; (6) the claim of Mary D. Hayes, which the Master finds amounts to $1440.00 with interest; (7) the claim of the Vinita National Bank, which the Master finds amounts to $729.04; (8) the claim of C. M. Keys & Co., and the Stock Yards Bank, which the Master finds amounts to $15,808.69;" because Interveners, Stock Yards Bank, is the owner and hold- *amount to* $1824.68, *with interest; (5) the claim of J. C. Hogan, cr* of the prior and superior *lein* upon the cattle described in their said mortgage to that of the attaching creditor herein and all *leins* of the other intervenors herein, and is entitled to have the fund arising from the sale of said cattle described in their said mortgage applied to the payment of their claim, and that the expenses of this litigation should be paid by the attaching creditor herein.

Wherefore, these interveners pray the court to sustain their exceptions to said report and to enter a final decree herein upon the facts appearing in the case and in accordance with law and equity to the end that the fund arising from the sale of said cattle be applied to the payment of their claim, and that the costs of this action be paid by plaintiff.

And in duty bound these interveners will ever pray.

NEV. CAMPBELL,
ROBERT F. BLAIR,
Solicitors for Interveners, C. M. Keys & Co. and Stocke Yards Bank.

Endorsed: No. 2327 Equity. First National Bank of Claremore, plaintiff vs. Wat Mayes, Defendant Stock Yards Bank, et als., Interveners. Exceptions to Master's Report by Interveners, C. M.

Keys & Co., and Stock Yards Bank. North Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Sep. 3, 1906. Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court. Robert F. Blair and Nev. Campbell, Solicitors for said Interveners.

And thereafter on 31st day of August, 1906, Vinita National Bank filed exceptions to said report as follows, to-wit:

102½ In the United States Court in and for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, Sitting at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; STOCK YARDS BANK, C. M. KEYS & CO., Citizens' Bank of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory; J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, First National Bank of Pryor Creek, First National Bank of Vinita, and Vinita National Bank, Interveners.

Exceptions to Master's Report of Vinita National Bank.

Comes now the Vinita National Bank, one of the interveners in the above entitled cause and excepts to the Master's Report filed herein, and for grounds of such exceptions states:

First. That it excepts to that part of the Master's report that finds: that subsequently to the 27th day of May, 1902, making Vinita, Indian Territory, the place for filing mortgages on property located in the Northern District of the Indian Territory, it was necessary to file or record all mortgages with Clerk of the United States Court, and ex-officio recorder at Vinita, Indian Territory, for the reason that said finding is contrary to the law, in this: that said act did not refer back to mortgages heretofore filed and recorded, made prior to said date and filed and recorded under the law in force prior to said time.

Second. That said intervenor excepts to the finding of the Master as contained in his report: "that subsequently to the passage and approval of Congress May 27, 1902, it was the duty of C. M. Keys & Co., the Stock Yards Bank and the Vinita National Bank, if they desire to preserve their liens as against subsequent mortgages, all attaching creditors to have their mortgages filed or recorded at Vinita, Indian Territory," for the reason that said findings is contrary to the law, in this: that that said mortgages had been duly filed and recorded with the proper official of the court for receiving filing, and recording such papers, and the same was filed and recorded at the proper office at the time said act was done.

Third. That this intervenor excepts to the Master's Report where he finds: "that subsequently to the passage and approval of the Act of Congress, approved February 19, 1903, it was the duty of C. M. Keys & Co., Stock Yards Bank and Vinita National Bank if they desire to preserve their liens as against subsequent mortgages or

attaching creditors, to have their mortgages indexed at Pryor
103 Creek, Indian Territory" for the reason that said finding is contrary to the law, in this: that the act referred to in said finding provides that it was the duty of the Clerk who had such mortgages in charge to perform his duties in such matters and to index the same, and all the laws requiring the presentation, filing and recording of mortgages had heretofore been complied with by the said parties above named, and the law did not require any further act on their part.

Fourth. That this intervener excepted to that part of the Master's report, where he finds: "that the land covered by the mortgages of the First National Bank of Claremore is insufficient to liquidate its claim" and he erred in joining the First National Bank of Vinita in said finding.

Fifth. This intervenor excepts to that part of the Master's report where he joins the cattle fund with the funds of the lands, as this intervenor expressly petitioned the court to compel the plaintiff herein to exhaust the fund received from the sale of the land, before he be allowed to participate in the funds received from the sale of the cattle.

Sixth. This intervenor excepts to the finding of the Master, in which he cites the claim of the First National Bank of Vinita, the claim of the First National Bank of Claremore, the claim of the Citizens' Bank of Pryor Creek, the claim of J. C. Hogan and the claim of Mary D. Mayes takes priority over the claim of the Vinita National Bank, for the reason that the Vinita National Bank had the first legal, subsisting mortgage upon the property in controversy in this cause, and that said mortgage was duly executed, filed and recorded and kept renewed, and was the first mortgage on all of the cattle attached in this cause, and should take priority over all other claims.

Wherefore, the premises considered, this intervenor prays that the report of the Master in this cause be not confirmed, and that the court sustain the exceptions herein filed, and decree that this intervenor, the Vinita National Bank be paid first its claim out of the cattle fund in this cause, together with its interest and costs herein expended.

THE VINITA NATIONAL BANK,
By WM. P. THOMPSON, *Its Attorney.*

Endorsed: No. 2327 Equity. First National Bank of Claremore.
Plaintiff, vs. Wat Mayes, Defendant. Stock Yards Bank, C. M.
Keys & Co., Citizens' Bank of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, J. C.
Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, First National Bank of Pryor
103½ Creek, First National Bank of Vinita, and Vinita National
Bank, Interveners. Exceptions to Master's Report of
Vinita National Bank. North. Dist. Ind. Ter. Filed Aug. 31,
1906, Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk U. S. Court.

And thereafter, on the 7th day of January, 1907, the Court entered the following judgment to-wit:

In the United States Court for the Northern District of Indian Territory, Sitting at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; STOCK YARDS BANK et als., Interveners.

Judgments on Exceptions.

And now comes on the exceptions to the Master's report herein on the part of the Vinita National Bank, intervener, and the first exception is overruled and said intervener allowed its exception; and the second, third fourth, fifth and sixth exceptions by said intervener are overruled and as to the overruling of each exception said intervenor is allowed its exceptions.

And now on this same day comes on to be heard the exceptions to the Master's report herein by the interveners, C. M. Keys & Co., and Stock Yards Bank, and the first, second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth, and ninth exceptions are each severally overruled and as to the overruling of each, said interveners are each allowed their several exceptions.

And said Master's report coming on for consideration by the court, the same is in all respects hereby approved and judgment ordered entered thereon in accordance *of* the several findings of fact and conclusions of law as reported, special journal entry of this judgment to be prepared and entered hereafter.

Done at Vinita, this 7th day of January, 1907.

JOSEPH A. GILL,
United States Judge.

On the 7th day of January, 1907, the court made and entered a final decree in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

In the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, Sitting at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

104 FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; STOCK YARDS BANK, C. M. KEYS & Co., Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory; J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, First National Bank of Vinita, and Vinita National Bank, Interveners.

Decree.

On this 7th day of January, 1907, in open court comes on for trial and consideration the matters and things involved in the va-

rious complaints, answers and interpleas herein, and the parties in interest appear by their respective attorneys, and the report of the Master in Chancery, A. M. Etchen, on file herein, together with the evidence taken by him, and his report thereon and the various exceptions to said report are by the court considered, and upon consideration of the several exceptions filed to the Master's report they are each overruled and the respective exceptors are allowed an exception to the overruling of their respective exceptions, and thereupon a trial is had and the evidence considered, and the court finds as follows:

That the defendant, Wat Mayes, on the 15th day of December, 1899, being indebted to the Vinita National Bank, made, executed and delivered to said bang his promissory note in the sum of $4,500.00, with interest thereon at the rate of ten per cent. per annum from maturity, said note to be due and payable six month after date.

That to secure said note said Wat Mayes made, executed and delivered to said Vinita National Bank a chattel mortgage on the following described property, to-wit:

Five Hundred head of cows, two years old and upwards, branded in following brands, to-wit: 100 branded C on right side; 100 branded —— on left thigh; 300 branded W on left shoulder; said cattle also branded with various other brands. The above described cattle being all the cows owned by party of first part 2 years old and up. Said cattle being on defendant's ranch in Cooweescoowee District, Cherokee Nation, and within the Northern District of the Indian Territory.

That said mortgage was filed on the 29th day of December, 1899, at 2 o'clock P. M. and entered on page 113, Book 50 of Minutes of Mortgages, by James A. Winston, Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio Recorder for the Northern District of the Indian Territory.

That said mortgage has since been renewed by filing renewal affidavits in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court
104½ and ex-officio recorder, at Muskogee, Indian Territory, on the following dates:

December 14th, 1900; December 12th, 1901; December 20th, 1902; December 14th, 1903; December 12th, 1904.

That thereafter defendant made payments on said note, and reduced the amount thereof to $729.04, which sum is due said Vinita National Bank from said defendant, with interest from August 15, 1905.

That thereafter and on the 28th day of December, 1901, the defendant, Wat Mayes, made, executed and delivered to C. M. Keys & Company his certain promissory note in the sum of $34,800.04, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent. per annum from date thereof.

That said defendant, to secure said note, made, executed and delivered to said C. M. Keys & Co. a chattel mortgage upon the following described property:

Six hundred (600) three year steers; six hundred (600) cows; four to eight years; one hundred forty-three (143) four year steers;

three hundred twenty-five (325) two year steers; one hundred (100) two year heifers; three hundred fifty (350) yearling steers and heifers; five hundred (500) last spring calves; twenty (20) bulls; five bulls coming two year old (the 20 bulls are coming four year old.) Above mentioned cattle are branded thus W on left shoulder, with the exception of a few of the cows and three year steers which have ∧ on right shoulder, and about twelve of the last spring calves have K on both sides. Eleven four steers branded O on left loin. Thirty-five two year steers; thirty-eight three year steers; fourteen two year heifers; ten four year bulls; eighty-four cows three to eight years, all branded K on both sides. One hundred forty ton- cotton seed; three hundred fifty ton- of hay. One thousand bushels of corn; six hundred acres of shocked fodder and three hundred acres of shocked fodder rented. These cattle are located on said Mortgagor's ranch three miles southeast of Pryor Creek, I. T.

That said mortgage was filed for record in the office of Charles A. Davidson, Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder in the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Muskogee, Indian Territory, on the 31st day of October, 1901, at 2 o'clock P. M. and recorded in Book M, page 286.

That thereafter and before said note became due, said C. M. Keys & Company, for a valuable consideration, sold and transferred said note to Stock Yards Bank, presentment, demand, protest and
105 notice of protest being waived and payment at maturity guaranteed by said C. M. Keys & Company.

That thereafter said defendant made settlement with said Stock Yards Bank by payment of a portion of said note and executed and delivered his promissory note for the remainder thereof, and from time to time thereafter said defendant made various payments upon said indebtedness, and on the 1st day of March, 1905, made, executed and delivered his promissory note as an evidence of the remaining indebtedness due said C. M. Keys & Company, in the sum of $12,026.74, together with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date thereof; also one certain promissory note of date January 16th, 1905, in the sum of $2970.95, payable to the order of C. M. Keys & Company, together with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date thereof.

That thereafter, and before said notes became due, for a valuable consideration, said C. M. Keys & Company endorsed, negotiated and sold to intervener Stock Yards Bank said notes, together with the mortgage heretofore described.

That there is now due and unpaid — said indebtedness the sum of $16,679.68.

That thereafter and on the — day of February, 1905, the exact date not being shown by the evidence, defendant, Wat Mayes, made, executed and delivered to C. M. Keys & Company his certain promissory note in the sum of $500.00, which together with interest thereon aggregates the sum of $565.63.

That all of said indebtedness as evidence- by said notes is included in and covered by said mortgage.

That on the 8th day of January, 1903, said Wat Mayes, for a

valuable consideration, made, executed and delivered to the First National Bank of Claremore, Indian Territory, his certain promissory note in the sum of $4,206.62, with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum from maturity until paid. That said note after its making was extended to January 8th, 1905.

That thereafter and on the 13th day of July, 1904, said defendant, Wat Mayes, for a valuable consideration, made, executed and delivered to the First National Bank of Claremore, Indian Territory, his certain promissory note in the sum of $356.75, with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum from maturity until paid.

That there is due said First National Bank of Claremore, Indian Territory, from the said Wat Mayes upon an account the sum of $43.55 and interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per annum from and after 26th day of November, 1904, also the sum of $66.12 with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per annum from and after the 5th day of June, 1905.

105½

That on the 16th day of January, 1903, said Wat Mayes, to secure said notes, made, executed and delivered to the First National Bank of Claremore, Indian Territory, his certain mortgage on the following described lots and the improvements located thereon in the town of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, described according to the Cherokee Surveys as follows:

Lots No. 5, 6, 7 and 8, in Block No. 81; Lot No. 2, Block No. 59, Lot No. 8 in Block No. 37, Lots No. 2 and 8 in Block No. 66, Lot No. 12 in Block No. 55; Lot No. 1 in Block No. 54; The South ½ of Lot No. 2 Block No. 77; Lots 2 and 4 in Block No. 78; Lot No. 3 in Block No. 30; Lots 2 and 4 in Block No. 70.

That thereafter and on the 7th day of December, 1903, at 10 A. M. said mortgage was duly filed for record in the office of J. C. Burgess, Deputy Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder, at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, and duly recorded in Book A, page 193.

That on the 16th day of January, 1903, said defendant, Wat Mayes, made, executed and delivered to the First National Bank of Vinita, a chattel mortgage in the sum of $3,778.19 on the following described property, located in the Northern District of the Indian Territory to-wit:

All his cattle of every description consisting of steers, cows, heifers, calves and bulls, and being as estimated 475 4 year old steers, some branded 4 on left thigh, some running W on left shoulder; 200 coming 3 year old steers branded running W on left shoulder; 260 coming two year old steers same brand; 430 coming yearlings mixed, some branded 4 on left thigh; 85 coming two year old heifers branded running W on left shoulder; 25 bulls; the cattle are supposed to be located about three miles south of Pryor Creek, but this mortgage covers all cattle no matter where located; cattle above described have various mortgages on them, but the exact amount of the mortgages on the respective classes of cattle is unknown to the parties hereto, and it may be that all the cattle are not covered by

mortgage, but the mortgagor does not represent that any of the cattle are free from mortgage.

That thereafter and on the 16th day of January, 1903, said mortgage was duly acknowledged, and was thereafter filed for record in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court and ex-
106 officio recorder for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Vinita, Indian Territory, and was recorded in Book B, page 68, and was thereafter indexed on the first day of December, 1904, in the office of the Deputy Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory.

That on the 5th day of June, 1905, said Wat Mayes, to secure the payment of said notes, made, executed and delivered to the First National Bank of Vinita, Indian Territory, his mortgage on the following described property, as shown by the Cherokee Surveys to-wit:

Lots No. 5, 6, 7 and 8 in Block No. 81; Lot No. 2 in Block No. 59; Lot No. 8 in Block No. 37; Lots No. 2 and 8 in Block No. 66; Lot No. 12 in Block No. 55; Lot No. 1 in Block No. 54; South ½ of Lot No. 2 in Block No. 77; Lots No. 2 and 4 in Block No. 78; Lot No. 3 in Block No. 30; Lots No. 2 and 4 in Block No. 70, in the town of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory.

That said mortgage was duly recorded in the office of J. C. Burgess, Deputy Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder, on the 19th day of June, 1905, at 5 o'clock P. M. and recorded in Book C, page 528.

That on the 4th day of April, 1903, said defendant, Wat Mayes, made, executed and delivered his certain promissory note to the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, in the sum of $526.89, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from and after October 4th, 1903.

That thereafter on the 24th day of July, 1905, said defendant, Wat Mayes, made, executed and delivered to the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek his certain promissory note in the sum of $1297.79, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from and after the 24th day of October, 1905.

That to secure the payment of said notes said defendant, Wat Mayes, on the 24th day of July, 1905, made, executed and delivered to the said Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek his certain chattel mortgage on the following described property, located in the Northern District of the Indian Territory, to-wit:

330 head of cows from three to six years old branded W on left shoulder; forty-five head same as above branded K on left side; 120 four year steers branded W on left shoulder; 100 two year old streers branded W on left shoulder; 75 yearling steers branded W on left shoulder; 30 yearling heifers branded W on left
106½ shoulder; 60 calves branded W on left shoulder.

That thereafter and on the 24th day of July, 1905, at 9 A. M. said mortgage was duly filed in the office of J. C. Burgess, Deputy Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder at Pryor Creek, Northern District of the Indian Territory.

That on the 17th day of March, 1903, said Wat Mayes made, exe-

cuted and delivered his certain promissory note in the sum of $1440.00 to Mary D. Mayes, with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum from and after November 13th, 1903.

That thereafter on the 2nd day of August, 1905, for the purpose of securing said note, said Wat Mayes executed and delivered to said Mary D. Mayes a chattel mortgage on the following described property, located in the Fifth Recording District of the Northern District of the Indian Territory, to-wit:

45 head of cows from three to six years old, branded K on left shoulder; 330 head of cows from three to six years old, branded W on left shoulder; 120 head of four year old steers; 100 head of two year old steers, 60 head of one year old steers; 40 head of one year old heifers; 60 head of calves, and 3 bulls, all branded W on left shoulder; and two red bulls, no brands. All of said stock is now kept on the premises and in the pastures of said grantors in said recording district.

That said mortgage was duly filed at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, on the 3rd day of August, 1905, at 4 o'clock P. M. by J. C. Burgess, Deputy Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder.

That thereafter and on the 27th day of July, 1905, said Wat Mayes made, executed and delivered his certain promissory note to J. C. Hogan in the sum of $6,187.00, with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum from date thereof.

That on the 27th day of July, 1905, said defendant, Wat Mayes, for the purpose of securing the payment of said note made, executed and delivered to the said J. C. Hogan his chattel mortgage upon the property seized under the writ of attachment herein.

That thereafter on the 28th day of July, 1903, said mortgage was duly filed for record in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Pryor Creek.

That on the 20th day of July, 1905, plaintiff caused a writ of attachment to issue out of the United States Court at Vinita, Indian Territory, upon certain property of the defendant; and that
107 the United States Marshal for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, took into his possession under said writ the following described property, to-wit:

262 cows branded W on left shoulder; 45 black cows, branded K on left side; 102 four year old steers branded W on left shoulder; 98 2 year old steers branded W on left shoulder; 35 1 year old steers branded W on left shoulder; 39 1 year old heifers branded W on left shoulder; 7 bulls branded W on left shoulder; 60 calves branded W on left shoulder.

That said property taken under and by virtue of said order of attachment is the same property as was included within and covered by said several mortgages executed by said defendant to said plaintiff and the various interveners herein.

That said order of attachment was also personally served on the defendant, Wat Mayes, at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, on the 22nd day of July, 1905.

Thereafter and on the 23rd day of August, 1905, George W. Mayes was, by order of the court, duly appointed receiver with authority to take charge of the property attached in said action.

That thereafter and on various dates, the property taken under said attachment was duly sold in accordance with the orders of court, and that there was realized from said sale the sum of $11,234.47.

The law with reference to recording and filing mortgages applicable to this case are as follows:

Chapter 145 U. S. Statutes at Large Approved March 1st, 1895, is in part as follows:

The Northern District shall consist of all the Creek country, all of the Seminole country, all of the Cherokee country, all of the country occupied by the Indian Tribes in the Quapaw Indian Agency, and the Townsite of the Miami Townsite Company, and the places of holding courts in said Districts shall be at Vinita, Miami, Tahlequah and Muskogee."

Chapter 182 U. S. Statutes at Large, Approved May 2nd, 1890, is in part as follows:

"They (the clerk and deputy clerks of the United States Court shall also be ex-officio recorders within their respective divisions, and as such they shall perform such duties as are required of recorders of deeds under the said laws of Arkansas, and receive the fees and compensation therefor which are provided in said laws of Arkansas for like service."

Mansfield's Digest, Section 4742, provides as follows:

107½ "All mortgages, whether for real or personal estate, shall be proved or acknowledged in the same manner that deeds for the conveyance of real estate are now required by law to be proved or acknowledged; and when so proved or acknowledged shall be recorded, if for lands, in the county or counties in which the lands lie, and if for personal property, in the county in which the mortgagor resides."

Chapter 136 U. S. Statutes at Large, Approved February 3rd, 1897, is in part as follows:

"That Section 4742 of Mansfield's Digest of the Laws of Arkansas heretofore put in force in the Indian Territory, is hereby amended by adding to said Section the following: 'Provided, That if the mortgagor is a non-resident of the Indian Territory, the mortgage shall be recorded in the judicial district in which the property is situated at the time the mortgage is executed.'"

Chapter 888 U. S. Statutes at Large, Approved May 27th, 1902, is in part as follows:

"That the clerk's office at Vinita shall also be the recording officer for the Northern District."

Chapter 707 U. S. Statutes at Large, Approved February 19th, 1903, is in part as follows:

"That Chapter 27 of the Digest of the Statutes of Arkansas, known as Mansfield's Digest of eighteen hundred and eighty four, is hereby extended to the Indian Territory, so far as the same may be applicable and not inconsistent with any law of Congress; Pro-

vided, that the Clerk or Deputy Clerk of the United States Court of each of the courts of said Territory, shall be ex-officio recorder for his district and perform the duties required of recorder in the chapter aforesaid, and use the seal of such court in cases requiring a seal, and keep the records of such office at the office of said clerk or deputy."

Chapter 707 U. S. Statutes at Large, Approved February 19th, 1903, is in part as follows:

"It shall be the duty of each clerk or deputy clerk of such court to record in the books provided for his office all deeds, mortgages, deeds in trust, bonds, leases, covenants, defeasances, bills of sale, and other instruments of writing of or concerning lands, tenements, goods or chattels; and where said instruments are for a period of time limited on the face of the instruments they shall be filed and indexed, if desired by the holder thereof, and such filing for the period of twelve months from the filing thereof, shall have the
108 same effect in law as if recorded at length."

Chapter 707 U. S. Statutes at Large, Approved February 19th, 1903, is in part as follows:

"All instruments of writing the filing of which is provided for by law shall be recorded or filed in the office of the clerk or deputy clerk at the place of holding court in the recording district where said property may be located, and which said recording districts are bounded as follows:

* * * * * * *

District numbered five: beginning at a point on the Eastern boundary line of the Indian Territory where same is crossed by the Township line between townships twenty-three and twenty-four north; thence south along said Eastern boundary line to the township line between townships nineteen and twenty north; thence west on said township line to the range line between ranges seventeen and eighteen east; thence north on said range line to the township line between townships twenty-three and twenty-four north; thence east on said township line to the place of beginning. The place of record for District numbered five shall be Pryor Creek."

Chapter 707 U. S. Statutes at Large, Approved February 19th, 1903, is in part as follows:

"Such instruments heretofore recorded with the clerk of any United States Court in the Indian Territory shall not be required to be again recorded under this provision, but shall be transferred to the indexes without further cost, and such records heretofore made shall be of full force and effect, the same as if made under this statute."

That all of said property covered by said mortgage was at the date of the execution of said mortgages by said Wat Mayes to the various mortgagees herein, within what now comprises recording district numbered five.

That prior to the 27th day of May, 1902, all mortgages executed by defendant Wat Mayes to the various mortgagees heretofore named herein were properly filed or recorded at Muskogee, Indian Territory.

That subsequently to the 27th day of May, 1902 by the Act of Congress approved on said day, making Vinita, Indian Territory, a place for filing and recording mortgages on property located in the Northern District of the Indian Territory, it was necessary to file or record all mortgages with the clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder at Vinita, Indian Territory.

108½ That thereafter by the Act of Congress approved February 19th, 1903, Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, was made the place for recording and filing mortgages on property located in the fifth recording district.

That subsequently to the passage and approval of the Act of Congress Approved February 19, 1903, it was the duty of C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank, and of the Vinita National Bank, if they desired to preserve their liens as against subsequent mortgages or attaching creditors, to have their mortgages indexed at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory.

That no demand or request was ever made by said C. M. Keys & Company, the Stock Yards Bank, or the Vinita National Bank, upon the Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder, at Muskogee, Indian Territory to have their mortgages transferred to the indexes at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory.

That the mortgage of C. M. Keys & Company is an absolute fraud, by reason of their fa-lure to renew or to advise people in any way, shape or form of the various payments that had been made and the transfers that had been made.

That at the time of the filing of the affidavits of attachment herein by the First National Bank of Claremore, said Wat Mayes, defendant, was about to remove a portion of his property, afterwards attached herein, outside the jurisdiction of said court, not leaving enough property therein to liquidate the claims of the various creditors.

That the grounds of attachment of the First National Bank of Claremore should be sustained.

That the land covered by the mortgages of the First National Bank of Claremore and the First National Bank of Vinita is of insufficient value to liquidate their, or either of their said claims.

It is the opinion of the Court in this case that the cattle fund will not be sufficient to pay all the claims involved in this case; and that the land described in the mortgages in evidence herein should be sold under orders of Court and the net proceeds should be added to the cattle fund and out of the two funds so derived should be mare as follows:

1. All costs accrued in this case.

2. The claim of the First National Bank of Vinita, which the court finds amounts to $4,144.42.

3. The claim of the First National Bank of Claremore, which the court finds amounts to $5,413.99.

4. The claim of the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, which
109 the court finds am-unts to $3,083.33.

5. The claim of J. C. Hogan, which the court finds amounts to $6,801.96.

6. The claim of Mary D. Maye , which the court finds amounts to $1,802.88.

7. The claim of the Vinita National Bank, which the Court finds amounts to $809.77.

8. The claim of C. M. Keys & Company and said Stock Yards Bank, which the Court finds amounts to $17,245.31.

That on submission of the exceptions to the Master's report the parties filed stipulation, and the Court finds on said stipulation that all the subsequent mortgagees and attaching creditors, except Mary D. Mayes, had actual knowledge of the mortgage of intervener S. M. Keys & Company.

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged, That the attachment herein shall be sustained, and that plaintiff, First National Bank of Claremore, do have and recover of and from the defendant, Wat Mayes, said sum of $5,413.99 and all its costs laid out and expended, to be taxed by the clerk; this judgment to draw six per cent. interest per year from date.

It is further considered, ordered and adjudged, That intervener First National Bank of Vinita do have and recover of and from the defendant, Wat Mayes, said sum of $4,144.42 and all its costs laid out and expended, to be taxed by the clerk; this judgment to draw six per cent. interest per year from date.

It is further considered, ordered and adjudged, That in-ervener Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek do have and recover of and from the defendant, Wat Mayes, said sum of $2,083.33 and all its costs laid out and expended, to be taxed by the clerk; this judgment to draw six per cent. interest per year from date.

It is further considered, ordered and adjudged, That intervener J. C. Hogan do have and recover of and from the defendant, Wat Mayes, said sum of $6,801.96 and all his costs laid out and expended to be taxed by the clerk; this judgment to draw six per cent. interest per year from date.

It is further considered, ordered and adjudged, That intervener Mary D. Mayes do have and recover of and from the defendant, Wat Mayes, said sum of $1,802.88 and all her costs laid out and expended, to be taxed by the clerk; this judgment to draw six per cent. interest per year from date.

It is further considered, ordered and adjudged, That intervener Vinita National Bank do have and recover of and from the
109½ defendant, Wat Mayes, said sum of $809.77 and all its costs laid out and expended, to be taxed by the clerk; this judgment to draw six per cent interest per year from date.

It is further consid-red, ordered and adjudged, That interveners C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank do have and recover of and from the defendant, Wat Mayes, said sum of $17,245.31 and all their costs laid out and expended, to be taxed by the clerk; this judgment to draw six per cent. interest per year from date.

It is further considered, ordered and adjudged, That out of the moneys on hand from the sale of the cattle there be paid by the receiver all costs herein, including a Master's fee to A. M. Etchen of $350.00, and that out of the remainder he pay the respective

claims of the parties herein in full as far as the proceeds will extend, in the following order:

1. To First National Bank of Vinita its claim, amounting to $4,144.42.

2. To First National Bank of Claremore its claim, amounting to $5,413.99.

3. To Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek its claim, amounting to $2,083.33.

4. To J. C. Hogan his claim, amounting to $6,801.96.

5. To Mary D. Mayes her claim, amounting to $1,802.88.

6. To Vinita National Bank its claim, amounting to $809.77.

7. To C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank their claim, amounting to $17,245.31.

It further appearing that the money in the hands of the receiver is not sufficient to meet the claims of all the creditors, and that by reason of the payments to First National Bank of Vinita and First National Bank of Claremore, the remaining creditors of Wat Mayes have not realized the amounts due them.

It is ordered and adjudged, That the property mortgaged to them, viz:

Lots No. 5, 6, 7 and 8 in Block No. 81; Lot No. 2 in Block No. 59; Lot No. 8 in Block No. 37; Lots No. 2 and 8 in Block No. 66; Lot No. 12 in Block No. 55; Lot No. 1 in Block No. 54; The South ½ of Lot No. 2, Block No. 77; Lots 2 and 4 in Block No. 78; Lot No. 3 in Block No. 30; Lots 2 and 4 in Block No. 70, in the town of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, together with all improvements thereon, said lots being described according to the Cherokee Surveys, be sold at public auction at the court house door be-
110 tween the hours of nine o'clock in the forenoon and three o'clock in the afternoon, in the town of Vinita, Indian -ritory, after advertisement for not less t-an twenty days next before the day of sale by posting at least twenty printed notices of sale in separate public places in the Northern District of the Indian Territory, one of which shall be at the court house door in Vinita and one at the court house door in Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, and one of which shall be posted on each of the lots in question, and by publishing same for at least two insertions in some weekly newspaper published in the Northern District of the Indian Territory.

It is further ordered and adjudged, That the sale shall be to the highest and best bidder on a credit of four months, the purchaser to execute bond with good security, to be approved by the Commissioner appointed to make the sale, a lien to be retained on the property sold for the satisfaction of the purchase price, and the bond to have the force of a judgment.

It is further ordered, That the sale be reported for confirmation and that when the money derived from the sale is paid into court it be applied, in the order of priority heretofore set out, to the satisfaction of the judgments remaining unsatisfied after the distribution of the money in the hands of the receiver; and the receiver, George W. Mayes, is appointed Commissioner to make the sale.

To the rendition of this judgment and to each finding of fact

and conclusion of law, each of the parties hereto are allowed an exception.

JOSEPH A. GILL,
Judge of the United States Court in and for the Northern District of the Indian Territory.

O. K.
J. HOWARD LANGLEY,
Attorney for J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes & Citizens Bank.
W. H. KORNEGAN,
Att'y for 1st Nat'l B'k Claremore & First Nat. B'k Vinita.

On the 4th day of February, 1907, the court made an order allowing the master to pay certain bills in words and figures as follows, towit:

In the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, Sitting at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES et al., Defendants.

110½

Order of Court.

On this 4th day of Febr'y, 1907, this matter came on for hearing upon the motion of George W. Mayes, receiver herein, for the allowance of his bill of $182.50, and for an order directing him to pay to Albert Etchens, Master in Chancery, the sum of $350.00, and the Court, having examined the bill of said receiver finds that said bill is reasonable and should be allowed and paid. The court further finds that on the 7th day of January, 1907, the bill of said Master in Chancery for $350.00 in said action was approved.

It is therefore ordered that said receiver reimburse himself out of the funds in his hands as receiver in the sum of $182.50, and that he pay to said Master In Chancery, the sum heretofore allowed him, being $350.00. And that said receiver take credit for the amounts so paid in his final settlement of said receivership.

JOSEPH A. GILL,
Judge of the United States Court.

On the 6th day of March, 1907, intervenors C. M. Keys & Co., Stock Yards Bank filed their petition for appeal, their appeal bond, and their assignment of errors in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

In the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; STOCK YARDS BANK, C. M. KEYS & Co., Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, First National Bank of Vinita, and Vinita National Bank, Interveners.

Petition for Appeal.

The above named interveners C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, conceiving themselves aggrieved by the final decree, order and judgment entered in the above entitled cause on the 7th day of January, 1907, in the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Vinita, do hereby appeal from said decree, order and judgment of the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory, and they pray that their appeal may be allowed; and that the transcript of the record and proceedings and all papers upon which said order was made, duly authenticated, may be sent to the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian
111 Territory, and in duty bound said interveners will ever pray.

NEV. CAMPBELL,
ROBERT F. BLAIR,
Solicitors for said Interveners.

Allowance.

MUSKOGEE, I. T., *March 2d*, 1907.

And now on, to-wit: the 2d day of February, 1907, it is ordered that the appeal be allowed as prayed for.

WILLIAM R. LAWRENCE,
Associate Justice of the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory.

Petition on Appeal — Allowance.

Appeal Bond.

Know all men by these presents: That we, C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, as principals, and National Surety Company as surety, are held and firmly bound unto First National Bank of Claremore, Wat Mayes, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, First National Bank of Vinita and Vinita National Bank, in the sum of five hundred dollars ($500), to be paid to said parties or either of them as their interest- appear. To which payment, well and truly to be made, we bind ourselves, jointly and severally, and our and each of *their* successors and assigns, jointly by these presents.

Sealed with our seals and dated this 14th day of February, 1907.

Whereas, the above named interveners have prosecuted their appeal to the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory to reverse the final judgment and decree rendered in the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory at Vinita on the 7th day of January, 1907:

Now, Therefore, The condition of this obligation is that if the above named C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank shall prosecute their said appeal to effect and answer all costs and damages that may be adjudged or awarded against them if they shall fail to make good their plea, then this obligation to be void; otherwise in full force.

[SEAL.] C. M. KEYS & CO.,
Per C. M. KEYS,
STOCK YARDS BANK,
By NELSON CHESNEY, *Pres.*,
Principals.
NATIONAL SURETY COMPANY, *Surety,*
111½ By PAUL W. DAY, *Att'y in Fact.*

Approved this 2d day of March, 1907.
WILLIAM R. LAWRENCE,
Associate Justice of the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory.

In the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Vinita.

Equity. No. 2327.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; STOCK YARDS BANK et al., Interveners.

Assignment of Errors.

And now comes interveners C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, appellants, and say that in the records and proceedings in this case there is manifest error in the action, rulings, orders, judgment and decree of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Vinita, in this, to-wit:

I.

The Court erred in overruling these interveners' exceptions to the Master's report filed herein.

II.

The Court erred in approving and confirming said Master's report.

III.

The Court erred in not holding, as a matter of law, that intervener- C. M. Keys & Co. on the 31st day of October, 1901, secured

and fixed a superior lien and vested right in the property described in their mortgage by filing and recording the same in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio recorder, at Muskogee, Indian Territory, as then required by law.

IV.

The Court erred in holding that subsequent to the passage and approval of the act of Congress of May 27, 1902, it was the duty of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, if they desired to preserve their liens as against subsequent mortgagees or attaching creditors, to have their mortgages filed or recorded at Vinita, Indian Territory.

V.

The Court erred in holding that subsequently to the passage and approval of the Act of Congress approved February 19,
112 1903, it was the duty of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank if they desired to preserve their liens as against subsequent mortgagees or attaching creditors, to have their mortgages indexed at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory.

VI.

The Court erred in holding that subsequently to the passage from the sale of said cattle there be paid by the receiver all costs herein, including a Master's fee to A. M. Etchen of $350.00, and that out of the remainder he pay the respective claims of the parties herein in full as far as the proceeds will extend, in the following order:

1. To First National Bank of Vinita its claim, amounting to $4,144.42.
2. To First National Bank of Claremore its claim, amounting to $5,413.99.
3. To Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek its claim, amounting to $2,083.33.
4. To J. C. Hogan his claim, amounting to $6,801.96.
5. To Mary D. Mayes her claim, amounting to $1,802.88.
6. To Vinita National Bank its claim, amounting to $809.77.
7. To C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank their claim, amounting to $17,245.31:

because intervener-, Stock Yards Bank, and C. M. Keys & Co. are the owners and holders of the prior and superior lien upon and legal title in the cattle described in their said mortgage to that of the attaching creditor herein and all liens of the other interveners herein, and are entitled to have the fund arising from the sale of said cattle, described in their said mortgage, applied to the payment of their claim; and that the expenses of this litigation should be paid by the attaching creditor, the plaintiff herein.

VII.

The Court erred in finding, "That the mortgage of C. M. Keys & Company is an absolute fraud, by reason of their failure to renew

or to advise people in any way, shape or form of the various payments that had been made and the transfers that had been made," for the reasons, first, that there are no pleadings upon which to base said findings; second, that there is no proof to support it; third, it is contrary to law.

Wherefore, for these errors and divers other errors apparent upon the face of the record of this case, appellants, C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, pray that the decree and judgment of the court below, heretofore made and entered herein, be reversed and
113 set aside, and that judgment be entered upholding the mortgages of these interveners and adjudging and decreeing that the funds arising from the sale of said cattle, now in the hands of the receiver, be paid to these interveners, and that they have judgment against defendant, Wat Mayes, for the balance of their indebtedness, and that plaintiff, the said First National Bank of Claremore be adjudged to pay all costs of this action.

And in duty bound these appellants will ever pray.

NEV. CAMPBELL,
ROBERT S. BLAIR,
Solicitors for said Interveners.

Clerk's Certificate.

UNITED STATES COURT,
Northern Judicial District, Indian Territory, ss:

I, Chas. A. Davidson, Clerk of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the case of The First National Bank of Claremore *vs.* Wat Mayes, Stock Yards Bank, et als., Interveners, as the same appears of record in my office.

Witness my hand and official seal this 30th day of April, A. D. 1907.

[SEAL.] CHAS. A. DAVIDSON,
Clerks United States Court of the Northern District of the Indian Territory,
By E. B. DAVIDSON, *Deputy.*

Endorsed: No. 853. C. M. Keys & Co. et al., Appellants, vs. First Nat'l Bank of Claremore et al., Appellees. Transcript of Record. Filed in the office of the Clerk of U. S. Court of Appeals, I. T., May 1, 1907. Wm. P. Freeman, Clerk.

114 And that the said transcript truly shows all the proceedings in the cause in the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, sitting at Vinita, and also the proceedings perfecting the appeal from the judgment of said court.

Be it further remembered that thereafter the Supreme Court of Oklahoma caused its mandate of reversal to be filed in the District

Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, the successor of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, sitting at Vinita, on the 11th day of December, 1909, and that said mandate is as follows:

115 *Mandate.*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
State of Oklahoma, ss:

Supreme Court.

No. 853.

C. M. KEYS AND COMPANY et al., Appellants,
vs.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE et al., Appellees,

and

No. 866.

VINITA NATIONAL BANK, Appellant,
vs.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, et al., Appellees.

THE SUPREME COURT OF OKLAHOMA:

To the Honorable Judge of the District Court of Craig County, in said State of Oklahoma:

Whereas, The Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma did at the September 1908 Term thereof, on the 10th day of September 1908, render an opinion in the above entitled case, appealed from the United States Court of Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Vinita, modifying the judgment of the lower court; and directing that claims be paid in the order of their respective dates, viz: First: The Vinita National Bank; Second, C. M. Keys and Company and Stock Yards Bank; the other creditors taking their places after the Vinita National Bank and C. M. Keys and Company and Stock Yards Bank for the amounts and in the order decreed by the court below; and thereafter on November the 11th, 1908, petition for rehearing was granted in the above entitled cause; and on September 14, 1909, opinion was rendered by the Court in the above cause On Rehearing: adhering to the former opinion rendered herein on September the 10th, 1908, except the ruling of the court below on the question of the validity of the chattel mortgages held by C. M. Keys and Company or their assigns, and Vinita National
116 Bank, and the decree of the court below is only disturbed in so far as it is based upon the court's findings that these chattel mortgages were void as against subsequent creditors or incumbrancers.

Now therefore, you are commanded to take such further proceedings herein as shall accord with said opinion of the Supreme Court and right and justice.

Witness, the Honorable M. J. Kane, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, at the City of Guthrie, this 9th day of December, 1909.

[SEAL.] W. H. L. CAMPBELL, *Clerk*,
(Signed) By W. M. BONNER, *Deputy*.

117 Be it further remembered that along with said mandate was received and filed a syllabus of said decision as follows:

118 In the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

"No. 853 and No. 866.

Filed Sept. 10, 1908.

No. 853.

C. M. KEYS AND COMPANY et al., Appellants,
vs.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE et al., Appellees,

and

No. 866.

VINITA NATIONAL BANK, Appellant,
vs.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, et al., Appellees.

Syllabus.

1. Where a chattel mortgage was filed or recorded, at Muskogee, in the Northern District of the Indian Territory, which was the proper place of recordation at the time thereof, and by a subsequent Act of Congress the Northern District was sub-divided, and Vinita made the recording office for the part of the Northern District in which the property mortgaged was situated, such change did not affect the validity of such recordation.

2. The record of a chattel mortgage, in a district where the property covered thereby lies, though upon subdivision of the district subsequent to the record of the mortgage the property may fall within the new district, is notice to purchasers or incumbrancers.

3. That part of the Act of Congress providing for the record of deeds and other conveyances and instruments of writing in the Indian Territory, which provides that, "such instruments heretofore recorded with the Clerk of the United States Court of the Indian Territory shall not be required to be again recorded under this provision, but shall be transferred to the indexes without further cost, and such record heretofore made shall be of full force and effect,

the same as if made under this statute," casts no additional duties upon mortgagees who, prior to its pas-age, had filed or recorded their instruments in conformity with the recordation laws then in force.

Appeal from the United States Court for the Northern District, Sitting at Vinita, Indian Territory. Modified.

119 I, W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklohoma, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete copy of the syllabus of the opinion of the Supreme Court in the above entitled cause, as the same remains on file in my office.

In witness whereof I hereto set my hand and affix the seal of said Court, at Guthrie, this 9th day of December, 1909.

[SEAL.] W. H. L. CAMPBELL,
Clerk Supreme Court.

(Signed) By JESSIE PARDOE, *Deputy.*

120 Be it further remembered That after said mandate was received the First National Bank of Claremore filed in Court on the 10th day of March, 1910, an application for leave to file an amended answer to the plea of intervention of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank which was then and there tendered, and that said application is as follows:

121 In the District Court of Craig County, State of Oklahoma.

No. —.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & Co. and STOCK YARDS BANK, Intervener; Vinita National Bank, Intervener; Citizens' Bank, Intervener; J. C. Hogan, Intervener; Mary D. Mayes, Intervener, and First National Bank of Vinita, Intervener.

Application of First National Bank of Claremore for Leave to File Amended Answer to the Plea of Intervention of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank.

The plaintiff represents and shows to the Court that this cause originated in the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, sitting at Vinita, and that said cause resulted in a judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against the interveners C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank. That said cause came to the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma as the successor of the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory, and that the cause has been reversed by the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma and remanded for new trial. That in its former answer to the interplea it plead, setting up, as it was advised, by its denial the invalidity of the mortgage relied upon by C. M. Keys & Co.

and by Stock Yards Bank. That it appears from the opinion of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma that said Court did not seem the former answer sufficiently specific to set up fraud in
122 the mortgage relied upon by the interveners. That this plaintiff is advised and charges that actual fraud exists and did exist at all times after the recording of said mortgage arising from the fact that the mortgagor after the recording of said mortgage was permitted to deal with the property as his own without reference to the mortgage, to the hindrance and delay of his other creditors, and this plaintiff was of the opinion that this matter was sufficiently pled in the former proceeding, but the plaintiff now being advised that the Supreme Court of Oklahoma does not so hold, its asks permission of this Court to file an amended answer to said interplea setting up the facts in the matter as this plaintiff is advised they exist, and this plaintiff herewith tenders to the Court the amended pleading it desires to file.

(Signed) FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE,
(Signed) By W. H. KORNEGAY, *Attorney.*

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Craig, ss:

I, W. H. Kornegay, on oath do say; that I am attorney for First National Bank of Claremore, the plaintiff in the above entitled action; that the statements of the foregoing application are true, as I verily believe.

(Signed) W. H. KORNEGAY.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10th day of March, 1910.

(Signed) CARL F. CRONINGER,
[SEAL.] *Notary Public.*

My commission expires November 26, 1912.

123 And the amended answer tendered therewith is as follows:

124 In the District Court of Craig County, State of Oklahoma.

No. —.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & CO. and STOCK YARDS BANK, Interveners; Vinita National Bank, Intervener; Citizens Bank, Intervener; J. C. Hogan, Intervener; Mary D. Mayes, Intervener, and First National Bank of Vinita, Intervener.

Amended Answer of First National Bank of Claremore to Interplea of C. M. Keys & Co., and to Interplea of Stock Yards Bank.

The plaintiff, First National Bank of Claremore, for amended answer to the interplea of C. M. Keys & Co., a partnership composed

of C. M. Keys and Hugh Mills, and to the Interplea of the Stock Yards Bank, repeats and avers, in the language of its original answer herein, and says:

I.

That it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the defendant, Wat Mayes, is indebted in any manner to the interpleader, and it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the defendant, Wat Mayes, executed any note to the interpleader, or whether the interpleader holds any note executed by him and it says it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the interpleader holds any mortgage executed by Wat Mayes or anyone else upon the property attached in this case. Neither has it sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether any mortgage so executed was recorded or filed for record at any place. It therefore denies that the interpleader herein holds any note or mortgage executed by Wat
125 Mayes, and it therefore denies that the interpleader herein has any lien for any sum upon the property attached in this case, and it prays for judgment for its costs.

II.

The plaintiff herein, by way of supplement and addition to the answer heretofore filed to said interplea, says, that it is advised, as a result of the evidence introduced in this cause, that at one time in the month of October, 1901, the defendant, Wat Mayes, did execute and make to C. M. Keys & Co., one of the interveners, a chattel mortgage, which said chattel mortgage is set out as an exhibit to the interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, but the plaintiff avers that said mortgage was not acknowledged in the manner required by the Laws of the United States at said time; and the plaintiff further says that said mortgage on its face was fraudulent and void and was against the laws of the United States and the public policy of the United States as shown by the decisions of its courts and by its statutes in force in the Indian Territory at said time. That said mortgage at no time became of record and constructive notice to subsequent purchasers or creditors of the defendant, Wat Mayes, under the laws of the United States in force in the Indian Territory prior to the admission of the State of Oklahoma into the Union. That under the laws of the United States in force in the Indian Territory it became and was the duty of the mortgagee in said mortgage to have the same recorded in the office of the United States Clerk for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Vinita, and Ex-officio Recorder for the Northern District thereof, from and after July 2, 1902, if it desired to preserve a lien upon the property described in said mortgage as against the claims of creditors and subsequent purchasers. That it also became and was the duty of the mortgagee under the laws of the United States, if it desired to claim a lien upon said property, to have said mortgage recorded in the office of the Clerk of the
126 United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Pryor Creek, and Ex-Officio Recorder for the

Fifth Recording District thereof, from and after the 19th day of February, 1903, or, in lieu thereof, to have the same indexed at said place. That at all times from and after the making of the mortgage referred to above the maker of said mortgage resided two and one-half miles southeast of Pryor Creek in the Cherokee Nation and all cattle owned by him were located in that immediate vicinity and within the limits of what was, by the Act of Congress of February, 1903, described and bounded as the Fifth Recording District of the Indian Territory, and the maker of said mortgage at all times from and after the making thereof has resided in the area described as the Fifth Recording District in said Act. That on the face of said mortgage it was due on the 27th day of November, 1901, and that after the maturity of said mortgage neither the mortgagee nor its assignee at any time took any steps whatever to foreclose the same or take possession of the property or to inform subsequent purchasers or creditors of the existence and non-payment of the supposed mortgage, but, on the contrary, did permit mortgagor therein to dispose of a large part of the property emmortgage and from time to time did permit the mortgagor to dispose of the mortgaged property for his own use and to mingle other property therewith without keeping any account whatever of the property embraced in the supposed mortgage, and did permit the mortgagor therein to dispose of a large part of the property embraced in said mortgage for his own use and benefit, to the detriment and loss of his other creditors. That the mortgagee in said mortgage during all said time has used the said mortgage, if it still exists, for the purpose of hindering and delaying the creditors of the mortgagor therein. That from time to time the mortgagor in said mortgage has shipped cattle and delivered property to the mortgagee in said mortgage more than sufficient to pay the amount thereof with all legal interest in the sum of at least ten
127 thousand dollars, which should have been applied to its liquidation, if it existed, and that the said mortgage, if it ever existed, has been fully paid and discharged. That none of the cattle attached in this case are embraced in said mortgage.

That said mortgage is now barred by the statute of limitations in such case made and provided under the laws of the United States.

III.

The plaintiff further shows to the Court that at the instance of Vinita National Bank the lots embraced in the mortgage made to plaintiff and to the intervener First National Bank of Vinita were sold and the proceeds marshalled, and that the claim of the plaintiff was paid out of the fund in the hands of the Receiver, which consisted of the amount derived from the sale of the cattle and also the amount derived from the sale of the lots, and its prays for judgment in accordance with the original decree herein.

(Signed) FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE,

(Signed) By W. H. KORNEGAY, *Attorney.*

128 STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Craig, ss:

I, W. H. Kornegay, on oath do say I am attorney for the plaintiff and I believe the statements of the foregoing are true.
(Signed) W. H. KORNEGAY.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10th day of March, 1910.
[SEAL.] (Signed) CARL F. CRONINGER,
Notary Public.

My commission expires 11–26–1912.

129 Be it further remembered That on the 10th day of March, 1910, the First National Bank of Vinita asked for leave to file an answer to the interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, which was then and there tendered, and is as follows:

130 In the District Court of Craig County, State of Oklahoma.

No. —.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & Co. and STOCK YARDS BANK, Interveners; Vinita National Bank, Intervener; Citizens Bank, Intervener; J. C. Hogan, Intervener; Mary D. Mayes, Intervener, and First National Bank of Vinita, Intervener.

Answer of First National Bank of Vinita to the Interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank.

First National Bank of Vinita, by way of answer to the interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, says:

I.

That it denies that the interveners C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank are the owners or holders of any of the cattle attached in this case, and it says further that if there ever was a mortgage executed by Wat Mayes to C. M. Keys & Co. as claimed in the interplea, the said mortgage on its face was void and fraudulent under the laws of the United States, and said mortgage was not recorded in the manner prescribed by the laws of the United States and did not become notice to anyone by virtue of its acknowledgment and recording, and said mortgage, if it ever existed, has been fully paid by the mortgagor therein by way of shipment of cattle and other property at various times to the mortgagee therein, and said mortgage became fraudulent and void as against this intervener for the reason that said mortgage was never recorded in the office of the
131 United States Clerk for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Vinita, as required by the laws of the United

States, and said mortgage was never recorded or indexed in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Pryor Creek, as required by the laws of the United States, and that during the time said mortgage existed, if it existed at all, the cattle embraced therein were located in what was afterwards described as the Fifth Recording District of the Indian Territory and the mortgagor resided in said District and about two and one-half miles southeast of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory. That said mortgagee permitted the mortgagor after the making of said mortgage to dispose of the property embraced therein regardless of the terms of said mortgage and to apply the proceeds to his own use, and that said mortgage is now barred by the statute of limitations in such case made and provided under the laws of the United States, and that none of the cattle embraced in the mortgage set up by the interveners C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank are identical with the cattle attached in this case, and that the mortgage relied upon by C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank under the laws of the United States was fraudulent and void as against this intervener.

II.

This intervener shows to the Court that at the instance of Vinita National Bank the lots embraced in the mortgage made to this Bank were sold and the proceeds marshalled, and that the claim of this Bank was paid out of the fund in the hands of the Receiverm which consisted of the amount derived from the sale of the cattle and also the amount derived from the sale of the lots, and it prays for judgment in accordance with the original decree herein.

132 (Signed) FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF VINITA,
By W H. KORNEGAY, *Att'y.*

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Craig, ss:

I, Oliver Bagby, on oath do say; that I am President of intervener, First National Bank of Vinita, and that I believe the statements of the foregoing answer are true.

(Signed) OLIVER BAGBY.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10th day of March, 1910.

[SEAL.] (Signed) FRANK M. COLLINS,
Notary Public.

My commission expires Jan. 4, 1914.

133 Be it further remembered That on the 2nd day of April, 1910, Citizens Bank made application to file an answer to the interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, which was then and there tendered, and that said application is as follows:

134 In the District Court within and for the County of Craig, State of Oklahoma.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & Co., STOCK YARDS BANK, Interveners, and First National Bank of Vinita, Intervener, and Vinita National Bank, Intervener, and Citizens Bank, Intervener, and J. C. Hogan, Intervener, and Mary D. Mayes, Intervener.

Application of Citizens Bank, Intervener, for Permission to File Answer to the Interplea of C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank.

Comes now the Citizens Bank and respectfully shows to the Court that this case originated and was tried in the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, wherein judgment was rendered for the plaintiff and against the defendant, and fixed the order in which the several intervenors came to participate in the funds involved in this controversy, *and* which said order this intervener was made senior to that of the C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, and that the appeal was taken to the United States Court of Appeals for Indian Territory, where the same was pending at the admission of the State of Oklahoma into the Union, and by virtue of the Enabling Act and the Constitution of the State
135 of Oklahoma, the said cause went to the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, where the lower court was reversed and the case is now remanded to this Court for new trial.

This intervener states that the trial court in the former trial of this cause, held and found that because the interveners, C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank did not record the mortgage claimed under by them in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, and did not record or index the same in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Pryor Creek, that the mortgage claimed under by them was junior to the mortgage claimed under by this intervener.

That said trial court further found as a matter of fact and held, that the mortgage claimed under by the said C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank was fraudulent and void.

That in the opinion rendered in this case by the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, the said court has held that the question of actual fraud was not raised in the trial court, and was not sufficiently ple-d, and this intervener now charges that there was actual fraud and con-tructive fraud in the mortgage claimed under by C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank.

That the mortgagor, Wat Mayes, by and with the knowledge and consent of the mortgagee-, C. M. Keys & Co. and their assignee, Stock Yards Bank, was permitted to use and dispose of the property covered by the mortgage for his own use and benefit without accounting for the proceeds thereof, and this intervener prays this

Honorable Court that it be permitted at this time to file its answer to the interplea of said C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards
136 Bank, and it herewith tenders said answer for filing.

(Signed) CITIZENS BANK,
By J. H. LANGLEY,
Attorney for Intervener Citizens Bank.

137 And the answer tendered therewith is as follows:

138 In the District Court within and for the County of Craig, State of Oklahoma.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & COMPANY, & STOCK YARDS Bank, Interneners, and First National Bank of Vinita, Intervener, and Vinita National Bank, Intervener, and Citizens Bank, Intervener, and J. C. Hogan, Intervener, and Mary D. Mayes, Intervener.

Answer of Citizens Bank to Interplea of C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank.

I.

Comes now the Citizens Bank, and respectfully represents and shows to the court, that in this cause, the plaintiff First National Bank of Claremore, filed its suit and affidavit for attachment against Wat Mayes, the defendant, in the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, and thereupon procured a writ of attachment, and by virtue of which levy was made upon a large number of cattle. That thereafter, C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank filed their interplea in said suit, claiming an interest in the subject matter of said suit, by virtue of a mortgage executed by the said Wat Mayes to the said C. M. Keys & Company on the 28th day of October, 1901. That after the institution of said suit, the said Wat Mayes, executed to this intervener a
139 mortgage upon the cattle taken under said writ of attachment, and by virtue of which said mortgage it claimed an interest in the subject matter of this suit, and filed its intervention herein. This intervener further states and shows to the court, that at the date of the execution of the supposed mortgage to the said C. M. Keys & Company, and at all times thereafter, the said mortgagor resided, and the said cattle were located within that portion of the Northern District of the Indian Territory, which by the Act of Congress of February 19, 1903, was described and designated as the fifth recording district of the Indian Territory.

That by the terms of said Act and by the laws of the United States of America, then in force in Indian Territory, a chattel mortgage in order to become a lien upon property must have been filed or recorded at the office of the Clerk of the United States Court at

the place of holding court within the particular recording district within which said property was located. That the mortgage claimed under by the said C. M. Keys & Company, and its assignee, Stock Yards Bank, was recorded upon the — day of October, 1901, in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court for the then Northern District of Indian Territory at Muskogee. That thereafter, to-wit; on the 2nd day of July, 1902, the Northern District of the Indian Territory was divided and that part thereof within which the city of Muskogee was situate was created and made the Western District of the Indian Territory, and Vinita was made the place for the office of the Clerk of the United States Court for the Northern District of Indian Territory, and was by the laws of the United States then in force in the Indian Territory, made the place for filing or recording mortgages intending to operate as a conveyance of or a lien upon property, situate within the Northern District.

That thereafter, to-wit; on the 19th day of February, 1903, the Indian Territory, was by an act of Congress divided into Recording District- within each of which said recording districts were located and established a place for holding terms of the
140 United States Court therein, and a deputy Clerk of the United States Court within and for the several recording Districts were made Ex-officio Recorders, and the laws of the United States then in force in the Indian Territory, required that an instrument of writing intending to operate as a lien upon or a conveyance of property should be filed or recorded with the Deputy Clerk of the United States Court within the Recording District within which the property was located; and if a mortgage was of record at the time of the passage of the Act last mentioned above, that the same did not again have to be re-recorded under the provisions of that Act, but must be transferred to the indexes of the office of the Deputy Clerk of the United States Court for the proper district, to-wit; the district within which the property was situate. That the property involved in this controversy was as aforesaid at all times from and after the execution of the supposed mortgage to the said C. M. Keys & Company situated in what, after the passage of the Recording Act aforesaid, become the Fifth Recording District and within which said district the said mortgagor has at all times since the execution of the said C. M. Keys & Company's supposed mortgage resided, and the place of holding Court within which said district was at Pryor Creek.

II.

This intervener further alleges that the supposed mortgage of the said C. M. Keys & Company, is fraudulent and void, and was fraudulent and void from and after its supposed execution, and was in violation of the laws of the United States then in force in the Indian Territory and was against public policy.

III.

That said supposed mortgage claimed under by the said C. M. Keys & Company and its assignee, Stock Yards Bank, was, at the time

of their intervention in this suit, barred by the Statute of limitations, the same having been executed in October, 1901, as aforesaid, and the note secured by said mortgage, as shown by the said
141 mortgage, having become due on the — day of November, 1901, and the intervention of the said C. M. Keys & Company and its assignee, Stock Yards Bank, not having been made herein until the — day of July, 1905. That from, and at all time after the date of the execution of said C. M. Keys & Company mortgage, the said mortgagor, Wat Mayes, was permitted to remain in possession of the property covered by said mortgage.

IV.

This intervener further shows to the court, that the said mortgagor, Wat Mayes, was permitted by his said mortgagee, C. M. Keys & Company, and its assignee, Stock Yards Bank, to remain in possession of said property at all times from and after the execution of the said C. M. Keys & Company mortgage, and was permitted by the said mortgagees to hold himself out to the world as the owner of said property and the said mortgagor by and with the consent of his said mortgagees, C. M. Keys & Company, and their assignee, Stock Yards Bank, was permitted to ship, sell and dispose of the property covered by said mortgage for the use and benefit of him, the said mortgagor, Wat Mayes, to the hindrance and delay of the other creditors of the said mortgagor. That the said mortgagor, did in truth and in fact, ship to the said C. M. Keys & Company and turn over to them several thousand dollars' worth of cattle covered by said mortgage, and did so ship and turn over to the said C. M. Keys & Company several thousand dollars of said cattle more than necessary to fully pay off and discharge the indebtedness secured by said mortgage.

Wherefore, This Intervener prays the judgment of the Court, that the said mortgage to the said C. M. Keys & Company was fraudulent and void, and against public policy. That the debt secured by said mortgage has been fully paid, and that by reason of the said mortgagee, C. M. Keys & Company, and its assignee, Stock Yards Bank, permitting and allowing the said mort-
142 gagor Wat Mayes, to handle and dispose of the property covered by said mortgage for his own benefit, the same became, as to subsequent creditors and encumbrances, and as to this intervener, fraudulent and void.

(Signed) J. H. LANGLEY.
Attorney for Intervener Citizens Bank.

143 STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Mayes, ss:

The undersigned, E. M. Bowers, states upon his oath that he is Cashier of the Intervening Bank above named; that he has read the foregoing answer and knows the contents thereof, and that the matters and things therein stated are true, as he verily believes.

(Signed) E. M. BOWERS, *Cashier.*

Subscribed and sworn to before me on this 9 day of March, 1910.

[SEAL.] (Signed) L. F. DOTSON,
Notary Public.

My commission will expire on the 27 day of December, 1913.

144 Be it further remembered That on the 2nd day of April, 1910, J. C. Hogan filed an application for leave to file an answer to the interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, which was then and there tendered, and that said application is as follows:

145 In the District Court within and for the County of Craig, State of Oklahoma.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & COMPANY & STOCK YARDS Bank, Intervener, and First National Bank of Vinita, Intervener, and Vinita National Bank, Intervener, and Citizens Bank, Intervener, and J. C. Hogan, Intervener, and Mary A. Mayes, Intervener.

Application of J. C. Hogan, Intervener, for Permission to File Answer to the Interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank.

Comes now J. C. Hogan, and respectfully shows to the Court that this case originated and was tried in the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, wherein judgment was rendered for the plaintiff and against the defendant, and fixed the order in which the several interveners, came to participate in the funds involved in this controversy, and in which said order this intervener was made senior to that of the C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, and that an appeal was taken to the United States Court of Appeals for Indian Territory, where the same was pending at the admission of the State of Oklahoma, into the union, and by virtue of the Enabling Act and the Constitution of the State of Oklahoma, the said cause went to the Supreme Court of the State
146 of Oklahoma, where the lower court was reversed and the case is now remanded to this court for new trial.

This Intervener states that the trial court in the former trial of this cause, held and found that because the interveners, C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank did not record the mortgage claimed under by them in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, and did not record or index the same in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Pryor Creek, that the mortgage claimed under by them was junior as a lien to the mortgage claimed under by this intervener.

That said trial court further found as a matter of fact, and held,

that the mortgage claimed under by the said C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank was fraudulent and void.

That in the opinion rendered in this case by the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, the said Court has held that the question of actual fraud was not raised in the trial court and was not sufficiently pled, and this intervener now charges that there was actual fraud and constructive fraud in the mortgage claimed under by C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank.

That the mortgagor, Wat Mayes, by and with the knowledge and consent of the mortgagee, C. M. Keys & Co. and their assignee, Stock Yards Bank, was permitted to use and dispose of the property covered by the mortgage for his *own* and benefit without accounting for the proceeds thereof, and this intervener prays this Honorable Court that it be permitted at this time to file its answer to the interplea of said C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, and it herewith tenders said answer for filing.

(Signed) J. H. LANGLEY,
Attorney for Intervener J. C. Hogan.

147 And the answer tendered therewith is as follows:

148 In the District Court within and for the County of Craig, State of Oklahoma.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant: C. M. KEYS & COMPANY & STOCK YARDS Bank, Interveners, and First National Bank of Vinita, Intervener, and Vinita National Bank, Intervener, and Citizens Bank, Intervener, and J. C. Hogan, Intervener, and Mary D. Mayes, Intervener.

Answer of J. C. Hogan to Interplea of C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank.

I.

Comes now J. C. Hogan, and respectfully represents and shows to the court, that in this cause, the plaintiff First National Bank of Claremore, filed its suit and affidavit for attachment against Wat Mayes, the defendant, in the United States Court for the Northern District *Court* of the Indian Territory, and thereupon procured a writ of attachment, and by virtue of which levy was made upon a large number of cattle. That thereafter C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank filed their interplea in said suit, claiming an interest in the subject matter of said suit, by virtue of a mortgage executed by the said Wat Mayes to the said C. M. Keys & Company on the 28th day of October, 1901. That after the institution of said suit
149 the said Wat Mayes, executed to this intervener a mortgage upon the cattle taken under said writ of attachment, and by virtue of which said mortgage claimed an interest in the subject mat-

ter of this suit, and filed his intervention herein. This intervener further states and shows to the court, that at the date of the execution of the supposed mortgage to the said C. M. Keys & Company, and at all times thereafter, the said mortgagor resided, and the said cattle were located within that portion of the Northern District of the Indian Territory, which by the Act of Congress of February 19, 1903, was described and designated as the Fifth Recording District of the Indian Territory.

That by the terms of said Act and by the laws of the United States of America, then in force in Indian Territory, a chattel mortgage in order to become a lien upon property must have been filed or recorded at the office of the Clerk of the United States Court at the place of holding court within the particular recording district within which the said property was located. That the mortgage claimed under by the said C. M. Keys & Company, and its assignee, Stock Yards Bank, was recorded upon the — day of October, 1901, in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court for the then Northern District of Indian Territory, at Muskogee. That thereafter, to-wit: on the 2nd day of July, 1902, the Northern District of the Indian Territory was divided and that part thereof within which the city of Muskogee was situate was created and made the Western District of the Indian Territory, and Vinita was made the place for the office of the Clerk of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, and was by the laws of the United States then in force in the Indian Territory, made the place for filing or recording mortgages intending to operate as a conveyance on a lien upon property situate within the Northern District.

That thereafter, to-wit: on the 19th day of February, 1903,
150 the Indian Territory was by an Act of Congress divided into Recording Districts within each of which said Recording Districts there was located and established a place for holding terms of the United States Court therein, and a deputy Clerk of the United States within and for the several recording Districts were made Ex-officio Recorders, and the laws of the United States then in force in the Indian Territory, required that an instrument of writing intending to operate as a lien or a conveyance of property should be filed or recorded with the Deputy Clerk of the United States Court within the Recording District within which the property was located: and if a mortgage was of record at the time of the passage of the Act last mentioned above, that the same did not again have to be re-recorded under the provisions of that Act, but must be transferred to the indexes of the office of the Deputy Clerk of the United States Court for the proper district, to-wit: the District within which the property was situate. That the property involved in this controversy was as aforesaid at all times from and after the execution of the supposed mortgage to the said C. M. Keys & Company situate in what, after the passage of the Recording Act aforesaid, became the Fifth Recording District, and within which the said mortgagor has at all times since the execution of the said C. M. Keys & Company's supposed mortgage resided, and the place of holding court within which said district was at Pryor Creek.

II.

This intervener further alleges that the supposed mortgage of the said C. M. Keys & Company is fraudulent and void, and was fraudulent and void and after its supposed execution, and was in violation of the laws of the United States then in force in the Indian Territory and was against public policy.

151

III.

That said supposed mortgage claimed under and by said C. M. Keys & Company, and its assignee, Stock Yards Bank, was, at the time of their intervention in this suit, barred by the Statute of limitations, the same having been executed in October 1901, as aforesaid, and the note secured by said mortgage, as shown by the said mortgage, having become due on the — day of November, 1901, and the intervention of the said C. M. Keys & Company and its assignee, Stock Yards Bank, not having been made herein until the — day of July, 1905. That from, and at all times after the date of the execution of said C. M. Keys & Company mortgage, the said mortgagor, Wat Mayes, was permitted to remain in possession of the property covered by said mortgage.

IV.

This intervener further shows to the court, that the said mortgagor, Wat Mayes, was permitted by his said Mortgagee, C. M. Keys & Company, and its assignee, Stock Yards Bank, to remain in possession of said property at all times from and after the execution of the said C. M. Keys & Company mortgage, and was permitted by the said mortgagee to hold himself out to the world as the owner of said property and the said mortgage by and with the consent of his said mortgagees, C. M. Keys & Company and their assignee, Stock Yards Bank, was permitted to ship, sell, *sell* and dispose of the property covered by said mortgage for the use and benefit of him, the said mortgagor, Wat Mayes, to the hindrance and delay of the other creditors of the said mortgagor. That the said mortgagor, did in truth and in fact, ship to the said C. M. Keys & Company and turn over to them several thousand dollars worth of cattle covered by said mortgage, and did so ship and turn over to the said C. M. Keys & Company seveeral thousand dollars of said cattle more than necessary to fully pay off and discharge the indebtedness secured by said mortgage.

152 Wherefore, this intervener prays the judgment of the court, that the said mortgage to the said C. M. Keys & Company was fraudulent and void, and against public policy. That the debt secured by said mortgage has been fully paid, and that by reason of the said mortgage, C. M. Keys & Company, and its assignee, Stock Yards Bank, permitting and allowing the said mortgagor, Wat Mayes, to handle and dispose of the property covered by said mort-

gage for his own benefit, the same became, as to subsequent creditors and encumbrancers, and as to this intervener, fraudulent and void.

(Signed) J. H. LANGLEY,
Attorney for Intervener J. C. Hogan.

153 STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Mayes, ss:

The undersigned, J. C. Hogan, states upon his oath, that he is the intervener herein; that he has read the foregoing answer and knows the contents thereof, and that the matters and things therein stated are true, as he verily believes.

(Signed) J. C. HOGAN.

Subscribed and sworn to before me on this 9" day of March, 1910.

[SEAL.] (Signed) KARL J. MOORE,
Notary Public.

My commission expires on the 7" day of May 1910.

154 Be it further remembered That on the 2nd day of April, 1910, Mary D. Mayes, filed an application for leave to file an answer to the interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, which was then and there tendered, and that said application is as follows:

155 In the District Court within and for the County of Craig, State of Oklahoma.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & COMPANY & STOCK YARDS Banks, Interveners, and First National Bank of Vinita, Intervener, and Vinita National Bank, Intervener, and Citizens Bank, Intervener, and J. C. Hogan, Intervener, and Mary D. Mayes, Intervener.

Application of Mary D. Mayes, Intervener, for Permission to File Answer to the Interplea of C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Banks.

Comes now Mary D. Mayes, and respectfully represents and shows to the court, that this case originated and was tried in the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, wherein judgment was rendered for the plaintiff and against the defendant, and fixed the order in which the several interveners came to participate in the funds involved in this controversy, and in which said order this intervener was made senior to that of the C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, and that an appeal was taken to the United States Court of Appeals, for the Indian Territory, where the same was pending at the admission of the State of Oklahoma into the

Union, and by virtue of the Enabling Act and the Constitu-
156 tion of the State of Oklahoma, the said cause went to the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, where the lower court was reversed and the case is now remanded to this Court for new trial.

This intervener states that the trial court in the former trial of this cause, held and found that because the interveners, C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank did not record the mortgage claimed under by them in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, and did not record or index the same in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Pryor Creek, that the mortgage claimed under by them was junior as a lien to the mortgage claimed under by this intervener.

That said trial court further found as a matter of fact and held, that the mortgage claimed under by the said C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank was fraudulent and void.

That in the opinion rendered in this case by the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, the said court has held that the question of actual fraud was not raised in the trial court, and was not sufficiently pled, and this intervener now charges that there was actual fraud and constructive fraud in the mortgage claimed by C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank.

That the mortgagor, Wat Mayes, by and with the knowledge and consent of the mortgagee, C. M. Keys & Co. and their assignee, Stock Yards Bank, was permitted to use and dispose of the property covered by the mortgage for his own use and benefit without accounting for the proceeds thereof, and this intervener prays this Honorable Court that it be permitted at this time to file its answer to the interplea of said C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, and it herewith tenders said answer for filing.

(Signed) J. H. LANGLEY,
Attorney for Mary D. Mayes.

157 And the answer tendered therewith is as follows:

158 In the District Court within and for the County of Craig, State of Oklahoma.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & COMPANY & STOCK YARDS Bank, Interveners, and First National Bank of Vinita, Intervener, and Vinita National Bank, Intervener, and Citizens Bank, Intervener, and J. C. Hogan, Intervener, and Mary D. Mayes, Intervener.

Answer of Mary D. Mayes to the Interplea of C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank.

I.

Comes now Mary D. Mayes and respectfully represents and shows to the court, that in this cause, the plaintiff, First National Bank of

Claremore, filed its suit and affidavit for attachment against Wat Mayes, the defendant, in the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, and thereupon procured a writ of attachment, and by virtue of which levy was made upon a large number of cattle. That thereafter, C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank filed their interplea in said suit, claiming an interest in the subject matter of said suit, by virtue of a mort-
159 gage executed by the said Wat Mayes to the said C. M. Keys & Company on the 28th day of October, 1901; that after the institution of said suit, the said Wat Mayes, executed to this intervener, a mortgage upon the cattle taken under said writ of attachment, and by virtue of which said mortgage she claimed an interest in the subject matter of this suit, and filed her intervention herein. This intervener further states and shows to the court, that at the date of the execution of the supposed mortgage to the said C. M. Keys & Company, and at all times thereafter, the said mortgagor resided, and the said cattle were located within that portion of the Northern District of the Indian Territory, which by the Act of Congress of February 19, 1903, was described and designated as the Fifth Recording District of the Indian Territory.

That by the terms of said Act and by the laws of the United States of America, then in force in Indian Territory, a chattel mortgage in order to become a lien upon property must have been filed or recorded at the office of the Clerk of the United States Court at the place of holding Court within the particular recording district within which said property was located. That the mortgage claimed under by the said C. M. Keys & Company, and its assignee, Stock Yards Bank, was recorded upon the — day of October, 1901, in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court for the then Northern District of Indian Territory at Muskogee. That thereafter, to-wit: on the 2nd day of July, 1902, the Northern District of the Indian Territory was divided and that part thereof within which the city of Muskogee was situate was created and made the Western District of the Indian Territory, and Vinita was made the place for the office of the Clerk of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, and was by the laws of the United States then in force in the Indian Territory, made the
160 place for filing or recording mortgages intending to operate as a conveyance of or a lien upon property situate within the Northern District.

That thereafter, to-wit; on the 19th day of February, 1903, the Indian Territory, was, by an Act of Congress, divided into Recording Districts within each of which said Recording districts there was located and established a place for holding terms of United States Court therein, and a deputy Clerk of the United States Court within and for the several recording districts were made Ex-officio Recorders, and the laws of the United States then in force in the Indian Territory, required that an instrument of writing intending to operate as a lien upon or a conveyance of property should be filed or recorded with the Deputy Clerk of the United States Court within the Recording District within which the property was located; and if a

mortgage was of record at the time of the passage of the Act last mentioned above, that the same did not again have to be re-recorded under the provisions of that Act, but must be transferred to the indexes of the office of the Deputy Clerk of the United States Court for the proper district, to-wit; the district within which the property was situate. That the property involved in his controversy was as aforesaid at all times from and after the execution of the supposed mortgage to the said C. M. Keys & Company situated in what, after the passage of the Recording Act aforesaid, became the Fifth Recording District and within which said district the said mortgagor has at all times since the execution of the said C. M. Keys & Company's mortgage resided, and the place of holding court within which said district was at Pryor Creek.

II.

This intervener alleges that the supposed mortgage of the
161 said C. M. Keys & Company is fraudulent and void, and was fraudulent and void from and after its supposed execution, and was in violation of the laws of the United States then in force in the Indian Territory and was against public policy.

III.

That said supposed mortgage claimed under by said C. M. Keys & Company, and its assignee, Stock Yards Bank, was, at the time of their intervention in this suit, barred by the Statute of Limitations the same having been executed in October, 1901, as aforesaid, and the note secured by said mortgage, as shown by the said mortgage, having become due on the — day of November, 1901, and the intervention of the said C. M. Keys & Company and its assignee, Stock Yards Bank, not having been made herein until the — day of July, 1905. That from, and at all times after the date of the execution of said C. M. Keys & Company mortgage, the said mortgagor, Wat Mayes, was permitted to remain in possession of the property covered by said mortgage.

IV.

This intervener further shows to the court, that the said mortgagor, Wat Mayes, was permitted by his said mortgagee, C. M. Keys & Company, and its assignee, Stock Yards Bank, to remain in possession of said property at all times from and after the execution of the said C. M. Keys & Company mortgage, and was permitted by the said mortgagee to hold himself out to the world as the owner of said property and the said mortgagor by and with the consent of his said mortgagees, C. M. Keys & Company, and their assignee, Stock
Yards Bank, was permitted to ship, sell and dispose of the
162 property covered by said mortgage for the use and benefit of him, the said mortgagor, Wat Mayes, to the hindrance and delay of the other credits of the said mortgage. That the said mortgagor, did in truth and in fact, ship to the said C. M. Keys & Company and turn over to them several thousand dollars worth of cattle covered by said mortgage, and did so ship and turn over to the

said C. M. Keys & Company several thousand dollars of said cattle more than necessary to fully pay off and discharge the indebtedness secured by said mortgage.

Wherefore, this intervener prays the judgment of the court, that the said mortgage to the said C. M. Keys & Company was fraudulent and void, and against public policy. That the debt secured by said mortgage has been fully paid, and that by reason of the said mortgagee, C. M. Keys & Company, and its assignee, Stock Yards Bank, permitting and allowing the said mortgagor, Wat Mayes, to handle and dispose of the property covered by said mortgage for his own benefit, the same became, as to subsequent creditors and encumbrancers, and as to this intervener, fraudulent and void.

(Signed) J. H. LANGLEY,
Attorney for Intervener Mary D. Mayes.

163 STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Mayes, ss:

The undersigned, Mary D. Mayes, states upon her oath, that she is the above intervener; that she has read the within and foregoing answer and knows the contents thereof, and that the matters and things therein mentioned and set forth, are true, as she verily believes.

(Signed) MARY D. MAYES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this the — day of March, 1910.

[SEAL.] (Signed) E. L. CRAWFORD,
Notary Public.

My Commission expires 3/7/1914.

164 Be it further remembered That on the 2nd day of April, 1910, the First National Bank of Claremore asked leave to file an answer to the interplea of John C. Hogan, which is as follows:

165 In the District Court of Craig County, State of Oklahoma.

No. —.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & Co. and STOCK YARDS BANK, Interveners; Vinita National Bank, Intervener; Citizens Bank, Intervener; J. C. Hogan, Intervener; Mary D. Mayes, Intervener, and First National Bank of Vinita, Intervener.

Answer to Interplea of John C. Hogan.

The plaintiff herein, by way of answer to the interplea of John C. Hogan, says:

That said mortgage, if it exists, came into existence after the running of the attachment in this cause.

(Signed) FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE,
By W. H. KORNEGAY, *Attorney.*

166 Be it further remembered That on said 2nd day of April, 1910, the First National Bank of Claremore asked leave to file an amended answer to the interplea of Citizens Bank, which is as follows:

167 In the District Court of Craig County, State of Oklahoma.

No. —.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & Co. and STOCK YARDS BANK, Interveners; Vinita National Bank, Intervener; Citizens Bank, Intervener; J. C. Hogan, Intervener; Mary D. Mayes, Intervener, and First National Bank of Vinita, Intervener.

Amended Answer to the Interplea of Citizens Bank.

The plaintiff herein, by way of amended answer to the interplea of Citizens Bank, repeats its former answer and says:

I.

That it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the defendant, Wat Mayes, is indebted in any manner to the interpleader, and it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the defendant, Wat Mayes, executed any note to the interpleader, or whether the interpleader holds any note executed by him; and it says it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the interpleader holds any mortgage executed by Wat Mayes or anyone else, upon the property attached in this case. Neither has it sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not any mortgage so executed was recorded or filed for record at any place.

168 It therefore denies that the interpleader herein holds any note or mortgage executed by Wat Mayes; and it therefore denies that the interpleader herein has any lien for any sum upon the property attached in this case, and it prays for judgment for its costs.

II.

It says that said mortgage, if it exists, came into existence after the running of the attachment in this cause.

(Signed) FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE.
By W. H. KORNEGAY, *Attorney.*

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Craig, ss:

I, W. H. Kornegay, on oath do say; I am attorney for the plaintiff and I believe the statements of the foregoing amended answer are true.

(Signed) W. H. KORNEGAY.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10th day of March, 1910.
[SEAL.] (Signed) CARL F. CRONINGER,
Notary Public.

My commission expires November 26, 1912.

169 Be it further remembered That on said 2nd day of April, 1910, the First National Bank of Claremore asked leave to file an amended answer to the interplea of Vinita National Bank, which is as follows:

170 In the District Court of Craig County, State of Oklahoma.

No. —.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & Co. and STOCK YARDS BANK, Interveners; Vinita National Bank, Intervener; Citizens Bank, Intervener; J. C. Hogan, Intervener; Mary D. Mayes, Intervener, and First National Bank of Vinita, Intervener.

Amended Answer to the Interplea of Vinita National Bank.

The plaintiff herein, by way of amended answer to the interplea of Vinita National Bank, repeats its former answer and says:

I.

That it admits the corporate existence of the interpleader and that the attachment has been sued out as stated in the interplea, but it avers the fact to be that it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether Wat Mayes, on the 15th day of December, 1899, or at any other time, executed the note in question to the interpleader for the sum of $4500.00 or any other sum, and for that reason it denies the same and requires that the interpleader be required to prove the same. And it further shows that it has not sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to whether or not the said Wat Mayes executed to the interpleader a chattel mortgage upon 500 head of cows, or upon any cows, and their increase, and for that reason it denies the same and requires strict proof thereof. And it further says that it has not sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to whether or
171 not the said mortgage was on the 29th day of December, 1899, or at any other time, filed in the office of the United States

Clerk for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Muskogee, and it requires strict proof of the same, but it avers the fact to be that if this was done, that the interpleader did not acquire any lien thereby upon any of the property attached in this cause, and as to whether or not the said mortgage has been renewed as stated in the interplea, this plaintiff is not advised, and has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief thereon, and as to the payments it has not sufficient information.

The plaintiff avers the fact to be that the cattle in controversy at all times were located in what is now the Fifth Recording District of the Northern District of the Indian Territory, and that the interpleader, by virtue of the renewals set forth therein, did not keep alive its lien, as required by law, as against an attaching creditor upon such cattle as were embraced in the mortgage, but the plaintiff does not admit the identity of the cattle attached in this case with the cattle mortgaged to the interpleader, if any cattle were so mortgaged. The plaintiff, however, avers the fact to be that it has a mortgage on certain Real Estate, made by Wat Mayes, but it is informed and believes and charges that since said mortgage was made to it, said Wat Mayes to secure a valid indebtedness, has mortgaged the same property to the First National Bank of Vinita, Indian Territory, and the plaintiff further avers the fact to be that the said Real Estate is not near enough to discharge the plaintiff's indebtedness.

Wherefore, the plaintiff prays that the interpleader take nothing by reason of its interplea herein, and that the plaintiff recover its costs in this behalf expended.

II.

It says that under the order of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, the cattle attached in
172 this case were sold and the proceeds held by the Receiver, and that under the decree in this case the lots mentioned in the interplea of Vinita National Bank were also sold, and that the Receiver has paid, out, in accordance with the decree of the United States Court, to the First National Bank of Claremore $—, which it holds, and that the Receiver has paid to the First National Bank of Vinita $— and the remain-*ed* of said fund has been paid to Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek and J. C. Hogan, and that no part of said funds are the proceeds of any cattle mortgaged to Vinita National Bank.

(Signed) FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE.
By W. H. KORNEGAY, *Attorney.*

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Craig, ss:

I, W. H. Kornegay, on oath do say, that I am attorney for the plaintiff and that I believe the statements of the foregoing amended answer are true.

(Signed) W. H. KORNEGAY.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10th day of March, 1910.
[SEAL.] (Signed) CARL F. CRONINGER,
Notary Public.

My commission expires November 26, 1912.

173 Be it further remembered That on said 2nd day of April, 1910, the First National Bank of Claremore asked leave to file an answer to the interplea of Mary D. Mayes, which is as follows:

174 In the District Court of Craig County, State of Oklahoma.

No. —.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & Co. and STOCK YARDS BANK, Interveners; Vinita National Bank, Intervener; Citizens Bank, Intervener; J. C. Hogan, Intervener; Mary D. Mayes, Intervener, and First National Bank of Vinita, Intervener.

Answer to Interplea of Mary D. Mayes.

The plaintiff herein, by way of answer to the interplea of Mary D. Mayes, says:
That said mortgage, if it exists, came into existence after the running of the attachment in this cause.
(Signed) FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE,
By W. H. KORNEGAY, *Attorney.*

175 Be it further remembered That on said 2nd day of April, 1910, the matters and things involved in said applications coming on for hearing, the First National Bank of Claremore appeared by W. H. Kornegay, its attorney, and the defendant, Wat Mayes, appeared in person and without counsel, and the intervener Vinita National Bank came by its attorney, W. B. Thompson, and C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank came by their attorney, R. F. Blair, and the First National Bank of Vinita came by its attorney, W. H. Kornegay, and the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek and John C. Hogan and Mary D. Mayes came by their attorney, J. H. Langley, and thereupon the following proceedings were had:

Thereupon, counsel for the plaintiff, First National Bank of Claremore, and intervener First National Bank of Vinita presents to the Court an application heretofore made by the First National Bank of Claremore and the First National Bank of Vinita for leave to amend the pleadings in the case, and in support of this motion offers a certified copy of the ruling of the Supreme Court upon a request to pronounce a final judgment in that Court and asks that said certified copy of the ruling of the Supreme Court upon request to pronounce

final judgment be by the stenographer marked for identification "Exhibit A," which exhibit is in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

176 In the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

No. 863.

C. M. KEYS & Co. and STOCK YARDS BANK, Appellants,
vs.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, WAT MAYES, FIRST National Bank of Vinita, Vinita National Bank, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, and Mary D. Mayes, Appellees.

No. 866.

VINITA NATIONAL BANK, Appellant,
vs.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF Vinita, Wat Mayes, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, and Mary D. Mayes, Appellees.

Request that the Court Pronounce Final Judgment.

The First National Bank of Claremore and the First National Bank of Vinita in each of the above appeals, which have been consolidated; ask the Court, in view of the fact that this was an equity case pending on appeal in the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory at the time of the admission of the State of Oklahoma into the Union, to render a final decree herein, and they ask the Court upon the record herein not to remand the case to the District Court of Craig County, the successor of the United States Court at Vinita; and they further ask the Court in the decree to specifically distribute the funds derived from the sale of the cattle and also from the town lots involved in this case.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE,
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF VINITA,
By W. H. KORNEGAY, *Attorney.*

177 STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Craig, ss:

I, Carl F. Croninger, on oath do say: That on the 24th day of September, 1909, I sent by registered mail to Robert F. Blair, at Wagoner, Oklahoma, who is counsel for C. M. Keys & Co., and also counsel for Stock Yards Bank, a copy of the foregoing request.

CARL F. CRONINGER.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 25th day of September, 1909.

[SEAL.] JAS. HUNT, JR.,
Notary Public.

My commission expires 9/16/1911.

Service of the foregoing request is acknowledged this 25th day of September, 1909.

WM. P. THOMPSON,
Attorney for Vinita National Bank.

Said request is endorsed as follows, to-wit: No. 853—C. M. Keys & Co. et al., Appellants, vs. First Nat'l Bank of Claremore et al., Appellees, & No. 866—Vinita National Bank, Appellant, vs. First National Bank of Claremore et al. Appellees,—Request that Court Pronounce Final Judgment—W. H. Kornegay, Att'y for First Nat'l Bank of Claremore, and 1st Nat'l Bank of Vinita—Filed Sep. 27, 1909, W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk.

178 In the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

No. 853.

C. M. KEYS & Co. and STOCK YARDS BANK, Appellants,
vs.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, WAT MAYES, FIRST National Bank of Vinita, Vinita National Bank, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, and Mary D. Mayes, Appellees.

No. 866.

VINITA NATIONAL BANK, Appellant,
vs.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF Vinita, Wat Mayes, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, and Mary D. Mayes, Appellees.

Request that the Court Pronounce Final Judgment.

The Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan and Mary D. Mayes, in each of the above appeals, which have been consolidated, ask the court, in view of the fact that this was an equity case pending on appeal in the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory at the time of the admission of the State of Oklahoma into the Union, to render a final decree herein, and they ask the court upon the record herein not to remand the case to the District Court of Craig County, the successor of the United States Court at Vinita; and they further ask the court in the decree to specifically distribute the funds derived from the sale of the cattle and also from the town lots involved in this case.

CITIZENS BANK,
J. C. HOGAN,
MARY D. MAYES,
By J. HOWARD LANGLEY, *Attorney.*

Endorsed: No. 853 and 866—C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, Appellants, vs. First National Bank of Claremore et al., Ap-

pellees, & Vinita National Bank, Appellant, vs. First National Bank of Claremore et al., Appellees—Request that the Court Pronounce Final Judgment—Filed Oct. 4, 1909, W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk.

179 And afterward, at the September, 1909, Term of the Supreme Court, of the State of Oklahoma, on the 18th day of October, 1909, the following order was made by said court in the above consolidated causes, to-wit:

#853.

C. M. KEYS & Co. et al., Appellants,
vs.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE et al., Appellees.

#866.

VINITA NATIONAL BANK, Appellant,
vs.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Appellee.

Ordered by the Court, that the request that the court pronounce final judgment in the above cause, be, and the same is hereby denied.

180 *Certificate.*

I, W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, do hereby certify that the above, annexed and foregoing is a full, true and complete copy of the Requests that the Court Pronounce Final Judgment, with the endorsement, and the order of the said Supreme Court denying said Request in the consolidated causes, No. 853, C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, Appellants, vs. First National Bank of Claremore, et al., Appellees, and No. 866, Vinita National Bank, Appellant, vs. First National Bank of Claremore, et al., Appellees, as the same remain on file and of record in my office.

In witness whereof I hereto set my hand and affix the seal of said court, at Guthrie, this 10th day of March, 1910.

[SEAL.] W. H. L. CAMPBELL,
Clerk Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.
(Signed) By W. M. BONNER, *Deputy.*

Endorsed: "Pl'ff Ex. A., J. A. S. Bond."

181 Counsel for interveners C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, at this objects to the offer made by counsel for the plaintiff, First National Bank of Claremore, and intervener First National Bank of Vinita, for the reason and on the grounds that if this Court should allow the same it would be in direct violation of the mandate of the Supreme Court and would be in contempt of that Court's ruling, and for the further reason that permission was not

asked of that Court by counsel for plaintiff, First National Bank of Claremore, and intervener First National Bank of Vinita to amend the pleadings in the retrial of this case.

And the Court, being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, doth sustain the objection of counsel for interveners C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank and denies the motion made by counsel for the plaintiff, First National Bank of Claremore, and intervener First National Bank of Vinita; and, to the action of the Court in denying his motion, counsel for plaintiff, First National Bank of Claremore, and intervener First National Bank of Vinita at the time excepted.

Thereupon, counsel for interveners Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek and J. C. Hogan and Mary D. Mayes, stating that he has heretofore filed an application for leave to file an answer to the intervention of C. M. Keys & Co., and Stock Yards Bank, now renews that application and offers in support thereof the certified copy of the ruling of the Supreme Court upon a request to pronounce final judgment which has been marked "Exhibit A."

182 Counsel for interveners C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank objects to the offer made by counsel for interveners Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, and Mary D. Mayes on the grounds and for the reason that if this Court should allow the same it would be in direct violation of the mandate of the Supreme Court and would be in contempt of that Court's ruling, and for the further reason that permission was not asked of that Court by counsel for interveners Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek and J. C. Hogan and Mary D. Mayes, to amend the pleadings in the retrial of this case.

And the Court, being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, doth sustain the objection of counsel for interveners C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank and denies the motion of counsel for interveners Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan and Mary D. Mayes; and to the action of the Court in denying his motion, counsel for interveners Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan and Mary D. Mayes at the time excepted.

And thereupon the opinion of the Supreme Court of Oklahoma is introduced in evidence and by the Court considered which said opinion is as follows:

183 Filed Sep. 10, 1908. W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk of the Supreme Court. By —— ——, Deputy.

In the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

No. 853.

C. M. KEYS & COMPANY et al., Appellants,
vs.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE et al., Appellees.

And

No. 866.

VINITA NATIONAL BANK, Appellant,
vs.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE et al., Appellees.

(Filed 10th Day of September, 1908.)

(On Rehearing September 14, 1909.)

1. Where a chattel mortgage was filed or recorded at Muskogee, in the Northern District of the Indian Territory, which was the proper place of recordation at the time thereof, and by a subsequent Act of Congress the Northern district was subdivided and Vinita made the recording office for the part of the Northern District in which the property mortgage was situated, such change did not affect the validity of such recordation.
2. The record of a chattel mortgage, in a district where the property covered thereby lies, though upon subdivision of the district subsequent to the record of the mortgage the property may fall within the new district, is notice to purchasers or incumbrancers.
3. That part of the Act of Congress providing for the record of deeds and other conveyances and instruments of writing in the Indian Territory, which provides that, "Such instruments heretofore recorded with the Clerk of the United States Court of the Indian Territory shall not be required to be again recorded under this provision, but shall be transferred to the indexes without further cost, and such record heretofore made shall be of full force and effect, the same as if made under this statute," casts no additional duties upon mortgagees who, prior to its passage, had filed or recorded their instruments in conformity with the recordation law then in force.

(Syllabus by the Court.)

184 William P. Thompson, Attorney for Appellant The Vinita National Bank.

Nev. Campbell and Robert F. Blair, Attorneys for Appellants C. M. Keys and Company and Stock Yards Bank.

W. H. Kornegay, Attorney for First National Bank of Claremore and First National Bank of Vinita.

J. Howard Langley and C. J. Taylor, Attorneys for Citizens Bank, J. C. Hogan, and Mary D. Mayes.

Appeal from the United States Court for the Northern District, Sitting at Vinita, Indian Territory.

Before Joseph A. Gill, Judge.

Modified.

Opinion of the Court by Kane, J.

This suit was commenced on the 20th day of July, 1905, by the appellee, First National Bank of Claremore, by filing its complaint at law in the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Vinita, against Wat Mayes, alleging that the defendants, Wat Mayes, was indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of $4386.09 on one certain promissory note, and the sum of $371.98 upon a second promissory note, and the sum of $111.82 on account. On the same day it filed an affidavit in attachment, and caused an order of attachment to be issued against the property of Wat Mayes. After the suit was commenced and attachment levied, numerous creditors of Wat Mayes made application to the court for leave to interplead and set up their respective claims to priority in the distribution of the estate of Mr. Mayes, who at that time, was hopelessly insolvent. The court permitted the creditors to interplead and the cause was referred to a Master in Chancery to make findings of fact and conclusions of law, and report the same to the court. On the 23rd day of August the plaintiff and the
185 various interpleaders made application to the court for the appointment of a receiver to take charge of and dispose of the attached property, and on the same day the court granted their prayer and appointed G. W. Mayes receiver, who afterwards qualified and took charge of and disposed of the attached property, and turned the proceeds thereof, amounting to $11,234.47, into court. In due time the Master made his report and the court entered a decree in accordance with the recommendations therein contained, and ordered that out of the money received from the sale of the attached property there be paid by the receiver all costs, including the Master's fee of $350.00, and that out of the remainder be paid the respective claims of the parties to the suit in full as far as the proceeds would extend, in the following order:

1. To First National Bank of Vinita, its claim amounting to $4,144.42.

2. To First National Bank of Claremore, its claim amounting to $5413.99.

3. To Citizens' Bank of Pryor Creek, its claim, amounting to $2083.33.

4. To J. C. Hogan, his claim, amounting to $6801.96.

5. To Mary D. Mayes, her claim, amounting to $1802.86.

6. To Vinita National Bank, its claim, amounting to $809.77.

7. To C. M. Keys and Company and Stock Yards Bank, their claim, amounting to $17,245.31.

From this judgment Vinita National Bank, and C. M. Keys and Company and Stock Yards Bank, prosecuted their separate appeals to the Court of Appeals of the Indian Territory, which appeals were consolidated in that court, and the cause came to this court under the terms of the enabling act and the schedule to the Constitution.

186 The grounds upon which the Vinita National Bank bases its right to a reversal of the judgment of the court below, are:

That Wat Mayes, the original defendant, on the 15th day of December, 1899, was the owner of and in possession of a large herd of cattle, which were unincumbered. That in order to obtain credit at the Vinita National Bank for the sum of $4500.00, he executed on said date his note for that sum, due 6 months after date, and as security for the payment of said note he also executed a chattel mortgage on said cattle, which said mortgage was by the First National Bank filed for record with the Recorder of the Northern district of the Indian Territory. That said mortgage so filed was renewed from year to year by renewal affidavits filed in accordance with the provisions of the Statutes of Arkansas, in force in the Indian Territory. That the property taken under the writ of attachment consisted of part of the cattle included in said mortgage, and that said mortgage was a valid lien against said cattle at the time the writ of attachment was issued and levied.

C. M. Keys and Company and Stock Yards Bank base their right to a reversal upon the grounds that on the 29th day of October, 1901, Wat Mayes, the original defendant herein, made, executed and delivered to said C. M. Keys and Company his promissory note for $34,804.04, payable 6 months after date, and to secure the payment of said note said defendant on said date made, executed and delivered to said C. M. Keys and Company a chattel mortgage upon 2835 head of mixed cattle and their increase and additions thereto, kept and located in the Northern district of the Indian Territory, about 3 miles southeast of Pryor Creek in said Territory. That on the 31st day of October, 1901, said C. M. Keys and Company filed said chattel mortgage for record in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court, then ex-officio Recorder

187 for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Muskogee, which said mortgage was duly recorded in said office by the Clerk. That said mortgage covered all the property taken under the writ of attachment. That after the date of said notes and before the same became due, the said C. M. Keys and Company

for a valuable consideration, sold and transferred said note to the Stock Yards Bank by indorsement and assigned and transferred to the Stock Yards Bank said chattel mortgage given by said defendant to secure said note. That when said note became due said defendant, Wat Mayes, was unable to pay the same in full, but made settlement by paying part of the indebtedness recited in said note and by giving his note for the remainder thereof, and that from time to time thereafter said defendant, Wat Mayes, made various payments upon said note, until on to-wit, the 1st day of March, 1905, he made, executed and delivered to said C. M. Keys and Company one certain promissory note for $12,026.74, payable to the order of said C. M. Keys and Company 6 months after date at National Stock Yards Bank. That before said last named note became due, for a valuable consideration, and in due course of business, said C. M. Keys and Company indorsed said note to said Stock Yards Bank, which notes were all covered in said $34,804.04, note secured by said chattel mortgage. That on the — day of February, 1905, said defendant, Wat Mayes, Made, executed and delivered to said C. M. Keys and Company his promissory note for $500.00. That from time to time various payments were made upon said notes, but after deducting all of said payments so made by said defendant, a balance of $15,288.69 was still due upon said notes.

The foregoing statement of facts is in practical harmony with the undisputed evidence. Counsel for all the parties filing briefs admit that the main questions of law involved are:

188 1. Was it necessary for appellants, under Chap, 888, U. S. Stat. at Large, approved May 27, 1902, which divided the Northern district of the Indian Territory into two parts, making the office of the Clerk of the United States Court at Vinita, Indian Territory, the recording office for the Northern District, to record their mortgages at Vinita, when they had already filed or recorded them in the office of the Clerk of the Court at Muskogee.

2. Was it necessary, in order to preserve their lines upon the cattle described in their mortgages filed and recorded at Muskogee, to have such mortgages indexed at Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, under Chap. 707, U. S. Stat. at Large, approved February 19, 1903?

The Master found, that subsequent to the passage and approval of the Act of Congress of the 27th day of May, 1902, it was the duty of the appellants, if they desired to preserve their liens as against subsequent mortgagees or additional creditors, to have their mortgages filed or recorded at Vinita, Indian Territory and after the passage and approval of the Act of Congress of the 19th day of February, 1903, supra, to index their mortgages at Pryor Creek, and as much as appellants had failed to do these things, their mortgages were void as to subsequent attaching creditors and mortgagees.

The court, in its decree, in addition to adopting the conclusions of the Master, further found that the mortgage of the appellant, C. M. Keys and Company and Stock Yards Bank, was an absolute fraud

by reason of their failure to notify people of the various payments that had been made and the transfers that were made.

189 At the time the mortgages were made, executed and delivered and at all subsequent times up to the sale by the receiver, the cattle involved in this controversy were kept and located in the Northern district of the Indian Territory, about 3 miles southeast of Pryor Creek, in district number 5, Vinita and Pryor Creek, respectively, being the places of recordation after the passage of the Act of Congress dated the 27th day of May, 1902, supra, and after the passage of the Act of Congress dated the 19th day of February, 1903, supra.

It was admitted that all the creditors, except Mary D. Mayes, had actual knowledge of the existence of the chattel mortgages of the Vinita National Bank of C. M. Keys and Company.

From time to time Congress made such provisions for the recordation of mortgages in the Indian Territory as was deemed necessary to meet the needs of the people under the conditions therein existing. By the law in force at the time the mortgages herein were given, filed and recorded Muskogee was the proper recording office for the district in which the property was situated. At that time these mortgages were filed, in the case of the Vinita National Bank, and recorded in the case of C. M. Keys and Company, at the proper place and in the manner required by law. By the Act approved May 27, 1902, 32 U. S. Stat. at Large, 888, the Western district was carved out of the Northern district, and Muskogee remained the recording office for the Western District, and Vinita was made the recording office for the new Northern district. The language of the Act concerning the recording office for the Northern district reads as follows:

"That the Clerk's office at Vinita also be the recorder's office for the Northern District, except that the Clerk's office at Miami shall continue to be the recording office for the Quapaw Indian Agency as now provided by law."

190 Congress did not consider it necessary to provide by the Act forming the Northern District and providing that the clerk's office at Vinita should be the recorder's office therein, that mortgages theretofore recorded in the Northern district before division should again be recorded in the new district in order to preserve and continue the public notice given by the original recordation. Congress merely divided the district, leaving Muskogee, which had been the recording office for the whole district prior to the division, the recording office for the Western district and making Vinita the recording office for the Northern district. No provision was made for re-recording instruments covering property which after the division would be in the new Northern District, at Vinita. Under such circumstances it cannot be said that it was incumbent upon the mortgagees herein, having complied with the law as it was at the time they took their mortgages, to refile or re-record them at Vinita, after the division of the district, in order to give constructive notice to subsequent purchasers or incumbrancers.

"Where the deed is deposited for record in the office of the re-

corder of the county in which the land is located at the time, and by a subsequent subdivision the land falls within the boundaries of another county, such change will not affect the validity of the registration." Wade on notice, Sec. 195.

In Melton, et al. vs. Turner, 38 Tex. 81, Mr. Justice Walker says:

"The record of a deed in a county where the land lies through upon subdivision of the county subsequent to the record of the deed, the land may fall within the new subdivision, is notice to purchasers."

The foregoing authorities seem to be decisive of the first proposition involved in this case.

On the second proposition we are of the opinion that that part of the "Act providing for record of deeds and other conveyances and instruments of writing in Indian Territory," which provides
that, "such instruments heretofore recorded with the Clerk
191 of the United States Court of the Indian Territory shall not
be required to be again recorded under this provision, but shall be transferred to the indexes without further cost, and such record heretofore made shall be of full force and effect, the same as if made under this statute," casts no additional burdens or duties upon the mortgagees in relation to transferring to the indexes mortgages which had theretofore been properly filed or recorded in the proper recording office. We do not believe that a fair construction of the language above quoted will justify the interpretation sought to be given to it by Counsel for the appellees or the conclusions of law drawn from the facts by the court below.

The language of the Act does not in so many words make it the duty of the mortgagees to transfer their mortgages to the indexes; neither does it directly cast this burden upon the Clerks of the court, but we believe the implication is much plainer that it was intended that the Clerk should do such work, than that it should be performed by the mortgagees.

The Act of Congress specifically provides, "such instruments heretofore recorded with any Clerk of the United States Court shall not be required to be again recorded under this provision, * * * and such record heretofore made shall be of force and effect the same as if made under this statute." That part of the Act that caused the original plaintiff and the interveners to attack the mortgages of C. M. Keys & Company and the Vinita National Bank is that part enclosed in the above clause by commas, which reads: "but shall be transferred to the indexes without further costs." We have no doubt that the indexes referred to had reference to the system of recordation prescribed by the laws of Arkansas, as modified by Acts of Congress, which were then in force in the Indian Territory, and that it was the intention of Congress that the transferring to the indexes should be done by the same officer who under said laws had charge of such indexes.

Section 5560, Mansfield's Digest, supra, provides that:
192 "When any deed, mortgage, deed of trust, bond, conveyance or other instrument of writing authorized by law to be recorded

shall be deposited in the recorder's office for record, the recorder shall enter in a book to be provided for that purpose, in alphabetical order, the names of the persons and date and nature thereof, the time of deliver- for record," etc.

Section 5565, provides that:

"Each recorder shall, in like manner, make, keep and preserve a full and perfect alphabetical index to all books of record in his office wherein all deeds and instruments of writing in relation to personal property, marriage contracts, certificates of marriage and all other papers are recorded," etc.

It will be noticed that wherever "indexes" *of* "indexing" is mentioned, such work is always to be done by the recorder, and never by the mortgagor or mortgagee. Another indication, to our mind, that the recorder was to transfer these instruments to the indexes is the statement that it should be done "without further cost." The recorder is the person who ordinarily does such work and who is always paid for it. The Act above quoted made it the duty of someone to do this clerical work without further cost, which evidently meant that the proper person to do it would be the person who had hitherto been entitled to receive fees for like services in relation to the same class of instruments.

Furthermore, Section 4743, Mansfield's Digest, provides that, "Every mortgage, whether for real or personal property, shall be a lien on the mortgaged property from the time the same is filed in the recorder's office for record, and not before; which filing shall be notice to all persons of the existence of such mortgage."

Section 4750, Mansfield's Digest, provides that:

"Whenever any mortgage or conveyance, intended to operate as a mortgage or personal property, or any deed of trust upon personal property, shall be filed with any recorder in this state, upon which is indorsed the following: 'This instrument is to be filed but not recorded,' and which indorsement is signed by the mortgagee, his agent or attorney the said instrument, when so received, shall be marked, 'Filed' by the recorder, with the time of filing upon the back of such instrument; and he shall file the same in his office, and it shall be a lien on the property therein described from the time of filing, and the same shall be kept there for the in-
193 spection of all persons interested; and said instrument shall be thenceforth notice to all the world of the contents thereof without further record, except as hereinafter provided."

The mortgage of Vinita National Bank was filed under the provisions of the first section, and the mortgage of C. M. Keys and Company was recorded at length; these were the recognized ways, under the Laws of Arkansas in force in the Indian Territory, of giving constructive notice to the world of the existence of chattel mortgages. The Act of Congress that provided that chattel mortgages so recorded shall be transferred to the indexes without further cost did not annul the filing or recording required by the laws of Arkansas nor can it be said to have made such "filing" less efficacious to give constructive notice to the world than it was before. It is "filing" and not "recording," or "transferring to the indexes," that

constitutes notices under the law, and as these mortgages, at the time they were made, were "filed" according to the laws then in force, it must be held that they were notice to all persons of their existence, and that they continued to be so notwithstanding the foregoing changes of the recording Acts.

Counsel for Citizens Bank, et al., argue in their brief: "Again, if it is the duty of the clerk, let us inquire what particular clerk's duty it is, so that we may fix responsibility on somebody. Surely not the duty of the clerk at Pryor Creek, for how is he to know *what* appellants have a mortgage on record at Muskogee which should be indexed at Pryor Creek? Surely not the clerk at Muskogee, for he has no control over the Pryor Creek records." We do not believe the above objections to be insuperable barriers to the performance of the duties required of the recorder by the Act of Congress, and such excuse for non-performance was not urged by him as a reason
194 for non-performance. In Answer to a question put to him at the trial as to why he had not transferred all such mortgages to the indexes, he answered: "Simply because the Act of Congress—there was no appropriation for it." And that was probably the real reason why it was not done; that it was not his duty to have done so seems never to have occurred to him. It is a universal rule that omissions by a public officer in the mode of complying with forms prescribed to him by the law as his duty, are not permitted to affect other parties who are not in fault. The following cases are cited by counsel for the Vinita National Bank to support this proposition: Oats vs. Walls, 28 Ark. 245; Case and Co. vs. Hargadine, 43 Ark. 144; U. S. vs. Castillero, 2 Black, 1-95; Jones on Chattel Mortgages, 3rd Ed., Secs. 271-2.

There is no question of actual fraud in this case, nor is there claimed to be any. The court below found that the original plaintiff was indebted to all the parties in the amounts they alleged, and that their various promissory notes and chattel mortgages were perfectly valid and legitimade. The First National Bank of Claremore started the proceeding on the theory alone that the mortgages of C. M. Keys and Company and Stock Yards Bank and Vinita National Bank were not constructive notice to subsequent purchasers and incumbrancers because they had not been transferred to the indexes, and commenced its attachment suit, and all the other creditors came in and got such security as they could for the amounts due them, and the only question of serious dispute was the order in which each creditor should be paid out of the
195 proceeds arising from the sale of the cattle. We believe the mortgages of the appellants, the Vinita National Bank and C. M. Keys and Company and Stock Yanrds Bank *was* perfectly valid instruments and their acts in placing them of record and keeping them alive were in substantial compliance with the laws relating to recordation. Believing this and finding no reversible error in the remaining contentions of appellees, it follows that all the claims should be paid in the order of their respective dates, viz: First: The Vinita National Bank; Second; C. M. Keys and Company and Stock Yards Bank; the other creditors taking their places after the

Vinita National Bank, and C. M. Keys and Company and Stock Yards Bank for the amounts and in the order decreed by the court below. Let the judgment of the court below be modified to conform with this opinion.

All the justices concur, except Turner, J., not participating.

On Rehearing.

Per Curiam:

A rehearing was granted in this case upon the ground that the renewal affidavits of the Vinita National Bank mortgage were not in conformity with the law governing such renewals at the time they were made. Upon a more careful examination of the record it appears that the Master found "That said mortgage has since been renewed by filing renewal affidavits in the office of the Clerk of the United States Court and Ex-officio recorder, at Muskogee, Indian Territory, on the following dates: December 14, 1900; December 12, 1901; December 20, 1902; December 14, 1903; December 12, 1904. That thereafter defendant made payments on said note, and reduced the amount thereof to $729.04, which sum is due
196 said Vinita National Bank from said defendant." No exception was saved to this finding below, nor is there any cross appeal or assignment of error in this court raising the question by any one who would be injured by the preference given this security. C. M. Keys and Company and Stock Yards Bank acknowledged the validity of the Vinita National Bank mortgage, and as the payment of their claim would more than exhaust the funds accruing from the sale of the property involved, no one else could be benefited by displacing the Vinita National Bank from its place of vantage as a creditor unless C. M. Keys and Company and Stock Yards Bank could also be displaced. We believe however that we reached the right conclusion in our former opinion, but as counsel for the defendants in their briefs on rehearing urge with earnestness certain propositions that they touched upon casually in their former brief, we will further notice these propositions here. They are: (1) That the C. M. Keys and Company mortgage was good between the parties, but was not good as against third parties, because not properly acknowledged, and (2) it is further insisted that the said C. M. Keys and Company mortgage was "fraudulent at the time of running the attachment, and the fraud came out from the dealings with reference to the cattle and was actual, and that there was so much actual fraud that on the admitted and proven facts the mortgage was void."

I. The C. M. Keys & Company mortgage was introduced in evidence without objection, the master making no finding as to the sufficiency of the acknowledgment, and the court below did not notice the question in any way, nor did counsel for any of
197 the numerous parties. The first time, as far as the record discloses, that this question was raised was in this court by counsel for First National Bank of Claremore and First National Bank of Vinita in his brief. The point he seeks to make is, that the

acknowledgment is not in conformity with section 656, Mansfield's Digest, which was in force in the Indian Territory when the mortgage was executed, in that the words, "and set forth" were omitted from the acknowledgment. Section 656, supra, provides that, "The acknowledgment of all deeds, instruments in writing, for the conveyance of real estate, or that affect such real estate in law or in equity, shall be by the grantor presented to such court or officer having authority by law to take such acknowledgment, and state that the same was executed for the consideration therein mentioned and set forth." It is true that the last three words of the above section are omitted from the acknowledgment, but we do not believe that this omission makes the instrument void. To our mind these words add nothing to the meaning of the language of the section. The words "and set forth" may be a little more appropriate than the word "mentioned" in referring to the contents of a written instrument, but if there is anything in the instrument that is mentioned, it must be also set forth, and if it is "set forth," it must be "mentioned" therein according to the meaning of the words, as used in that section. At any rate the acknowledgment is substantially in the form prescribed by the statute, and that, to our mind, is sufficient.

II. As disclosed by the pleadings, this controversy was commenced by the First National Bank of Claremore bringing an original suit on the promissory note and an open account and having no attachment issued. Then all the other parties came in and set up their respective claims. The answer of the First National
198 Bank of Claremore to the interplea of C. M. Keys and Company and Stock Yards Bank discloses the issues upon which the case was tried. Omitting the caption, title and signature thereto, it reads as follows: "The plaintiff herein for answer to the interplea of C. M. Keys & Co., a partnership composed of John M. Keys and Hugh Mills, and Stock Yards Bank, says:

That it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the defendant Wat Mayes, is indebted in any manner to the interpleader, and it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the defendant Wat Mayes, executed any note to the interpleader, or whether the interpleader holds any note executed by him; and it says it has not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not the interpleader holds any mortgage executed by Wat Mayes or anyone else, upon the property attached in this case. Neither has it sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether or not any mortgage so executed was recorded or filed for record at any place.

It therefore denies that the interpleader herein holds any note or mortgage executed by Wat Mayes; and it therefore denies that the interpleader herein has any lien for any sum upon the property attached in this case, and it prays for judgment for its costs." It will be seen from these pleadings that no question of fraud was alleged by any of the parties against the other. The referee made no

finding on the question, and the only intimation that it cut
199 any figure in the case, is a finding of the court, "That the mortgage of C. M. Keys & Company is an absolute fraud, by reason of their failure to renew or to advise people in any way, shape or form, of the various payments that had been made and the transfers that had been made." The court below adopted the report of the master in toto and inserted it in his decree, just as it was reported with the exception of the above conclusion. The court below must, of course, have been of the opinion that this conclusion was warranted by the evidence or some finding of fact of the master, but we are unable to find the evidence of finding which to our mind supports it. The case was tried below upon the theory that the chattel mortgages attached were void because they were not transferred to the indexes after the change in the registration laws and formation of new recording districts and there are no averments in the pleadings nor was there any evidence offered at the trial or any findings of fact by the master, upon which to base the conclusion of the court. The finding of the master in relation to the C. M. Keys and Company transactions with Wat Mayes is to the effect that on the 28th day of October, 1901, the defendant, Wat Mayes, made, executed and delivered to C. M. Keys and Company his certain promissory note in the sum of $34,800.04 with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from date thereof; that said defendant to secure said note made, executed and delivered to said C. M. Keys and Company, a chattel mortgage upon certain described property. That said mortgage was filed for record in the office of Charles A. Davidson, clerk of the United States Court and ex-officio
200 recorder in the northern district of the Indian Territory, at Muskogee, Indian Territory, on the 31st day of October, 1901 at 2:00 o'clock p. m., and recorded in Book M, page 286; that thereafter and before said note became due, said C. M. Keys and Company, for a valuable consideration, sold and transferred said note to Stock Yards Bank, presentment, demand, protest, and notice of protest being waived and payment at maturity guaranteed by said C. M. Keys and Company; that thereafter said defendant made settlement with said Stock Yards Bank by payment of a portion of said note and executed and delivered his promissory note for the remainder thereof, and from time to time thereafter said defendant made various payments upon said indebtedness and on the 1st day of March, 1905, made, executed and delivered his promissory note as an evidence of the remaining indebtedness due said C. M. Keys and Company, in the sum of $12,026.74, together with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date thereof: also one certain promissory note of date January 16, 1905, in the sum of $2970.95, payable to the order of C. M. Keys and Company, together with interest thereof at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date thereof; that thereafter, and before said notes became due, for a valuable consideration, said C. M. Keys and Company endorsed, negotiated and sold to intervener, Stock Yards Company, said notes, together with the mortgage heretofore described. That there is now due and unpaid upon said indebtedness the sum of

$15,288.69. That all of said indebtedness as evidenced by said notes is included in and covered by said mortgage.

From the foregoing findings of fact it is apparent that the indebtedness to C. M. Keys and Company and Stock Yards Bank was not due at the time the attachment suit was commenced; that
201 there was a large sum due C. M. Keys and Company and Stock Yards Bank from Wat Mayes, and that this sum was secured by the chattel mortgage now under consideration. No exceptions were saved to any of these findings. Counsel for defendants in error insist that the fund that vitiated the mortgages of C. M. Keys and Company and Vinita National Bank and made them void as against attaching creditors, is evidenced by the length of time after maturing they had remained unforeclosed, and by reason of the manner in which the evidence shows the cattle had been dealt with by the respective parties. We cannot agree with counsel in this contention. There is a clause in the part of the mortgage describing the promissory note originally given to C. M. Keys and Company, as follows: "One note for $34,800.04 dated Oct. 28, 1901, payable one month after date all payable at the office of C. M. Keys & Company, Nat. Stock Yds., Ill., and all with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum from date or according to the term of any renewal or extension of said note which may be made by consent of said second party, its successors or assigns, and may be evidenced by the execution of new note or notes in place of said original note at or after maturity, for the amount of the debt then unpaid and any renewals or extensions thereof, and which said note the party of the first part thereby agrees to pay on maturity thereof." The findings of fact of the Master in Chancery do not disclose that said C. M. Keys and Company or their assigns did anything they were not entitled to do by the terms of the mortgage. They had received pay-
202 ments from time to time and extended the time of payment by renewals. All this, by the terms of the mortgage, seems to be proper enough. It does not appear that any of the defendants in error gave Wat Mayes credit on the strength of the cattle covered by this mortgage or that they were prejudiced in any way by the dealings of Wat Mayes with C. M. Keys and Company or their assigns in relation to the property mortgaged. So aside from the rule that fraud is never presumed, and in order to entitle a party to relief on that ground it is essential that the fraud be distinctly alleged in the pleadings so that it may be put in issue and evidence thereof given, the charge of said fraud, by the defendants in error, upon the merits, seems to be without foundation.

Counsel for the First National Bank of Vinita and the First National Bank of Claremore state in their petition for a rehearing that if the former opinion is followed, "C. M. Keys & Company will receive the proceeds of the lots that on the petition of Vinita National Bank were marshaled in this case, and that C. M. Keys & Company have no lien whatever upon said lots until the claims of First National Bank of Claremore and First National Bank of Vinita are fully paid." As far as the record and briefs of counsel disclose, there was no dispute over the distribution of the funds arising from the sale

of the assets of Wat Mayes, except that occasioned by the ruling of the court below on the question of the validity of the chattel
203 mortgages held by C. M. Keys and Company or their assigns, and Vinita National Bank, and the decree of the court below is only disturbed insofar as it is based upon the court's findings that these chattel mortgages were void as against subsequent creditors or incumbrancers.

The former opinion of the court, as herein supplemented, is adhered to.

All the Justices concur, except Turner, J., disqualified, not participating.

204 Be it further remembered That thereupon the First National Bank of Claremore, First National Bank of Vinita, John C. Hogan, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek and Mary D. Mayes file an objection to proceeding, which is as follows:

205 In the District Court of Craig County, State of Oklahoma.
April Term, 1910.

No. —.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & Co. and STOCK YARDS BANK, Interveners; Vinita National Bank, Intervener; Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, and Mary D. Mayes, Interveners, and First National Bank of Vinita, Intervener.

Objection to Proceeding.

First National Bank of Claremore, First National Bank of Vinita, J. C. Hogan, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek and Mary D. Mayes object to the Court's making any orders in this case in any manner disturbing the judgment of the parties as against Wat Mayes, and to any order disturbing the action of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, disturbing the fund derived from the sale of the cattle sold by the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, in the case of First National Bank of Claremore vs. Wat Mayes, defendant, and the parties named above as interveners, and they object to any action by this Court disturbing the orders and judgments of said Court in said case concerning costs. They say said judgments were never appealed from in the manner required by the laws of the United States and under the laws of the United States the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma had no jurisdiction or authority to entertain the appeal
206 was taken in said case to the United States Court of Appeals in the Indian Territory, and the said Supreme Court of Ok-

lahoma had no jurisdiction or authority in any way to disturb the said judgment or to order the same to be in any way disturbed by this Court.

(Signed) FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE,
(Signed) FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF VINITA,
By W. H. KORNEGAY, *Attorney.*
(Signed) CITIZENS BANK,
(Signed) J. C. HOGAN,
(Signed) MARY D. MAYES,
(Signed) By J. H. LANGLEY, *Attorney.*

And their objections were overruled and to the action of the Court in so doing each of the objectors then and there excepted.

Be it further remembered That thereupon the counsel for intervener Vinita National Bank filed the following stipulation, towit:

207 In the District Court of Craig County, Second Judicial District of Oklahoma.

No. 659.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,

vs.

WATT MAYES, Defendant; VINITA NATIONAL BANK, C. M. KEYS & Company, Stock Yards Bank, First National Bank of Vinita, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, and Mary D. Mayes, Interveners.

Stipulation.

It is agreed by and between the First National Bank of Claremore plaintiff, the First National Bank of Vinita, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, Vinita National Bank, C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank, interveners, that the intervener, said Vinita National Bank may be now paid out of the fund arising from the sale of the cattle in controversy in this action the amount of itsdebtof $809.77 together with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per year from January 7, 1907, amounting to One Hundred and Fifty Seven and 22/100 Dollars, total Nine Hundred and Sixty Six and 99/100 Dollars, together with its costs in this behalf expended, to-wit, the sum of Ninety Two & 37/100 Dollars and that the question of which of the remaining parties shall ultimately pay the costs is left open, without further delay from plaintiff, said

1581

First National Bank of Claremore, or any of the parties to this cause.

208 Dated this 2" day of April, 1910.

(Signed) W. H. KORNEGAY,
Attorney for Plaintiff First National Bank of Claremore.

(Signed) W. H. KORNEGAY,
Attorney for First National Bank of Vinita.

(Signed) J. H. LANGLEY,
Attorney for Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, and Mary D. Mayes.

(Signed) ROBERT F. BLAIR,
Attorney for C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank.

(Signed) WM. P. THOMPSON,
Attorney for Vinita National Bank.

Endorsed: No. 659. In the District Court. First National Bank of Claremore vs. Watt Mayes, Defendant; Vinita National Bank, C. M. Keys & Company, Stock Yards Bank, First National Bank of Vinita, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, and Mary D. Mayes, Interveners. Stipulation. At Vinita, Craig County, Oklahoma. Filed in Open Court this 2 day of Apr. 1910. (Signed) D. B. Stutsman, Clerk of the District Court. By —— ——, Deputy. Recorded in Journal Three page 329.

209 Be it further remembered That thereupon, in accordance with said stipulation, a judgment is entered for the payment to Vinita National Bank out of the funds of the amount of its debt and costs.

Be it further remembered That the objections of the counsel to the filing of the papers offered were made orally and that no evidence was offered in opposition to the filing of the applications, save and except the opinion and statement of facts quoted by the Supreme Court.

And thereupon a judgment is entered in accordance with said stipulation as follows:

210 In the District Court in and for the Second Judicial District of Oklahoma for Craig County, Sitting at Vinita.

No. 659.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiffs,
vs.
WATT MAYES, Defendant; VINITA NATIONAL BANK, C. M. KEYS, Stock Yards Bank, First National Bank of Vinita, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, and Mary D. Mayes, Interveners.

Journal Entry.

This cause came on to be heard on this the 2nd day of April, 1910, in the District Court of Craig County, at Vinita, Oklahoma,

before Honorable T. L. Brown, Judge, presiding, the same being one of the days of the regular March, 1910, term of said Court, upon the mandate of the Supreme Court, which has been made a matter of record in said case, and by stipulation of all the parties to the above entitled cause herein filed, it was stipulated and agreed that the intervener, Vinita National Bank should have and recover out of the funds arising from the sale of the cattle in controversy in this action, the amount of its debt $809.07, together with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per annum from January 7, 1907, amounting to $157.22, total principal and interest $963.99, together with its costs expended to-wit: the sum of $92.37, making a total of $1059.36, which is ordered to be immediately paid without further delay from any of the parties hereto, and that the question as to which of the remaining parties shall ultimately pay the costs of $92.37 is left open, and the First National Bank of Vinita,
211 Oklahoma, and the First National Bank of Claremore, Oklahoma, and the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, Oklahoma, and J. C. Hogan, are each of them hereby ordered and directed to pay into the register of this Court or to Vinita National Bank a sufficient amount to cover the said judgment of $1059.36, without delay to be turned over to the Vinita National Bank in satisfaction of its judgment.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed, That the Vinita National Bank have and recover out of the first moneys arising from the sale of the cattle in controversy, the sum of $1059.36, with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per annum from this date until paid, and that the First National Bank of Claremore, and the First National Bank of Vinita, and the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek and J. C. Hogan, be and they are hereby ordered, and directed in accordance with the above stipulation to pay into the register of this Court or to Vinita National Bank, the sum of $1059.36, in satisfaction of this judgment, to be turned over to Vinita National Bank, together with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per annum from date until paid.

Given under my hand this the 2nd day of April, 1910.

(Signed) T. L. BROWN,
Judge District Court.

O. K.

(Signed) J. H. LANGLEY,
Attorney for Citizens Bank, J. C. Hogan, & Mary D. Mayes.

O. K.

(Signed) ROBERT F. BLAIR,
Att'y for Stock Yards Bank, C. M. Keys & Co.

O. K.

(Signed) W. H. KORNEGAY
Attorney for First National Bank of Vinita and First National Bank Claremore.

212 Be it further remembered That thereupon the Court entered a judgment, and a record of the proceedings is as follows:

213 In the District Court within and for Craig County, State of Oklahoma.

No. 659.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; VINITA NATIONAL BANK, C. M. KEYS & Co., Stock Yards Bank, First National Bank of Vinita, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, and Mary D. Mayes.

Judgment of the Court.

Now on this the 2nd day of April, 1910, the same being one of the regular days of the March, 1910, term of the District Court within and for Craig County, Second Judicial District of Oklahoma, the above entitled cause coming on to be heard before the Honorable T. L. Brown, Judge of said Court, upon a mandate of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma on a decision of said Court handed down and rendered in this case on the 10th day of September, 1908, and on a rehearing on September 14, 1908, and the Court having duly examined said mandate and having duly considered said decision of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma rendered in this case for this Court to enter a judgment herein, modified to conform with the opinion of the said Supreme Court, and the Court being fully advised in the premises; now, therefore,

It is hereby ordered, considered and decreed by this Court that the judgment of the trial court in this case heretofore rendered, be, and the same is hereby affirmed in all things as rendered ex-
214 cept that the parties to said action shall be paid their respective claims herein in full in so far as the proceeds will extend in the following order; that is to say—1st, to the Vinita National Bank its claim amounting to $809.77; 2nd, C. M. Keys & Co., and Stock Yards Bank, their claim amounting to $17,245.31, to be paid out of the funds derived from the sale of the cattle; 3rd, First National Bank of Vinita its claim amounting to $4144.42; 4th, First National Bank of Claremore its claim amounting to $5413.99; 5th, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek its claim amounting to $2083.35; 6th, J. C. Hogan his claim amounting to $6801.96; and 7th, to Mary D. Mayes, her claim amounting to $1802.88. Each to recover their costs in this action and interest at the rate of six per cent per annum from date of judgment.

To the rendition of this judgment *each of* the several parties are allowed their exceptions. And, it is further ordered by the Court that supersedeas bond be given by each of the parties who may desire to appeal from this modified judgment of the Court to be fixed

at a sum double the amount of each claim herein, together with the accrued interest on same, said bonds to be approved by the Clerk of this Court, and ninety (90) days' time given to prepare and serve a case-made upon appeal and ten (10) days' time given thereafter for the suggestion of errors and five (5) days' time allowed thereafter to settle the same.

(Signed) T. L. BROWN,
Judge of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma.

215 Be it further remembered That Counsel for First National Bank of Claremore, the plaintiff in this case, reserves an exception to the rendition of the judgment and the making thereof in the manner aforesaid, and asks the Court to specifically find the amount of the supersedeas bond required of it in this case to supersede the judgment, which the Court refused to do and counsel at the time excepted.

Counsel for the interpleader First National Bank of Vinita reserves an exception to the rendition of the judgment and the making thereof in the manner aforesaid, and asks the Court to specifically find the amount of the supersedeas bond required of it in this case to supersede the judgment, which is by the Court refused and counsel at the time excepted.

Counsel for plaintiff, First National Bank of Claremore, and intervener First National Bank of Vinita at this time asks for 120 days' time in which to make a case-made for the Supreme Court, and asks for a stay of execution, and for ninety days' time in which to make and serve a case-made and asks for a stay of execution for ten days after the expiration of the time allowed for the settling of the case-made, which is by the Court allowed.

Counsel for intervener Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek at this time reserves an exception to the entry of the judgment in the manner and the making thereof, and asks that the Court specifically find the amount of the supersedeas bond required of it to supersede the judgment, which is by the Court refused and counsel excepted.

Counsel for intervener J. C. Hogan reserves an exception to the entry of the judgment in the manner and the making thereof, and asks the Court to specifically find the amount of the supersedeas bond required of him to supersede the judgment, which is by the Court refused and counsel at the time excepted.

216 Counsel for intervener Mary D. Mayes at this time reserves an exception to the re-dation of the judgment in the manner and the making thereof, and asks that the Court specifically find the amount of the supersedeas bond required of *it* to supersede the judgment, which is by the Court refused and counsel at the time excepted.

Be it further remembered That on the 16th day of March, 1907, an order was entered as follows:

217 In the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, Sitting at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,

vs.

WAT MAYES, Defendant; STOCK YARDS BANK, C. M. KEYS & Co., Citizens Bank *od* Pryor Creek, Indian Territory; J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, First National Bank of Vinita, and Vinita National Bank, Interveners.

Order.

On this 16th day of March, 1907, it appearing that exceptions have been taken to the decree rendered herein on January seventh, 1907, by various of the parties hereto, and that appeals are to be taken from the decree, and that pending the appeals the parties entitled to the money ordered to be distributed desire that their money should be turned over to them, and that it is right and proper that each party entitled to any portion of the money shall withdraw the same upon giving a refunding bond.

It is therefore ordered, That each party shall give a bond to pay into the registry of the court, for the benefit of the parties entitled, the amount withdrawn, with four per cent interest per year thereon, when ordered by the court so to do.

(Signed) JOSEPH A. GILL,
Judge of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory.

March 16, 1907.

Now on this day is filed in open court the refunding bond of the First National Bank of Claremore and of the First Na-
218 tional Bank of Vinita, said bonds being filed in accordance with the above order of this Court this day made and entered.

219 Be it further remembered That under said order on the 16th day of March, 1907, the First National Bank of Claremore made a refunding bond, which was filed in the Court and was as follows:

220 In the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, Sitting at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; STOCK YARDS BANK, C. M. KEYS & Co., Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory; J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, First National Bank of Vinita, and Vinita National Bank, Interveners.

Refunding Bond.

We agree to pay into the registry of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, or the registry of its successor, the sum of $5476 25/100, together with interest thereon from this date at four per cent per year, this payment to be made on the order of such court and for the benefit of such of the parties in the above entitled cause as said court may order.

This 16th day of March, 1907.

(Signed) FIRST NAT'L BANK OF CLAREMORE, I. T.,
By W. E. HALSELL, *Pres.*
(Signed) W. E. HALSELL.
(Signed) J. O. HALL.
(Signed) OLIVER BAGBY.

Approved this 16" day of March, 1907.

(Signed) JOSEPH A. GILL,
Judge of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory.

221 Be it further remembered That on the 16th day of March, 1907, the First National Bank of Vinita made a refunding bond under said order of Court, which was filed in this Court and was as follows:

222 In the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, Sitting at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; STOCK YARDS BANK, C. M. KEYS & Co., Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory; J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, First National Bank of Vinita, and Vinita National Bank, Interveners.

Refunding Bond.

We agree to pay into the registry of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, or the registry of its successor, the sum of $4192.08, together with interest thereon from this date at four per cent per year, this payment to be made on the order of such court and for the benefit of such of the parties in the above entitled cause as said court may order.

This 16th day of March, 1907.

(Signed) FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF VINITA, I. T.,
By OLIVER BAGBY, *Pres.*
(Signed) OLIVER BAGBY.
(Signed) J. O. HALL.
(Signed) W. E. HALSELL.

Approved this 16 day of March, 1907.

(Signed) JOSEPH A. GILL,
Judge of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory.

223 Be it further remembered That under said order on the 26th day of April, 1907, the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek made a refunding bond, which was filed in the Court and was as follows:

224 In the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, Sitting at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; STOCK YARDS BANK, C. M. KEYS & Co., Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, I. T.; J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, First National Bank of Vinita, and Vinita National Bank, Interveners.

Refunding Bond.

We agree to pay into the Registry of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District, or the Registry of its successor the sum of $2500.00 or such lesser amount withdrawn by the Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, in the above entitled case, with interest thereon at the rate of 4% per annum from the time of such withdrawel, such payment to be made for the benefit of the parties entitled thereto when ordered by the Court to do so.

(Signed) CITIZENS BANK OF PRYOR CREEK, I. T.,
[SEAL OF CITIZENS BANK.] By J. N. WILKINSON, *Pres.*
(Signed) INTERNATIONAL BANK & TRUST COMPANY,
By J. W. ORR,
Vice Pres. & Manager.

Dated this — day of —, 1907.

Approved this 26 day of April, 1907.

(Signed) JOSEPH A. GILL,
Judge of the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory.

225 Be it further remembered That under said order on the 1st day of October, 1907, J. C. Hogan made a refunding bond, which was filed in the Court and was as follows:

226 In the United States Court for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, at Vinita.

No. 2327. Equity.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & COMPANY, STOCK YARDS Bank, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, Mary D. Mayes, J. C. Hogan, First National Bank of Vinita, and Vinita National Bank, Interveners.

Refunding Bond.

We agree to pay into the registry of the United States Court in the Northern District of the Indian Territory, or the registry of its successor, the sum of Three Thousand Five Hundred Dollars, or so much thereof as may have been paid to J. C. Hogan, intervener herein out of the funds in this cause, together with interest thereon from this date at the rate of four per cent per annum, this payment to be made on the order of such court for the benefit of such parties in the above entitled cause as said court may order.

This 1st day of October, 1907

(Signed) J. C. HOGAN.
(Signed) P. W. SAMUEL.

Approved this 1 day of October, 1907.

(Signed) LUMAN F. PARKER, JR.,
Judge of the United States Court for the Northern District of Indian Territory.

227 Be it further remembered That on June 4, 1907, the receiver filed a report of the sale of the lots, and that thereafter, on the 31st day of July, 1907, an order was made concerning said sale which is as follows:

228 **No. 2327.**

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE
vs.
WAT MAYES.

On this 31 day of July, 1907, being a day of the regular May, 1907 Term of Court, comes on for consideration on the report of the Commissioner, George W. Mayes, appointed to sell the lands involved in this case, filed herein on the 14 day of June, 1907. And the said report is by the Court considered, and it appears from said report that after due advertisement the Commissioner, on the 15th day of May, 1907, sold the property, issued certificates of purchase to the respective purchasers, and took bonds for the purchase price due and payable the 15th day of September, 1907, as follows:

Lots No. nine (9), twelve (12) and thirteen (13) in Block sixty-four (64) in the town of Pryor Creek, Indian Territory, according to the United States Survey of said town, were sold to G. A. Clem, at prices respectively: $175.00, $150.00 and $178.00; total $503.00; That Lot sixteen (16) in Block sixty-four (64), according to the United States Survey of said town, was sold to C. S. Miner for $655.00, and he gave a bond for $1310.00 with the Citizens Bank & Trust Company of Pryor Creek as his surety; The Lots No. one (1), and two (2) in Block No. thirty-nine (39) in said town, according to the same plat, were sold to R. L. Bledsoe for $530.00, and said Bledsoe gave a bond with G. A. Clem as surety in the sum of $1080.00; That Lots No. five (5) and six (6) in Block No. eighteen (18) according to the same plat, were sold respectively for $150.00 each to P. W. Samuel, and also Lot No. twenty nine (29) in Block No. thirty-five, according to the same plat was sold to him for $255.00, and he made a bond with J. C. Hogan as his surety in the sum of $1110.00; That at said sale the Commissioner sold to Nannie
229 R. Mayes Lots No. one (1) and four (4) in Block No. fifty (50), according to the same plat, for the sum of $305.00 respectively, and also Lot No. two (2) and Lot No. three (3) in Block No. thirty-two (32), according to said plat, for $880.00, and also Lots Nos. one (1) and two (2) in Block No. fifty-six (56), according to the same plat, for the sum of $115.00; making the total purchase price of the lots purchased by her $1605.11, and she gave a bond with J. C. Hogan as her surety for double that sum; that at said sale said J. C. Hogan purchased Lots No. one (1), four (4) and five (5) in Block No. fifty-nine (59), according to said plat, at prices respectively, $200.00, $125.00 and $125.00; also Lots No. six (6) and the South half (S. ½) of Lot No. three (3) in Block No. eight (8) according to the same plat, for the sum of $350.00, total $800.00 and the said Hogan made a bond with P. W. Samuel as his security in the sum of $1600.00. And it appears that all of said bonds mature on the 15th day of September, 1907, and are to secure the payment of the respective purchase prices of the respective lots. And it appearing to the Court that the action of the Commissioner in selling said lots is proper and right, and that the same should be confirmed, the action of said Commissioner in so selling said lots is in all things confirmed, and said Commissioner is ordered to make a deed to the respective parties to the lots in question as soon as the money is paid into his hands representing the purchase price, which said deed before delivery will be presented to the Court for approval.

(Signed) JOSEPH A. GILL,
Judge of the United States Court in the Indian Territory, Northern District.

230 Be it further remembered that on the 24th day of June, 1910, the plaintiff, First National Bank of Claremore, and interveners, First National Bank of Vinita, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan and Mary D. Mayes, made an application herein for an order extending the time in which to make, serve and settle case-

made herein, and that the Court allowed the prayer of said application on said 24th day of June, 1910, and an order was entered which is as follows:

231 In the District Court of Craig County, State of Oklahoma.

No. 659.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WAT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & Co., STOCK YARDS BANK, First National Bank of Vinita, Vinita National Bank, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, and Mary D. Mayes, Interveners.

Order Extending Time in which to Make, Serve, and Settle Case-Made.

On this 24th day of June, 1910, the plaintiff, First National Bank of Claremore, and interveners First National Bank of Vinita, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan and Mary D. Mayes request that an order be made extending the time for the service and settling of case-made in the above entitled cause and for a stay of execution, and good cause being shown therefor, it is ordered that the plaintiff, First National Bank of Claremore, and interveners First National Bank of Vinita, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan and Mary D. Mayes do have an extension of time up to and including the first day of August, 1910, in which to serve a case-made, and that the defendant Wat Mayes, and the interveners C. M. Keys & Co., Stock Yards Bank and Vinita National Bank be allowed ten (10) day- thereafter for the suggestion of amendments, and that the case-made be settled on five (5) days' notice of the time and place; and it is further ordered that a stay of execution herein be allowed up to September first, 1910.

(Signed) T. L. BROWN,
Judge of the Second Judicial District of the State of Oklahoma.

232 Be it further remembered That the foregoing case-made embraces all the pleadings, motions, evidence and proceedings in the cause and also all the record entries in the cause showing the rulings of the Court.

I, the undersigned, Judge of the District Court of the Second Judicial District for Craig County, Oklahoma, hereby certify that the foregoing was presented to me as a case-made in the action above entitled, wherein First National Bank of Claremore is plaintiff and Wat Mayes is defendant and First National Bank of Vinita, Vinita National Bank, Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, Mary D. Mayes, C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank are interveners, and that the plaintiff appeared before me by W. H. Kornegay, its attor-

ney, and the defendant appeared before me in person, and the intervener First National Bank of Vinita appeared before me by W. H. Kornegay, its attorney and the interveners Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan and Mary D. Mayes appeared before me by J. H. Langley, their attorney, and the intervener Vinita National Bank appeared before me by Wm. P. Thompson, its attorney, and the interveners C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank appeared before me by R. F. Blair, their attorney, and the following suggestions of amendments to the case made were offered by R. F. Blair, attorney for interveners C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank, to-wit:

In the District Court in and for Craig County, Second Judicial Dist. of Oklahoma.

No. 659.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff,
vs.
WATT MAYES, Defendant; C. M. KEYS & COMPANY et al., Interveners.

Suggestions of Amendment to Case-Made.

C. M. KEYS & COMPANY *by* STOCK YARDS BANK, Interveners.

233 And now come interveners, C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank, by their Counsel, Robert F. Blair, and suggest the following amendments to the case-made which were presented to and served upon counsel for those interveners on June 29, 1910, to-wit:

1. To strike out the page numbered 01 of said case-made, because it recites facts which were not before this Court and which are immaterial in this appeal.

2. To strick out the entire printed transcript of record, which was filed in the United States Court of Appeals in Indian Territory, and which counsel for plaintiff has attached to said case-made, because said record was not introduced in evidence befor- the Court at the time this case was heard upon the motion of these interveners to enter judgment in accordance with the mandate of the Supreme Court, and therefore said printed record has no part and ought not to be placed in the case-made on this appeal.

3. To strike out the opinion of the Supreme Court of Oklahoma, because it encumbers the record for no purpose, inasmuch as the courts are bound to take judicial cognizance of the opinion of said Supreme Court.

4. To strike out the stipulation and all reference thereto on pages 229 to 234 inclusive, which stipulation refers to the payment of the claim of the Vinita National Bank and has nothing to do with this appeal, and is, therefore, incompetent in this appeal, and is not properly a part of the case-made in this case.

5. To strike out that part of said case made beginning on page

239 and up to and including all the pages to page 252, the same containing the order by the United States Court with reference to the disposition of the funds and re-funding bonds, because the same was not introduced before this Court at this hearing and is not properly a part of the case-made on this appeal.

6. To strike out the word "referee" on page 223, the sixth line from the bottom of said page, and insert the words "master in chancery" in accordance with the opinion of the Supreme Court,
234 as shown in Volume 104 of the Pacific Reporter.

7. To strike out the words: "interveners" at the top of page 224 and insert therein the words: "Defendants in error."

8. To strike out the words: "Plaintiffs in error" on page 224 and insert therein the words: "Defendants in error," in order to conform to the correct opinion of the Supreme Court of Oklahoma in this case.

NEVLIN CAMPBELL,
ROBERT F. BLAIR,
Att'ys for C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank, Interveners.

The corrections embodied in suggestions Nos. 6, 7, and 8 are made in the case by interlineation, and I overrule suggestions Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and the Stock Yards Bank and C. M. Keys & Company, by Robert F. Blair, their attorney, except to the overruling of said suggestions.

I now settle and sign the foregoing as a true, complete and correct case-made and direct that it be attested and filed by the Clerk of the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma.

Witness my hand at Claremore, Rogers County, Oklahoma, on this the 8th day of August, 1910.

T. L. BROWN,
Judge District Court, Second Judicial District of Oklahoma.

Attest:

L. B. STUTSMAN,
Clerk District Court, Craig County, Oklahoma.

[SEAL.] By J. V. ROBERTS, *Deputy.*

235 ——, OKLAHOMA, *June* 27, 1910.

W. H. Kornegay, attorney for plaintiff and intervener First National Bank of Vinita, and J. H. Langley, attorney for interveners Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan and Mary D. Mayes, present this to the defendant and to the att'ys of interveners Vinita National Bank, C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank as a case-made in the above entifled cause.

(Signed) W. H. KORNEGAY,
Attorney for Plaintiff and Intervener First National Bank of Vinita.

(Signed) J. H. LANGLEY,
Attorney for Interveners Citizens Bank of Pryor Creek, J. C. Hogan, and Mary D. Mayes.

Service of the above case-made is acknowledged this 28" day of June, 1910. No amendment to suggest.

(Signed) WAT MAYES, *Defendant.*

Service of the above case-made is acknowledged this 27th day of June, 1910.

(Signed) WM. P. THOMPSON,
Attorney for Intervener Vinita National Bank.

Service of the above case-made is acknowledged this 29th day of June, 1910.

(Signed) ROBERT F. BLAIR,
Attorney for Interveners C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank.

No Amendment to suggest.

WM. P. THOMPSON,
Att'y for Intervener Vinita National Bank.

Endorsed: #1947, #1948, #1949, #1950. (Filed Aug. 26, 1910. W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk.)

236 In the Supreme Court of Oklahoma.

No. 1947.

First National Bank of Claremore, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. Keys et al., Defendants in Error.

No. 1948.

First National Bank of Vinita, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. Keys et al., Defendants in Error.

Motion by Defendants in Error to Dismiss Appeal by C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank.

And now come defendants in error, C. M. Keys & Company and Stock Yards Bank, and appear herein specially for the purpose of this motion only, and respectfully show to the court:

1.

That there is a defect in the parties, plaintiffs in error.

2.

That there is a defect in the parties, defendants in error.

3.

That plaintiffs in error have failed to have summons in error served upon these defendants in error as required by law.

4.

That plaintiffs in error have failed to give bond conditioned as required by law.

5.

That plaintiffs in error have failed to comply with Rule VII of this court, and prepare and serve upon counsel for opposite parties their brief.

237

6.

The petition in error is filed herein for the purpose of delay only and is therefore a fraud upon the jurisdiction of this court.

7.

That the decree entered in this case by the District Court of Craig County conforms in every particular to the mandate of this court.

8.

That the decision of this court when this case was before it on appeal judicially determines every question which is now presented by the petition in error filed herein; and the District Court of Craig County, Oklahoma, entered a decree in this case in accordance with the said decision of this court, and therefore all the questions which are now raised upon this appeal have been judicially determined by a decision of this court, as is shown by report of said decision in the case of C. M. Keys & Company vs. First National Bank of Claremore et al., 104 Pac. 346-353.

Wherefore, These defendants in error move the court to dismiss the petitions in error filed herein.

And in duty bound these plaintiffs in error will ever pray.

(Signed) ROBERT F. BLAIR,
Attorney for said Plaintiffs in Error.

Endorsed: Nos. 1947 & 1948. In the Supreme Court of Oklahoma. First National Bank of Claremore, plaintiff in error, vs. C. M. Keys et al., defendants in error. First National Bank of Vinita, plaintiffs in error, vs. C. M. Keys et al., Defendants in error. Motion by Defendants in Error, C. M. Keys & Co. and Stock Yards Bank to dismiss appeal. (Filed Oct. 7, 1910. W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk.)

238 And thereafter, to-wit: on the 10th day of November 1910, in the Supreme Court of Oklahoma, the following proceedings were had in said cause:

Supreme Court, September Term, 1910, November 10th, 1910, Twenty-third Judicial Day.

#1947.

FIRST NAT'L BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. KEYS et al., Defendants in Error.

And now on this day it is ordered by the court that the motion of defendants in error herein for leave to argue motion to dismiss filed in the above entitled cause, orally, be, and the same is hereby denied.

239 And thereafter, to-wit: on the 16th day of November 1910, in the Supreme Court of Oklahoma, the following proceedings were had in said cause:

#1947.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. KEYS et al., Defendants in Error.

And now on this day it is ordered by the court that plaintiff in error be allowed to file response to motion of defendants in error to dismiss.

239a In the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

No. 1947.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. KEYS et al., Defendants in Error.

No. 1948.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF VINITA, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. KEYS et al., Defendants in Error.

Response to Application to Dismiss Appeals.

The First National Bank of Claremore and the First National Bank of Vinita, respectively, say:

I.

That no defect in the parties plaintiff in error exists, and no defect of parties plaintiff in error has been pointed out by the defendants in error.

II.

That no defect in parties defendant in error exists and no defect has been pointed out by the defendants in error.

III.

That the plaintiffs in error have respectively had served upon the attorney of the defendants in error as shown by the record in the Court below, to-wit: Robert E. Blair, a summons in error.

IV.

That the plaintiffs in error have given bond as required by law which is on file in the Court below.

V.

That the plaintiffs in error have respectively served their briefs on the attorney of the defendants in error as shown by the record in this cause.

239*b*

VI.

That the respective petitions in error are filed for the purpose of obtaining the judgment of this Court upon the points raised therein.

VII.

That the decree entered in this cause by the District Court of Craig County does not conform to the judgment of this Court.

VIII.

That the decision of this Court when the case was before it did not judicially determine every question now presented by the petitions in error, and the judgment of this Court was not final and this Court refused to make it final.

IX.

That under the decisions of the Federal Supreme Court, and among others the decision of said Court in the case of Henrick v. Patrick, reported in Book 30 of the Lawyers' Co-Operative Publishing Company's Edition at page 396, and in the case of Gilfillan v. McKee, reported in Book 40 Lawyers' Co-Operative Publishing Company's Edition at page 161, the proper plaintiffs in error are made in this case and the proper defendants in error are made in this case.

Wherefore, the plaintiffs in error ask that the motion of the defendants in error be not allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

W. H. KORNEGAY,

Attorney for First National Bank of Claremore and First National Bank of Vinita.

Filed Nov. 16, 1910. W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk.

240 And thereafter, to-wit: on the 10th day of January 1911, in the Supreme Court of Oklahoma, the following proceedings were had in said cause:

Supreme Court, January Term, 1911, January 10th, 1911, First Judicial Day.

#1947.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. KEYS et al., Defendants in Error.

And now this cause comes on for final decision and determination by the court upon the record and motion to dismiss filed herein. And the court having duly considered the same and being fully advised in the premises, finds: That the petition in error should be dismissed.

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the court, that the petition in error herein, be, and the same is hereby dismissed.

Opinion Per Curiam.

241 In the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

(Filed 10th Day of January, 1911.)

No. 1947.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. KEYS & COMPANY et al., Defendants in Error.

No. 1948.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF VINITA, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. KEYS & COMPANY et al., Defendants in Error.

No. 1949.

CITIZENS BANK OF PRYOR, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. KEYS & COMPANY et al., Defendants in Error.

No. 1950.

J. C. HOGAN, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. KEYS & COMPANY et al., Defendants in Error.

(Filed January 10, 1911.)

If, upon a cause being remanded with directions to enter judgment in accordance with the opinion of the supreme court, the court below enters judgment in substantial conformity with

the directions of the appellate court, its action will not be disturbed on a second proceeding in error.
(Syllabus by the Court.)

Error from the District Court of Craig County.

Dismissed.

Before T. L. Brown, Trial Judge.

W. H. Kornegay, and J. Howard Langley, attorneys for plaintiffs in error.
W. P. Thompson, attorney for intervener, Vinita National Bank.
Robert F. Blair, attorney for the defendants in error, C. M. Keys & Co.

242 *Per Curiam:*

The above entitled cases were before this court in a former proceeding in error and the opinion wherein the facts are fully stated is reported in 22 Okla. 174. After the mandate went down the First National Bank of Claremore and the First National Bank of Vinita sought to amend their pleadings and retry the issues involved as though the cases had been reversed and remanded for a new trial. The Court refused to allow any amendments, and entered judgment in accordance with what it understood the mandate of the supreme court directed. To reverse this action of the court below this proceeding in error was commenced.

The question now before us arises upon a motion to dismiss the petition in error upon the ground, among others, that, "The decision of this court when this case was before it on a former appeal, determines every question of law which is now presented by counsel for plaintiff in error, and therefore there is nothing for this court to determine and the petition in error ought to be dismissed." This motion must be sustained. An examination of the record discloses that after the case was remanded, the court below entered judgment in substantial conformity with the mandate of the supreme court. It has been held by this court that if upon a cause being remanded for a new trial, the court below has proceeded in substantial conformity to the directions of the appellate court, its actions will not be questioned on a second appeal. O. C. Elec. Gas. & Power Co., et al., v. Baumhoff, 21 Okla. 503; C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v. Broe, 23 Okla. 396; State Bank of Waterloo, Ill. v. City Nat. Bank of Kansas City, Mo., 110 Pac. 910. The petition in error is dismissed.

243 And thereafter, to-wit: on the 24th day of January, 1911, in the Supreme Court of Oklahoma, the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

Supreme Court, January Term, 1911. January 24th, 1911, Fourth Judicial Day.

#1947.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. KEYS et al., Defendants in Error.

And now on this day it is ordered by the court that the mandate of this court in the above entitled cause, be, and the same is hereby stayed.

244 In the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma.

No. 1947.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLAREMORE, Plaintiff in Error,
vs.
C. M. KEYS and HUGH MILLS, a Partnership under the Firm Name of C. M. Keys & Company, and Stock Yards Bank, a Corporation, and Wat Mayes, Defendants in Error.

Certificate.

I, W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, do hereby certify that the within 243 pages, numbered from 1 to 243, both inclusive, are a full, true and complete transcript of the record and all proceedings in the above entitled cause, as the same remains on file and of record in my office.

In witness whereof, I hereto set my hand and affix the seal of said Court, at Oklahoma City, this 10th day of March, 1911.

[Seal Supreme Court, State of Oklahoma.]

W. H. L. CAMPBELL,
Clerk Supreme Court,
By JESSIE PARDOE, *Deputy.*

Endorsed on cover: File No. 22,608. Oklahoma Supreme Court. Term No. 263. First National Bank of Claremore, plaintiff in error, vs. C. M. Keys and Hugh Mills, a partnership under the name of C. M. Keys & Company, Stock Yards Bank, and Wat Mayes. Filed April 8th, 1911. File No. 22,608.